NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

# SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OFNEWYORK, BY LETITIA JAMES, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

*\$* 

**§ § §** 

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

Plaintiff,

v.

THE NATIONAL RIFLE ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA, INC., WAYNE LAPIERRE, WILSON PHILLIPS, JOHN FRAZER, and JOSHUA POWELL,

Defendants.

DEFENDANT THE NATIONAL RIFLE ASSOCIATION'S AMENDED VERIFIED ANSWER AND COUNTERCLAIMS

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

AMENDED VERIFIED ANSWER	3
PRELIMINARY STATEMENT	4
PART ONE – THE PARTIES	
PART TWO – JURISDICTION AND VENUE	7
PART THREE - APPLICABLE LAW	
PART FOUR – THE NRA'S HISTORY AND INTERNAL GOVERNANCE	12
PART FIVE – DEFENDANTS' VIOLATIONS OF NEW YORK LAW	26
CAUSES OF ACTION	122
FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION	
SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION	
THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION	123
FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION	
FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION	125
SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION	
SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION	
EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION	
NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION	
TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION	
ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION	
TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION	
THIRTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION	
FOURTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION	130
FIFTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION	131
SIXTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION	131
SEVENTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION	132
EIGHTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION	132
AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES	134
First Affirmative Defense	134
SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	134
THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	134
FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	135
FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	135
SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	135
SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	136
EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	136
NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	136
TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	136
ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	136
TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	137
COUNTERCLAIMS	137

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

PART	TIES, JURISDICTION AND VENUE
FACT	TUAL BACKGROUND138
A.	THE NRA: SUPPORT FOR GUN SAFETY AND A COMMITMENT TO CORE
	POLITICAL SPEECH. 140
В.	VARIOUS ELECTED OFFICIALS IN NEW YORK TARGET THE NRA BASED ON
	THE VIEWPOINTS EXPRESSED IN ITS SPEECH
C.	JAMES MALICIOUSLY DEFAMES THE NRA TO CREATE A PRETEXT FOR LAW
	Enforcement Action. 143
D.	THE NYAG'S PRETEXTUAL "INVESTIGATION" UNDERSCORES JAMES'S PLAN
	TO DESTROY THE NRA. 144
E.	JAMES SEEKS THE EXTRAORDINARY AND UNPRECEDENTED RELIEF OF DISSOLUTION
	BASED SOLELY ON ALLEGED EXECUTIVE MISCONDUCT
F.	NRA SEEKS PROTECTION UNDER U.S. BANKRUPTCY CODE AND TRIAL
	REVEALS NRA'S YEARS-LONG COURSE CORRECTION
G.	DISSOLUTION AS A REMEDY HAS NEVER BEEN APPROPRIATE IN THIS CASE
Н.	NEW YORK'S NOT-FOR-PROFIT LAW'S DISSOLUTION PROVISIONS ARE
	UNCONSTITUTIONAL AS-APPLIED TO POLITICAL ENTITIES LIKE THE NRA
DEM.	AND FOR JURY TRIAL176
REO	UEST FOR RELIEF 176

COUNTY CLERK

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

Defendant, the National Rifle Association of America (the "NRA" or the "Association"), by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby responds to the Verified Complaint (the "Complaint") filed in the above-captioned action (the "Action") by Plaintiff the People of the State of New York, by Letitia James, Attorney General of the State of New York (the "NYAG"), as follows.

Even before assuming office, and without a shred of evidence that the NRA had done anything wrong, Attorney General James vowed to weaponize the supervisory powers of the NYAG to destroy one of the nation's oldest, largest civil rights organizations. Her impetus was nakedly political: to weaken the NRA as a political force in advance of the 2020 elections. In order to deliver on James' corrupt campaign promise, the Complaint abandons any pretense of impartial regulatory oversight. It seeks dissolution—a corporate death sentence—based on allegations which, even if true, could never justify that remedy. It aligns the NYAG shamelessly with vendors, and other faithless fiduciaries, that stole millions from the charity the NYAG purports to supervise. Worse, it purposefully misconstrues and elides testimony from courageous whistleblowers who came forward to help protect the NRA—not destroy it. These whistleblowers testified repeatedly to the NYAG during the course of its "investigation" that they were protected when they came forward, and their concerns addressed. The NYAG distorts the narrative of their involvement and deliberately omits such testimony from the Complaint.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The NRA objects to the caption of the Complaint on the ground that this action is purportedly brought in the name of "the people" rather than "the state." See N.Y. CPLR 1301 ("An action brought in behalf of the people ... shall be brought in the name of the state."); New York ex rel. Boardman v Natl. R.R. Passenger Corp., 233 F.R.D. 259, 265 (N.D.N.Y. 2006) ("Although New York's general statutory scheme is for the Attorney General to prosecute lawsuits,... the case has to be prosecuted in the name of the State of New York..) (emphasis added). The NRA further notes that the caption incorrectly references "The National Rifle Association of America, Inc."; although the NRA is a corporation, it is not denominated "Inc.".

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

The NYAG's false narrative has been fatally undermined, and her claims rendered utterly meritless, by the findings of a Texas federal bankruptcy court following a twelve-day trial, featuring 23 witnesses, in which the NYAG asserted the identical allegations in this proceeding, in an effort to advance an identical false narrative. Judge Harlan D. Hale found that by 2018, the NRA had undertaken a "course correction"—before the Attorney General was even elected—and that the Association's CFO had credibly testified that "the change that has occurred within the NRA over the past few years could not have occurred without the active support of" NRA Executive Vice President and CEO Wayne LaPierre. Significantly, the court commended the NRA for elevating one of the certified public accountants who came forward to raise concerns about the faithless fiduciaries, Sonya Rowling, as its Chief Financial Officer.<sup>2</sup> Those are not the actions of an organization that withholds protection from whistleblowers; they are precisely the opposite. Dispositive of James's claims here, the court found that "the NRA now understands the importance of compliance," and it "can pay its creditors, continue to fulfill its mission, continue to improve its governance and internal controls, [and] contest dissolution" in this Action. Simply put, Judge Hale's findings of fact preclude, as a matter of law, any contention that the NRA is conducted in a persistently fraudulent or illegal manner, such as to harm or menace the public welfare, that would be required to support dissolution.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Court dubbed Ms. Rowling a "champion of compliance." Ms. Rowling has since been elected Treasurer by the Board of Directors on May 2, 2021.

CLERK

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

So troubling is this lawsuit that it has been condemned as overtly unconstitutional by sixteen states,<sup>3</sup> multiple scholars,<sup>4</sup> and the ACLU.<sup>5</sup> Politically motivated and born of the NYAG's threats even before she assumed office, this proceeding is counterproductive to any legitimate charitable-supervisory effort. Most importantly, for all who love our democratic institutions, it will fail.

## AMENDED VERIFIED ANSWER

Except as otherwise expressly admitted in this Amended Verified Answer, the NRA denies each and every allegation contained or implied anywhere in the Complaint whether in the body, titles, headings, sub-headings, footnotes or otherwise. To the extent the NRA uses terms herein which are defined in the Complaint, that use is not an acknowledgment or admission of any characterization Plaintiff may ascribe to the defined terms. The NRA further denies that Plaintiff

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See NRA v. James, Civ. No. 1:20-cv-00889-MAD-TWD (Dkt. No. 25) (Brief of States of Arkansas, Alaska, Georgia, Idaho, Mississippi, Oklahoma, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Missouri, Ohio, South Carolina, South Dakota, Texas, Utah and West Virginia as Amici Curiae in Support of Plaintiff and in Opposition to Dismissal) ("The New York AG's actions threaten the civil rights of five million members, including citizens of the Amici states.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See, e.g., Ruth Marcus, The NRA is a Cesspool. That Doesn't Mean It Should Be Dissolved, WASH. POST. (Opinion, Aug. 9, 2020), https://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/2020/08/09/nra-is-cesspool-that-doesntmean-it-should-be-dissolved/; Noah Feldman, New York's Attorney General Shouldn't Dismantle the NRA, BLOOMBERG (Opinion, Aug. 6, 2020), https://www.bloomberg.com/opinion/articles/2020-08-06/new-york-sattorney-general-shouldn-t-dismantle-nra-in-lawsuit; David Cole, The NRA Has a Right to Exist, WALL ST. J. https://www.wsj.com/articles/the-nra-has-(Opinion, Aug. 2020), a-right-to-exist-26. 11598457143?mod=opinion lead pos7 ("The American Civil Liberties Unionrarely finds itself on the same side as the National Rifle Association in policy debates or political disputes. Still, we are disturbed by New York Attorney General Letitia James's recent effort todissolve the NRA"); Jonathan Turley, The Tragic Irony of the New York State Lawsuit Against the NRA, THE HILL (Opinion, Aug. 8, 2020), https://thehill.com/opinion/judiciary/511155-thetragic-irony-of-the-new-york-state-lawsuit-against-the-national-rifle-association ("Trying to dissolve anoganization engaged in political speech should not occur absent overwhelming proof that it is acriminal enterprise, which is why this has never happened with a group like the NRA."); Alan Z.Rozenshtein, The Attempt to Dissolve the NRA Threatens Democratic Norms, LAWFARE (Opinion, Aug. 11, 2020), https://www.lawfareblog.com/attempt-dissolve-nrathreatens-democratic-norms("I personally can't stand [the NRA] . . . . [b]ut that said James's attempt to dissolve the NRA in its entirety is a violation of key democratic and rule-of-law norms.").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See David Cole, The NRA Has a Right to Exist, WALL ST. J. (Aug. 26, 2020), https://www.wsj.com/ articles/the-nra-has-a-right-to-exist-11598457143 ("The American Civil Liberties Union rarely finds itself on the same side as the National Rifle Association . . . [s]till, we are disturbed by New York Attorney General Letitia James's recent effort to dissolve the NRA.... You may have your own opinions about the NRA, but all Americans should be concerned about this sort of overreach.")

DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

is entitled to any relief. The NRA expressly reserves the right to amend and/or supplement this Answer as may be necessary.

## PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. The NRA admits that it operates as a New York not-for-profit corporation. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 1 of the Complaint.
- 2. The NRA admits that Mr. LaPierre has served as Chief Executive Officer of the NRA since 1991. The NRA denies the allegations in Paragraph 2 of the Complaint concerning the NRA's net assets, and respectfully refers the Court to the NRA's financial statements for their complete, accurate contents. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 2 of the Complaint.
- 3. The allegations in Paragraph 3 of the Complaint concerning Mr. LaPierre's discretion and duties pursuant to the NRA bylaws and New York law constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent that a response is required, the NRA denies the allegations. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 3 of the Complaint.
  - 4. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 4 of the Complaint.
- 5. The NRA admits that significant travel and entertainment expenses were billed to the NRA by its disgraced and former vendor, Ackerman McQueen, Inc., in violation of applicable accounting controls. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 5 of the Complaint concerning Mr. Powell and Mr. Phillips, and therefore denies such allegations. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 5 of the Complaint.
- 6. The NRA admits that Mr. Powell received salary increases prior to his termination for cause in January 2019. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 6 of the Complaint.

DOC. NO. 325

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

7. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth

of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 7 of the Complaint concerning Mr. Frazer's practice prior

to his employment at the NRA, and therefore denies such allegations. The NRA denies the

remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 7 of the Complaint.

8. The NRA admits that Mr. LaPierre travels by private air charter pursuant to a bona

fide security program, and has occasionally been accompanied by family members and other

The NRA states that expenses associated with private air travel which were

determined to constitute excess benefits were reimbursed by Mr. LaPierre to the NRA. To the

extent that Paragraph 8 of the Complaint sets forth allegations concerning travel or leisure

activities undertaken by Mr. LaPierre and his family other than in connection with NRA business,

the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of such

allegations and therefore denies them. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in

Paragraph 8 of the Complaint.

9. The NRA admits that Mr. Powell failed to timely disclose relationships with certain

NRA vendors, and states that Mr. Powell is no longer employed by the NRA. The NRA further

states that post-employment consulting agreements negotiated by Mr. Phillips with departing

employees which were not in the best interest of the NRA have been terminated, and Mr. Phillips

is no longer employed by the NRA. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in

Paragraph 9 of the Complaint.

10. The NRA admits that in 2018, certain employees notified the Audit Committee of

their concerns regarding allegedly improper practices and behavior, and that some of these

concerns involved Mr. Powell. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph

10 of the Complaint.

INDEX NO. 451625/2020 **COUNTY CLERK 07/20/2021** 

DOC. NO. 325

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

11. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 11 of the Complaint.

12. To the extent that Paragraph 12 purports to characterize New York statutes or

remedies sought thereunder, its allegations consist of legal conclusions to which no response is

required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations. The NRA denies

the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 12 of the Complaint.

13. To the extent that Paragraph 13 purports to characterize New York statutes or

remedies sought thereunder, its allegations consist of legal conclusions to which no response is

required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations. The NRA denies

the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 13 of the Complaint.

<u>Part One – The Parties</u>

14. Paragraph 14 of the Complaint contains legal conclusions to which no response is

required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

15. The NRA admits that it is a not-for-profit corporation that has been domiciled in

the State of New York since its formation on November 17, 1871. The NRA further admits that

it engages in fundraising and is registered with the Charities Bureau of the Office of the Attorney

General to conduct business and solicit donations. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 15 of

the Complaint consist of legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a

response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

16. The NRA admits the allegations set forth in Paragraph 16 of the Complaint.

17. Paragraph 17 of the Complaint purports to describe and/or summarize the contents

of the NRA's bylaws, which speak for themselves. The NRA respectfully refers the Court to its

bylaws for their complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 17 are

inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

DECETTED 1776CTT 07/00/0001

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

18. The NRA admits that Mr. LaPierre has served as Executive Vice President of the NRA since the early 1990s, a position to which he has been repeatedly elected by the NRA Board of Directors. The NRA further admits that Mr. LaPierre maintains an office address at 11250 Waples Mill Road, Fairfax, VA 22030. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 18 of the Complaint purport to describe and/or summarize the NRA's bylaws, the NRA states that its bylaws speak for themselves, and respectfully refers the Court to its bylaws for their complete, accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 18 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.

- 19. The NRA admits that Mr. Powell held the positions of Chief of Staff, Executive Director of General Operations, and Senior Strategist, and served as an *ex officio* member of the Board of Directors. The NRA further admits that Mr. Powell was terminated in January 2020. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the Complaint's allegations regarding Mr. Powell's current residence, and therefore denies such allegations. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 19 purport to characterize New York statutes or obligations arising thereunder, they state legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 19 of the Complaint.
  - 20. The NRA admits the allegations set forth in Paragraph 20 of the Complaint.
  - 21. The NRA admits the allegations set forth in Paragraph 21 of the Complaint.

#### PART TWO – JURISDICTION AND VENUE

22. The allegations in Paragraph 22 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

allegations.

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

23. The allegations in Paragraph 23 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such

24. The allegations in Paragraph 24 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

25. The allegations in Paragraph 25 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

26. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 26 of the Complaint.

### PART THREE – APPLICABLE LAW

27. The allegations in Paragraph 27 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

28. The allegations in Paragraph 28 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

29. The allegations in Paragraph 29 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

30. The allegations in Paragraph 30 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

31. The allegations in Paragraph 31 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such

allegations.

32. The allegations in Paragraph 32 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions to

which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such

allegations.

33. The allegations in Paragraph 33 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions to

which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such

allegations.

34. The allegations in Paragraph 34 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions to

which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such

allegations.

35. The allegations in Paragraph 35 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions to

which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such

allegations.

36. The allegations in Paragraph 36 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions to

which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such

allegations.

37. The allegations in Paragraph 37 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions to

which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such

allegations.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

38. The allegations in Paragraph 38 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions to

which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such

allegations.

39. The allegations in Paragraph 39 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions to

which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such

allegations.

40. The allegations in Paragraph 40 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions to

which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such

allegations.

41. The allegations in Paragraph 41 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions to

which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such

allegations.

42. The allegations in Paragraph 42 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions to

which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such

allegations.

43. The allegations in Paragraph 43 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions to

which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such

allegations.

44. The allegations in Paragraph 44 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions to

which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such

allegations.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

45. The allegations in Paragraph 45 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

46. The allegations in Paragraph 46 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

47. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 47 of the Complaint.

48. The allegations in Paragraph 48 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

49. The allegations in Paragraph 49 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

50. The allegations in Paragraph 50 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

- 51. The allegations in Paragraph 51 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.
- 52. The allegations in Paragraph 52 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF. 07/20/2021

53. The allegations in Paragraph 53 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

54. The allegations in Paragraph 54 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

### PART FOUR - THE NRA'S HISTORY AND INTERNAL GOVERNANCE

- 55. The NRA admits the allegations set forth in Paragraph 55 of the Complaint.
- 56. The NRA admits the allegations set forth in Paragraph 56 of the Complaint.
- 57. The NRA admits that over the course of 149 years, it established itself as one of the largest, oldest social-welfare nonprofits in the country, and further admits that it is a 501(c)(4) organization exempt from certain taxation. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 57 consist of legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.
- 58. The allegations in the second sentence of Paragraph 58 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations. The NRA admits the allegations set forth in the first and third sentences of Paragraph 58.
  - 59. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 59 of the Complaint.
  - 60. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 60 of the Complaint.
- 61. The NRA admits the allegations set forth in Paragraph 61 of the Complaint, except states that the NRA's bylaws allow contributions to certain federal independent-expenditure-only political committees and certain state ballot measure committees.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

62. The allegations in Paragraph 62 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such

allegations.

63. Paragraph 63 of the Complaint purports to describe and/or summarize the contents

of the NRA's bylaws, which speak for themselves. The NRA respectfully refers the Court to its

bylaws for their complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 63 are

inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.

64. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 64 purport to characterize New York

law, they constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a response

is required, the NRA denies such allegations. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 64 purport

to describe and/or summarize the contents of the NRA's bylaws, which speak for themselves. The

NRA respectfully refers the Court to its bylaws for their complete, accurate contents; to the extent

that the allegations in Paragraph 64 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA

denies them.

65. Paragraph 65 of the Complaint purports to describe and/or summarize the contents

of the NRA's bylaws, which speak for themselves. The NRA respectfully refers the Court to its

bylaws for their complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 65 are

inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.

66. Paragraph 66 of the Complaint purports to describe and/or summarize the contents

of the NRA's bylaws, which speak for themselves. The NRA respectfully refers the Court to its

bylaws for their complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 66 are

inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.

DOC. NO. 325

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

67. Paragraph 67 of the Complaint purports to describe and/or summarize the contents of the NRA's bylaws, which speak for themselves. The NRA respectfully refers the Court to its bylaws for their complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 67 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.

- 68. Paragraph 68 of the Complaint purports to describe and/or summarize the contents of the NRA's bylaws, which speak for themselves. The NRA respectfully refers the Court to its bylaws for their complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 68 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.
- 69. The NRA admits that the Executive Vice President is functionally the chief executive of the NRA and is elected annually by the NRA Board. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 69 of the Complaint purport to describe and/or summarize the contents of the NRA's bylaws, which speak for themselves. The NRA respectfully refers the Court to its bylaws for their complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 69 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.
  - 70. The NRA admits the allegations set forth in paragraph 70 of the Complaint.
  - The NRA admits the allegations set forth in Paragraph 71 of the Complaint. 71.
- 72. The NRA admits that Wayne LaPierre has been in the leadership of the NRA for thirty years. The NRA denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 72 of the Complaint.
- 73. The allegations in Paragraph 73 of the Complaint purport to describe and/or summarize the contents of the NRA's bylaws, which speak for themselves. The NRA respectfully refers the Court to its bylaws for their complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 73 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.
  - 74. The NRA admits the allegations set forth in Paragraph 74 of the Complaint.

DOC. NO. 325

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

75. The allegations in Paragraph 75 of the Complaint purport to describe and/or

summarize the contents of the NRA's bylaws, which speak for themselves. The NRA respectfully

refers the Court to its bylaws for their complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the

allegations in Paragraph 75 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.

76. The allegations in Paragraph 76 of the Complaint purport to describe and/or

summarize the contents of the NRA's bylaws, which speak for themselves. The NRA respectfully

refers the Court to its bylaws for their complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the

allegations in Paragraph 76 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.

77. The NRA admits that Mr. Philips served as Treasurer until 2018. The remaining

allegations in Paragraph 77 of the Complaint purport to describe and/or summarize the contents

of the NRA's bylaws, which speak for themselves. The NRA respectfully refers the Court to its

bylaws for their complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 77 are

inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.

78. The NRA admits that Mr. Frazer has served as Secretary since 2015. The remaining

allegations in Paragraph 78 of the Complaint purport to describe and/or summarize the contents

of the NRA's bylaws, which speak for themselves. The NRA respectfully refers the Court to its

bylaws for their complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 78 are

inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.

The allegations in Paragraph 79 of the Complaint purport to describe and/or 79.

summarize the contents of the NRA's bylaws, which speak for themselves. The NRA respectfully

refers the Court to its bylaws for their complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the

allegations in Paragraph 79 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.

COUNTY CLERK

DOC. NO. 325

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

80. The allegations in Paragraph 80 of the Complaint purport to describe and/or

summarize the contents of the NRA's bylaws, which speak for themselves. The NRA respectfully

refers the Court to its bylaws for their complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the

allegations in Paragraph 80 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.

81. The allegations in Paragraph 81 of the Complaint purport to describe and/or

summarize the contents of the NRA's bylaws, which speak for themselves. The NRA respectfully

refers the Court to its bylaws for their complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the

allegations in Paragraph 81 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.

82. The NRA admits the allegations set forth in Paragraph 82 of the Complaint.

The NRA admits the allegations set forth in Paragraph 83 of the Complaint. 83.

84. The allegations in Paragraph 84 of the Complaint purport to describe and/or

summarize the contents of the NRA's bylaws, which speak for themselves. The NRA respectfully

refers the Court to its bylaws for their complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the

allegations in Paragraph 84 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.

85. The allegations in Paragraph 85 of the Complaint purport to describe and/or

summarize the contents of the NRA's bylaws, which speak for themselves. The NRA respectfully

refers the Court to its bylaws for their complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the

allegations in Paragraph 85 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.

86. The allegations in Paragraph 86 of the Complaint purport to describe and/or

summarize the contents of the NRA's bylaws, which speak for themselves. The NRA respectfully

refers the Court to its bylaws for their complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the

allegations in Paragraph 86 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.

COUNTY CLERK

DOC. NO. 325

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

87. The allegations in Paragraph 87 of the Complaint purport to describe and/or summarize the contents of the NRA's bylaws, which speak for themselves. The NRA respectfully refers the Court to its bylaws for their complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the

allegations in Paragraph 87 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.

- 88. The allegations in Paragraph 88 of the Complaint purport to describe and/or summarize the contents of the NRA's bylaws and Audit Committee Charter, which speak for themselves. The NRA respectfully refers the Court to such documents for their complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 88 are inconsistent with the referenced documents, the NRA denies them. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 88 consist of legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.
- The allegations in Paragraph 89 of the Complaint purport to describe and/or 89. summarize the contents of Audit Committee Charter, which speaks for itself. The NRA respectfully refers the Court to the Audit Committee Charter for its complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 89 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.
- 90. The allegations in Paragraph 90 of the Complaint purport to describe and/or summarize the contents of Audit Committee Charter, which speaks for itself. The NRA respectfully refers the Court to the Audit Committee Charter for its complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 90 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.
- 91. The allegations in Paragraph 91 of the Complaint purport to describe and/or summarize the contents of Audit Committee Charter, which speaks for itself. The NRA

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

respectfully refers the Court to the Audit Committee Charter for its complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 91 are inconsistent with the referenced document,

the NRA denies them.

DOC. NO. 325

The allegations in Paragraph 92 of the Complaint purport to describe and/or 92.

summarize the contents of Audit Committee Charter, which speaks for itself. The NRA

respectfully refers the Court to the Audit Committee Charter for its complete, accurate contents;

to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 92 are inconsistent with the referenced document,

the NRA denies them.

93. The allegations in Paragraph 93 of the Complaint purport to describe and/or

summarize the contents of corporate documents, which speak for themselves. The NRA

respectfully refers the Court to such documents for their complete, accurate contents; to the extent

that the allegations in Paragraph 93 are inconsistent with the referenced documents, the NRA

denies them.

94. The allegations in Paragraph 94 of the Complaint purport to describe and/or

summarize the contents of the Statement of Corporate Ethics, which speaks for itself. The NRA

respectfully refers the Court to the Statement for its complete, accurate contents; to the extent that

the allegations in Paragraph 94 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies

them.

95. The allegations in Paragraph 95 of the Complaint purport to describe and/or

summarize the contents of the NRA's bylaws, which speak for themselves. The NRA respectfully

refers the Court to its bylaws for their complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the

allegations in Paragraph 95 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

96. The allegations in Paragraph 96 of the Complaint purport to describe and/or

summarize the contents of the NRA's bylaws, which speak for themselves. The NRA respectfully

refers the Court to its bylaws for their complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the

allegations in Paragraph 96 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.

97. The allegations in Paragraph 97 of the Complaint purport to describe and/or

summarize the contents of the NRA's bylaws, which speak for themselves. The NRA respectfully

refers the Court to its bylaws for their complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the

allegations in Paragraph 97 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.

98. The allegations in Paragraph 98 of the Complaint purport to describe and/or

summarize the contents of the NRA Employee Handbook and the NRA Policy Manual, which

speak for themselves. The NRA respectfully refers the Court to those documents for their

complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 98 are inconsistent

with the referenced documents, the NRA denies them.

99. The NRA admits that multiple board resolutions were adopted between 1988 and

1998 that impacted the contract review process. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set

forth in Paragraph 99 of the Complaint.

100. To the extent that Paragraph 100 purports to describe and/or summarize the

contents of resolutions adopted by the NRA Board, the NRA states that such documents speak

for themselves. The NRA respectfully refers the Court to such documents for their complete,

accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 100 are inconsistent with the

referenced documents, the NRA denies them.

101. To the extent that Paragraph 101 purports to describe and/or summarize the

contents of resolutions adopted by the NRA Board, the NRA states that such documents speak

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

for themselves. The NRA respectfully refers the Court to such documents for their complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 101 are inconsistent with the

referenced documents, the NRA denies them.

102. The NRA admits that in 2012, Mr. LaPierre signed a memorandum discussing

procedures for complying with certain Board resolutions governing purchasing. The NRA states

that the memorandum contained inaccuracies arising from its failure to reflect policy changes

enacted by the Board of Directors pursuant to its resolution dated December 21, 2005 and

approved on January 7, 2006. To the extent that Paragraph 102 purports to describe and/or

summarize the contents of the memorandum, the NRA states that such document speaks for itself.

The NRA respectfully refers the Court to the memorandum for its complete, accurate contents; to

the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 102 are inconsistent with the referenced document,

the NRA denies them.

103. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 103 of the Complaint.

104. The NRA admits that it has several policies governing hiring, evaluating, and

retaining employees. To the extent that Paragraph 104 purports to describe and/or summarize the

contents of such policies, the NRA states that the policy documents speak for themselves and

respectfully refers the Court to such documents for their complete, accurate contents. To the

extent that the allegations in Paragraph 104 are inconsistent with the referenced documents, the

NRA denies them.

105. The NRA admits that its Employee Manual contains no written policy on employee

bonuses. The NRA states that the considerations informing employee bonuses include, among

other things, employment-contract provisions, performance, and input from compensation

consultants. The NRA denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 105.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

106. Paragraph 106 purports to describe and/or summarize the contents of the NRA

Employee Handbook. The NRA states that this document speaks for itself and respectfully refers

the Court to the Employee Handbook for its complete, accurate contents. To the extent that the

allegations in Paragraph 106 are inconsistent with the referenced document and with other NRA

policy documents, including the NRA Policy Manual, the NRA denies them.

107. Paragraph 107 purports to describe and/or summarize the contents of the Travel

and Business Expense Reimbursement Policy. The NRA states that this document speaks for

itself, and respectfully refers the Court to the Travel and Business Expense Reimbursement Policy

for its complete, accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 107 are

inconsistent with the referenced document and other NRA policy documents, including the NRA

Policy Manual, the NRA denies them.

108. Paragraph 108 purports to describe and/or summarize the contents of the Travel

and Business Expense Reimbursement Policy. The NRA states that this document speaks for

itself, and respectfully refers the Court to the Travel and Business Expense Reimbursement Policy

for its complete, accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 108 are

inconsistent with the referenced document and other NRA policy documents, including the NRA

Policy Manual, the NRA denies them.

109. Paragraph 109 of the Complaint purports to describe and/or summarize the contents

of the NRA Employee Handbook. The NRA states that such document speaks for itself, and

respectfully refers the Court to the Employee Handbook for its complete, accurate contents. To

the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 109 are inconsistent with the referenced document,

the NRA denies them.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

110. Paragraph 110 purports to describe and/or summarize the contents of the Travel

and Business Expense Reimbursement Policy. The NRA states that this document speaks for

itself, and respectfully refers the Court to the Travel and Business Expense Reimbursement Policy

for its complete, accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 110 are

inconsistent with the referenced document and other NRA policy documents, including the NRA

Policy Manual, the NRA denies them.

111. Paragraph 111 purports to describe and/or summarize the contents of the Travel

and Business Expense Reimbursement Policy. The NRA states that this document speaks for

itself, and respectfully refers the Court to the Travel and Business Expense Reimbursement Policy

for its complete, accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 111 are

inconsistent with the referenced document and other NRA policy documents, including the NRA

Policy Manual, the NRA denies them.

112. Paragraph 112 purports to describe and/or summarize the contents of the Travel

and Business Expense Reimbursement Policy. The NRA states that this document speaks for

itself, and respectfully refers the Court to the Travel and Business Expense Reimbursement Policy

for its complete, accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 112 are

inconsistent with the referenced document and other NRA policy documents, including the NRA

Policy Manual, the NRA denies them.

113. Paragraph 113 purports to describe and/or summarize the contents of the Statement

of Corporate Ethics. The NRA states that this document speaks for itself, and respectfully refers

the Court to the Statement for its complete, accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations

in Paragraph 113 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

114. Paragraph 114 purports to describe and/or summarize the contents of the NRA

whistleblower policy. The NRA states that this document speaks for itself, and respectfully refers

the Court to the NRA whistleblower policy for its complete, accurate contents. To the extent that

the allegations in Paragraph 114 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies

them.

115. Paragraph 115 purports to describe and/or summarize the contents of a new version

of the Statement of Corporate Ethics. The NRA states that this document speaks for itself, and

respectfully refers the Court to the Statement for its complete, accurate contents. To the extent

that the allegations in Paragraph 115 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA

denies them.

116. Paragraph 116 purports to describe and/or summarize the contents of the NRA

Purchasing Policy. The NRA states that the NRA Purchasing Policy speaks for itself, and

respectfully refers the Court to such document for its complete, accurate contents. To the extent

that the allegations in Paragraph 116 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA

denies them.

117. Paragraph 117 purports to describe and/or summarize the contents of the NRA

Purchasing Policy. The NRA states that the NRA Purchasing Policy speaks for itself, and

respectfully refers the Court to such document for its complete, accurate contents. To the extent

that the allegations in Paragraph 117 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA

denies them.

118. Paragraph 118 purports to describe and/or summarize the contents of the NRA

Purchasing Policy. The NRA states that the NRA Purchasing Policy speaks for itself, and

respectfully refers the Court to such document for its complete, accurate contents. To the extent

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

that the allegations in Paragraph 118 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA

denies them.

119. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 119 of the Complaint.

120. Paragraph 120 purports to describe and/or summarize the contents of the NRA

Purchasing Policy. The NRA states that the NRA Purchasing Policy speaks for itself, and

respectfully refers the Court to such document for its complete, accurate contents. To the extent

that the allegations in Paragraph 120 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA

denies them.

121. Paragraph 121 purports to describe and/or summarize the contents of the NRA

Purchasing Policy. The NRA states that the NRA Purchasing Policy speaks for itself, and

respectfully refers the Court to such document for its complete, accurate contents. To the extent

that the allegations in Paragraph 121 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA

denies them.

122. To the extent that Paragraph 122 purports to describe and/or summarize the

contents of a resolution adopted by the NRA Board, the NRA states that such document speaks

for itself. The NRA respectfully refers the Court to such document for its complete, accurate

contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 122 are inconsistent with the referenced

documents, the NRA denies them.

123. Paragraph 123 purports to describe and/or summarize the contents of the NRA

Officers and Board of Directors Policy. The NRA states that the NRA Officers and Board of

Directors Policy speaks for itself, and respectfully refers the Court to such document for its

complete, accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 123 are inconsistent

with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

124. Paragraph 124 purports to describe and/or summarize the contents of the NRA

Officers and Board of Directors Policy. The NRA states that the NRA Officers and Board of

Directors Policy speaks for itself, and respectfully refers the Court to such document for its

complete, accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 124 are inconsistent

with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.

125. Paragraph 125 purports to describe and/or summarize the contents of NRA public

filings. The NRA states that those public filings speak for themselves, and respectfully refers the

Court to such documents for their complete, accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations

in Paragraph 125 are inconsistent with the referenced documents, the NRA denies them.

126. The allegations in Paragraph 126 of the Complaint constitute legal conclusions to

which no response is required; to the extent that a response is required, the NRA denies the

allegations.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

The NRA admits that its Conflict of Interest and Related Party Transaction Policy

was adopted in or around January 2016 and constitutes a separate policy document distinct from

the Employee Handbook. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 127

of the Complaint.

128. Paragraph 128 purports to describe and/or summarize the contents of the NRA

Conflict of Interest and Related Party Transaction Policy. The NRA states that the NRA Conflict

of Interest and Related Party Transaction Policy speaks for itself, and respectfully refers the Court

to such document for its complete, accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in

Paragraph 128 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them. The

remaining allegations in Paragraph 128 of the Complaint constitute legal conclusions to which no

response is required; to the extent that a response is required, the NRA denies the allegations.

SCEF DOC. NO. 325

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

129. Paragraph 129 purports to describe and/or summarize the contents of the NRA

Conflict of Interest and Related Party Transaction Policy. The NRA states that the NRA Conflict

of Interest and Related Party Transaction Policy speaks for itself, and respectfully refers the Court

to such document for its complete, accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in

Paragraph 129 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.

130. Paragraph 130 purports to describe and/or summarize the contents of the NRA

Conflict of Interest and Related Party Transaction Policy. The NRA states that the NRA Conflict

of Interest and Related Party Transaction Policy speaks for itself, and respectfully refers the Court

to such document for its complete, accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in

Paragraph 130 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.

131. Paragraph 131 purports to describe and/or summarize the contents of the NRA

Conflict of Interest and Related Party Transaction Policy. The NRA states that the NRA Conflict

of Interest and Related Party Transaction Policy speaks for itself, and respectfully refers the Court

to such document for its complete, accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in

Paragraph 131 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.

132. Paragraph 132 purports to describe and/or summarize the contents of the NRA

Conflict of Interest and Related Party Transaction Policy. The NRA states that the NRA Conflict

of Interest and Related Party Transaction Policy speaks for itself, and respectfully refers the Court

to such document for its complete, accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in

Paragraph 132 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.

PART FIVE – DEFENDANTS' VIOLATIONS OF NEW YORK LAW

133. Paragraph 133 of the Complaint purports to describe and/or summarize the contents

of the NRA's bylaws, which speak for themselves. The NRA respectfully refers the Court to its

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

bylaws for their complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 133

are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.

134. Paragraph 134 of the Complaint purports to describe and/or summarize the contents

of the NRA's bylaws, which speak for themselves. The NRA respectfully refers the Court to its

bylaws for their complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 134

are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.

135. The NRA admits the allegations set forth in Paragraph 135 of the Complaint.

136. The NRA admits that Mr. Philips served as Treasurer of the NRA for 26 years, a

position to which he was repeatedly elected by the NRA Board. To the extent that Paragraph 136

purports to describe and/or summarize the executive authority of the Treasurer and/or the

Executive Vice President pursuant to the NRA bylaws, the NRA states that its bylaws speak for

themselves and respectfully refers the Court to the bylaws for their complete and accurate

contents. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 136 are inconsistent with the referenced

document, the NRA denies them. The NRA denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 136.

137. The NRA admits that it hired Mr. Frazer as General Counsel in 2015, and further

admits that Mr. Frazer has served as Secretary since 2015, a position to which he has repeatedly

been elected by the NRA Board. To the extent that Paragraph 137 purports to describe and/or

summarize the executive authority of the Treasurer and/or the Executive Vice President pursuant

to the NRA bylaws, the NRA states that its bylaws speak for themselves and respectfully refers

the Court to the bylaws for their complete and accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations

in Paragraph 137 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them. The NRA

denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 137.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

138. The NRA admits that it hired Mr. Powell as Chief of Staff in 2016, and further admits that he was appointed to the roles of Executive Director of General Operations in January 2017 and Senior Strategist in December 2018. The NRA further admits that it terminated Mr. Powell in January 2020. To the extent that Paragraph 138 purports to describe and/or summarize the executive authority of the Treasurer and/or the Executive Vice President pursuant to the NRA bylaws, the NRA states that its bylaws speak for themselves and respectfully refers the Court to the bylaws for their complete and accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 138 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them. The NRA denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 138.

- 139. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 139 of the Complaint.
- 140. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 140 of the Complaint.
- 141. The NRA admits that Mr. LaPierre's wife, Susan LaPierre, is chair of the NRA Women's Leadership Forum. With respect to testimony allegedly elicited from Mr. LaPierre by the NYAG during the course of its investigation, the NRA states that such testimony was elicited subject to substantial COVID-19 precautions which could reasonably be expected to impede accurate transcription, including masking and socially-distant seating arrangements. The NRA further states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the accuracy of allegations in Paragraph 141 of the Complaint purporting to characterize Mr. LaPierre's testimony, and on that basis denies such allegations. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 141 of the Complaint.
  - 142. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 142 of the Complaint.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

143. Paragraph 143 purports to characterize testimony elicited from Mr. LaPierre by the NYAG during the course of its investigation. The NRA states that such testimony was elicited subject to substantial COVID-19 precautions which could reasonably be expected to impede accurate transcription, including masking and socially-distant seating arrangements. The NRA further states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the accuracy of allegations in Paragraph 143 purporting to characterize Mr. LaPierre's testimony, and on that basis denies such allegations. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 143 of the Complaint.

144. Because Paragraph 144 fails to specify the "NRA records" referenced, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of allegations regarding such records and therefore such allegations. The NRA states that air charter charges determined to constitute excess benefits were reimbursed by Mr. LaPierre to the NRA. With respect to testimony allegedly elicited from Mr. LaPierre by the NYAG during the course of its investigation, the NRA states that such testimony was elicited subject to substantial COVID-19 precautions which could reasonably be expected to impede accurate transcription, including masking and socially-distant seating arrangements. The NRA further states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the accuracy of allegations in Paragraph 144 purporting to characterize Mr. LaPierre's testimony, and on that basis denies such allegations. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 144 of the Complaint.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

145. Paragraph 145 purports to characterize testimony elicited from Mr. LaPierre by the NYAG during the course of its investigation. The NRA states that such testimony was elicited subject to substantial COVID-19 precautions which could reasonably be expected to impede accurate transcription, including masking and socially-distant seating arrangements. The NRA further states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the accuracy of allegations in Paragraph 145 purporting to characterize Mr. LaPierre's testimony, and on that basis denies such allegations. The NRA admits that it incurred an air charter charge totaling \$11,435 on August 21, 2016, and states that this amount was reimbursed by Mr. LaPierre with interest. To the extent that Paragraph 145 purports to characterize travel or recreation activities undertaken by Mr. LaPierre or his family other than in connection with NRA business, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of such allegations and therefore denies them. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 145 of the Complaint.

NYAG during the course of its investigation. The NRA states that such testimony was elicited subject to substantial COVID-19 precautions which could reasonably be expected to impede accurate transcription, including masking and socially-distant seating arrangements. The NRA further states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the accuracy of allegations in Paragraph 146 purporting to characterize Mr. LaPierre's testimony, and on that basis denies such allegations. The NRA admits that it incurred an air charter charge totaling \$26,995 on July 1, 2017, and states

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

that the amount of this benefit realized from this flight was reimbursed by Mr. LaPierre with

interest. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 146 of the Complaint.

147. Paragraph 147 purports to characterize testimony elicited from Mr. LaPierre by the

NYAG during the course of its investigation. The NRA states that such testimony was elicited

subject to substantial COVID-19 precautions which could reasonably be expected to impede

accurate transcription, including masking and socially-distant seating arrangements. The NRA

further states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify

and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or

information sufficient to form a belief as to the accuracy of allegations in Paragraph 147

purporting to characterize Mr. LaPierre's testimony, and on that basis denies such allegations.

The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 147 of the Complaint.

148. Paragraph 148 purports to characterize testimony elicited from Mr. LaPierre by the

NYAG during the course of its investigation. The NRA states that such testimony was elicited

subject to substantial COVID-19 precautions which could reasonably be expected to impede

accurate transcription, including masking and socially-distant seating arrangements. The NRA

further states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify

and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or

information sufficient to form a belief as to the accuracy of allegations in Paragraph 148

purporting to characterize Mr. LaPierre's testimony, and on that basis denies such allegations.

The NRA states that expenses associated with private air travel which were determined to

constitute excess benefits were reimbursed by Mr. LaPierre to the NRA.

149. Paragraph 149 purports to characterize testimony elicited from Mr. LaPierre by the

NYAG during the course of its investigation. The NRA states that such testimony was elicited

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

subject to substantial COVID-19 precautions which could reasonably be expected to impede accurate transcription, including masking and socially-distant seating arrangements. The NRA further states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the accuracy of allegations in Paragraph 149 purporting to characterize Mr. LaPierre's testimony, and on that basis denies such allegations. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 149 of the Complaint.

- 150. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 150 of the Complaint.
- 151. The NRA states that charges associated with private air travel which constituted potential excess benefits were reimbursed by Mr. LaPierre. The NRA denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 151 of the Complaint.
- 152. The NRA admits that in March and April 2019, Mr. LaPierre took business trips to Orlando, Florida and Tulsa, Oklahoma with a stop in North Platte, Nebraska. The NRA states that potential excess benefit amounts relating to these trips were reimbursed by Mr. LaPierre. The NRA denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 152 of the Complaint.
- 153. Paragraph 153 purports to characterize testimony elicited by the NYAG during the course of its investigation. The NRA states that such testimony was elicited subject to substantial COVID-19 precautions which could reasonably be expected to impede accurate transcription; for example, the testimony referenced here was conducted by videoconference, with the witness situated in a remote area and tasked with printing and organizing his own exhibits. The NRA further states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

information sufficient to form a belief as to the accuracy of allegations purporting to characterize

the referenced testimony, and on that basis denies such allegations.

154. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth

or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 154 of the Complaint, and on that basis denies

such allegations.

155. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 155 of the Complaint.

156. Paragraph 156 purports to characterize testimony elicited by the NYAG during the

course of its investigation. The NRA states that such testimony was elicited subject to substantial

COVID-19 precautions which could reasonably be expected to impede accurate transcription; for

example, the testimony referenced here was conducted by videoconference, with the witness

situated in a remote area and tasked with printing and organizing his own exhibits. The NRA

further states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify

and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or

information sufficient to form a belief as to the accuracy of allegations in Paragraph 156 of the

Complaint purporting to characterize the referenced testimony, and on that basis denies such

allegations. The NRA denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 156.

157. To the extent Paragraph 157 purports to describe and/or summarize the contents of

regulatory filings by the NRA, the NRA states that such documents speak for themselves, and

respectfully refers the Court to such documents for their complete, accurate contents. To the

extent that the allegations in Paragraph 157 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the

NRA denies them. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the

truth of allegations in Paragraph 157 regarding the NYAG's success or failure at locating

evidence, and on that basis denies such allegations.

DOC. NO. 325

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

158. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth

or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 158 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

159. The NRA admits that it incurred charges relating to air travel by Mr. LaPierre to

the Bahamas during the referenced period, including in connection with donor-cultivation and

other business activities. The NRA states that charges deemed to constitute excess benefits were

reimbursed by Mr. LaPierre. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief

as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 159 of the Complaint and, on

that basis, denies such allegations.

160. The NRA admits that Mr. LaPierre has attended celebrity fundraising events during

December in the Bahamas. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 160

of the Complaint.

161. The NRA admits that it executed contracts with each of Membership Marketing

Partners ("MMP"), Allegiance Creative Group ("Allegiance"), and Concord Social & Public

Relations ("Concord"), and that such contracts were signed and took effect in December 2011.

The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of

the remaining allegations in Paragraph 161 of the Complaint and, on that basis, denies such

allegations.

The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 162 of the Complaint.

163. The NRA admits that it paid MMP roughly \$71 million during the period from 2014

to 2020, and admits that it paid Concord roughly \$26.1 million during the same period. The NRA

denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 163.

164. The NRA admits that it paid Allegiance roughly \$6 million during the period from

2014 to 2020. To the extent Paragraph 164 purports to describe and/or summarize the contents

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

of the NRA's 2018 Form 990, the NRA states that such form speaks for itself, and respectfully refers the Court to such document for its complete, accurate contents. To the extent that the

allegations in Paragraph 164 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies

them.

165. Paragraph 165 purports to characterize testimony elicited from Mr. LaPierre by the

NYAG during the course of its investigation. The NRA states that such testimony was elicited

subject to substantial COVID-19 precautions which could reasonably be expected to impede

accurate transcription, including masking and socially-distant seating arrangements. The NRA

further states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify

and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or

information sufficient to form a belief as to the accuracy of allegations in Paragraph 165

purporting to characterize Mr. LaPierre's testimony, and on that basis denies such allegations.

The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 165 of the Complaint.

166. The NRA admits that over the course of 2012-2018, payments were made to MMP,

Concord and Allegiance for additional services and staffing not originally contemplated in the

entities' 2011 contracts. The NRA further admits that Mr. LaPierre signed contracts with MMP

in 2015 and 2017; Allegiance in 2015, 2017 and 2019; Concord in 2015 and 2017; and ATI in

2004. To the extent Paragraph 166 purports to characterize testimony elicited from Mr. LaPierre

by the NYAG during the course of its investigation, the NRA states that such testimony was

elicited subject to substantial COVID-19 precautions which could reasonably be expected to

impede accurate transcription, including masking and socially-distant seating arrangements. The

NRA further states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to

identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

information sufficient to form a belief as to the accuracy of allegations in Paragraph 166

purporting to characterize Mr. LaPierre's testimony, and on that basis denies such allegations.

The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 166 of the Complaint.

167. The NRA admits that it possesses, and produced to the NYAG, copies of travel-

expense reimbursement documents reflecting trips by Mr. LaPierre to California during the

referenced period, including hotel stays and meetings with vendors. The NRA lacks knowledge

or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph

167, and therefore denies such allegations.

168. To the extent Paragraph 168 purports to characterize testimony elicited from Mr.

LaPierre by the NYAG during the course of its investigation, the NRA states that such testimony

was elicited subject to substantial COVID-19 precautions which could reasonably be expected to

impede accurate transcription, including masking and socially-distant seating arrangements. The

NRA further states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to

identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or

information sufficient to form a belief as to the accuracy of allegations in Paragraph 168

purporting to characterize Mr. LaPierre's testimony, and on that basis denies such allegations.

The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of

the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 168 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

169. To the extent Paragraph 169 purports to characterize testimony elicited from Mr.

LaPierre by the NYAG during the course of its investigation, the NRA states that such testimony

was elicited subject to substantial COVID-19 precautions which could reasonably be expected to

impede accurate transcription, including masking and socially-distant seating arrangements. The

NRA further states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or

information sufficient to form a belief as to the accuracy of allegations in Paragraph 169

purporting to characterize Mr. LaPierre's testimony, and on that basis denies such allegations.

The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of

the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 169 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

170. To the extent Paragraph 170 purports to characterize the contents of the NRA

Financial Disclosure Questionnaires and copies thereof submitted by Mr. LaPierre, the NRA

states that such documents speak for themselves, and respectfully refers the Court to such

documents for their complete, accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph

170 are inconsistent with the referenced documents, the NRA denies them.

171. Paragraph 171 purports to characterize the contents of the NRA Financial

Disclosure Questionnaires and copies thereof submitted by Mr. LaPierre. The NRA states that

such documents speak for themselves, and respectfully refers the Court to such documents for

their complete, accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 171 are

inconsistent with the referenced documents, the NRA denies them. To the extent Paragraph 171

purports to characterize testimony elicited from Mr. LaPierre by the NYAG during the course of

its investigation, the NRA states that such testimony was elicited subject to substantial COVID-

19 precautions which could reasonably be expected to impede accurate transcription, including

masking and socially-distant seating arrangements. The NRA further states that it repeatedly

requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's

transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as

to the accuracy of allegations in Paragraph 171 purporting to characterize Mr. LaPierre's

testimony, and on that basis denies such allegations.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

172. Paragraph 172 purports to describe and/or summarize the contents of the NRA Conflict of Interest and Related Party Transaction Policy. The NRA states that the NRA Conflict of Interest and Related Party Transaction Policy speaks for itself, and respectfully refers the Court to such document for its complete, accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 172 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 172 of the Complaint constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent that a response is required, the NRA denies the allegations.

173. To the extent Paragraph 173 purports to characterize testimony elicited from Mr. LaPierre by the NYAG during the course of its investigation, the NRA states that such testimony was elicited subject to substantial COVID-19 precautions which could reasonably be expected to impede accurate transcription, including masking and socially-distant seating arrangements. The NRA further states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the accuracy of allegations in Paragraph 173 purporting to characterize Mr. LaPierre's testimony, and on that basis denies such allegations.

174. To the extent Paragraph 174 purports to characterize testimony elicited from Mr. LaPierre by the NYAG during the course of its investigation, the NRA states that such testimony was elicited subject to substantial COVID-19 precautions which could reasonably be expected to impede accurate transcription, including masking and socially-distant seating arrangements. The NRA further states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the accuracy of allegations in Paragraph 174 purporting to characterize Mr. LaPierre's testimony, and on that basis denies such allegations.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

175. To the extent Paragraph 175 purports to characterize testimony elicited from Mr.

LaPierre by the NYAG during the course of its investigation, the NRA states that such testimony

was elicited subject to substantial COVID-19 precautions which could reasonably be expected to

impede accurate transcription, including masking and socially-distant seating arrangements. The

NRA further states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to

identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or

information sufficient to form a belief as to the accuracy of allegations in Paragraph 175

purporting to characterize Mr. LaPierre's testimony, and on that basis denies such allegations.

The NRA states that Mr. LaPierre performs significant donor-cultivation work, for which ample

"evidentiary support" exists. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph

175 of the Complaint.

176. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth

or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 176 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

177. Paragraph 177 purports to describe and/or summarize the contents of the NRA

Travel Policy. The NRA states that the NRA Travel Policy speaks for itself, and respectfully

refers the Court to such document for its complete, accurate contents. To the extent that the

allegations in Paragraph 177 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies

them.

178. The NRA admits that it has purchased travel-consulting services from Inventive

Incentive & Insurance Services Inc. ("II&IS"), and that certain II&IS invoices issued over the

course of the past thirty years have borne the d/b/a name GS2 Enterprises. To the extent

Paragraph 178 purports to characterize testimony elicited from Mr. LaPierre by the NYAG during

the course of its investigation, the NRA states that such testimony was elicited subject to

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

substantial COVID-19 precautions which could reasonably be expected to impede accurate transcription, including masking and socially-distant seating arrangements. The NRA further states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the accuracy of allegations in Paragraph 178 purporting to

characterize Mr. LaPierre's testimony, and on that basis denies such allegations. The NRA denies

the remaining allegations in Paragraph 178.

179. To the extent Paragraph 179 purports to characterize testimony elicited from Mr.

LaPierre by the NYAG during the course of its investigation, the NRA states that such testimony

was elicited subject to substantial COVID-19 precautions which could reasonably be expected to

impede accurate transcription, including masking and socially-distant seating arrangements. The

NRA further states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to

identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or

information sufficient to form a belief as to the accuracy of allegations in Paragraph 179

purporting to characterize Mr. LaPierre's testimony, and on that basis denies such allegations.

The NRA denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 179.

180. The NRA admits that it paid monthly fees to II&IS for travel services during the

periods indicated, and further admits that such monthly fees totaled \$15,000 and \$19,000 at

certain relevant times. The NRA states that II&IS subsequently participated in a competitive

bidding process for travel-consultation services and submitted a less-costly bid than competitors.

The NRA denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 180.

181. With respect to allegations purporting to characterize testimony elicited by the

NYAG in the course of its investigation, the NRA states that it repeatedly requested, and was

Complaint, and therefore denies them.

SCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts, and further states that many witnesses testified subject to COVID-19 precautions such as masking, social distancing, and/or videoconference which would be reasonably expected to diminish the accuracy of transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of allegations purporting to recount witnesses' testimony, and therefore denies such allegations. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 181 of the

- 182. The NRA denies that, during the entirety of the 2005-2019 period, the NRA's Purchasing Policy required written authorization of the NRA President or a Vice President. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 182 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.
- 183. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 183 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.
- 184. To the extent Paragraph 184 purports to describe and/or summarize a business case analysis worksheet pertaining to travel services provider II&IS, the NRA states that this document speaks for itself, and respectfully refers the Court to such document for its complete, accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 184 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 184 of the Complaint.
- 185. The NRA admits that on March 15, 2019, Mr. LaPierre, along with other appropriate officers and staff, —authorized a contract with GS2 Enterprises. The NRA's thenpresident, North, likewise acknowledged the contract. To the extent Paragraph 185 purports to

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

describe and/or summarize a business case analysis worksheet pertaining to travel services

provider II&IS/GS2, the NRA states that this document speaks for itself, and respectfully refers

the Court to such document for its complete, accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations

in Paragraph 185 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.

186. The NRA admits that in early 2020, it conducted a competitive-bid process for

certain travel services offered previously by II&IS. The NRA admits that it accepted II&IS's

winning bid, one term of which was a flat monthly fee of \$7,000. The NRA denies the remaining

allegations in Paragraph 186.

187. To the extent Paragraph 187 purports to characterize testimony elicited from Mr.

LaPierre by the NYAG during the course of its investigation, the NRA states that such testimony

was elicited subject to substantial COVID-19 precautions which could reasonably be expected to

impede accurate transcription, including masking and socially-distant seating arrangements. The

NRA further states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to

identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or

information sufficient to form a belief as to the accuracy of allegations in Paragraph 187

purporting to characterize Mr. LaPierre's testimony, and on that basis denies such allegations.

188. The NRA admits that it paid certain amounts to a travel consultant (including pass-

through amounts for costs) from August 2014 to February 2020. The NRA denies the remaining

allegations in Paragraph 188.

189. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 189 of the Complaint.

190. The NRA admits that it remitted expense reimbursements to Mr. LaPierre during

the referenced period. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 190 purport to characterize

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

the net balance of reimbursements remitted between and among the NRA and Mr. LaPierre (omitting reimbursements by Mr. LaPierre to the NRA), the NRA denies such allegations.

191. To the extent that Paragraph 191 purports to characterize or apply IRS regulations,

its allegations consist of legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a

response is required, the NRA denies such allegations. The NRA lacks knowledge or information

sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in

Paragraph 191 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

192. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth

or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 192 of the Complaint, and therefore

denies them.

193. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 193 purport to characterize travel,

recreation, or charitable activities by Mr. LaPierre or his family, the NRA lacks knowledge of

information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in

Paragraph 193, and therefore denies them.

194. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 194 purport to characterize NRA

expense-reimbursement records, the NRA states that these documents speak for themselves, and

respectfully refers the Court to such documents for their complete, accurate contents. To the

extent that the allegations in Paragraph 194 are inconsistent with the referenced documents, the

NRA denies them.

195. The NRA admits that it reimbursed expenses incurred by Mr. LaPierre in

connection with the production of Under Wild Skies, a television program focused on hunting

and conservation. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 195 of the Complaint, and

therefore denies them.

196. The NRA admits that Mr. LaPierre was reimbursed for expenses during the

referenced period relating to, among other things, golf club membership fees. To the extent that

Paragraph 196 purports to characterize Form CHAR500 or any related document filed with the

NYAG, the NRA states that these filings speak for themselves, and respectfully refers the Court

to such documents for their complete, accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in

Paragraph 196 are inconsistent with the referenced documents, the NRA denies them. To the

extent Paragraph 196 purports to characterize testimony elicited from Mr. LaPierre by the NYAG

during the course of its investigation, the NRA states that such testimony was elicited subject to

substantial COVID-19 precautions which could reasonably be expected to impede accurate

transcription, including masking and socially-distant seating arrangements. The NRA further

states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and

rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information

sufficient to form a belief as to the accuracy of allegations in Paragraph 196 purporting to

characterize Mr. LaPierre's testimony, and on that basis denies such allegations. The NRA lacks

knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining

allegations set forth in Paragraph 196 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

197. The NRA admits that certain expenses submitted by Mr. LaPierre were formerly

processed by an employee within NRA-ILA, and that the employee incurred an extended sick

leave beginning in 2017. To the extent Paragraph 197 purports to characterize testimony elicited

by the NYAG during the course of its investigation, the NRA states that such testimony was

elicited subject to substantial COVID-19 precautions which could reasonably be expected to

set forth in Paragraph 197 of the Complaint.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

impede accurate transcription; for example, the testimony referenced here was conducted by videoconference, with the witness situated in a remote area and tasked with printing and organizing his own exhibits. The NRA further states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the accuracy of allegations in Paragraph 197 purporting to characterize the referenced testimony, and on that basis denies such allegations. The NRA denies the remaining allegations

- 198. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 198 of the Complaint.
- 199. The NRA admits that at certain relevant times, it maintained an internal consulting budget in the Executive Vice President cost center. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 199.
- 200. The NRA admits that its Financial Services Division prepares a proposed budget each year based on, among other things (i) data regarding historical expenditures in relevant categories and (ii) input from executives including, where applicable, Mr. LaPierre. The NRA denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 200.
- 201. The NRA denies that the 2012 Memorandum sets forth its operative contracting policies, and refers to and reincorporates herein its response to Paragraph 102 concerning the 2012 Memorandum. The NRA denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 201.
- 202. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 202 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.
- 203. To the extent Paragraph 203 purports to characterize testimony elicited from Mr. LaPierre by the NYAG during the course of its investigation, the NRA states that such testimony

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

was elicited subject to substantial COVID-19 precautions which could reasonably be expected to

impede accurate transcription, including masking and socially-distant seating arrangements. The

NRA further states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to

identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or

information sufficient to form a belief as to the accuracy of allegations in Paragraph 203

purporting to characterize Mr. LaPierre's testimony, and on that basis denies such allegations.

The NRA admits that in certain instances during 2018, McKenna received payment for services

not covered by its then-existing contract. The NRA further admits that during the time period

alleged, certain invoices were paid without confirmation of a formal written contract. The NRA

lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining

allegations set forth in Paragraph 203 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

204. The NRA admits that certain Women's Leadership Forum staff work closely with

Mrs. LaPierre in connection with the Women's Leadership Forum. The NRA lacks knowledge

or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set

forth in Paragraph 204 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

205. The NRA admits that the EVP Consulting Budget has, from time to time, included

consulting arrangements with former NRA presidents and/or board members. The NRA further

states that the details of such consulting arrangements, their documentation, and their approval or

ratification by the Audit Committee vary and have varied over time. The requirements of New

York law and NRA policy have likewise varied over time. To the extent that the allegations in

Paragraph 205 purport to characterize New York law, they constitute legal conclusions to which

no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations. To

the extent the allegations in Paragraph 205 purport to characterize the Conflict of Interest and

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

Related Party Transaction Policy, the NRA states that such policy speaks for itself. The NRA

respectfully refers the Court to the Conflict of Interest and Related Party Transaction Policy for

its complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 205 are inconsistent

with the referenced document, the NRA denies them. The NRA denies the remaining allegations

set forth in Paragraph 205 of the Complaint.

206. The NRA admits that during the referenced period, it incurred substantial expenses

to provide security for Mr. LaPierre based on the advice of security professionals and law

enforcement officials. To the extent Paragraph 206 purports to characterize testimony elicited

from Mr. LaPierre by the NYAG during the course of its investigation, the NRA states that such

testimony was elicited subject to substantial COVID-19 precautions which could reasonably be

expected to impede accurate transcription, including masking and socially-distant seating

arrangements. The NRA further states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied,

the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA

lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the accuracy of allegations in

Paragraph 206 purporting to characterize Mr. LaPierre's testimony, and on that basis denies such

allegations. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth

or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 206 of the Complaint, and therefore

denies them.

207. The NRA admits that an armored vehicle was procured for Mr. LaPierre. To the

extent that the allegations in Paragraph 207 purport to excerpt or characterize testimony elicited

by the NYAG during the course of its investigation, the NRA states that it repeatedly requested,

and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's

transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

to the accuracy of allegations in Paragraph 207 purporting to characterize such testimony, and on

that basis denies such allegations.

208. With respect to allegations purporting to characterize testimony elicited by the

NYAG in the course of its investigation, the NRA states that it repeatedly requested, and was

repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts, and

further states that many witnesses testified subject to COVID-19 precautions such as masking,

social distancing, and/or videoconference which would be reasonably expected to diminish the

accuracy of transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form

a belief as to the truth or falsity of allegations in Paragraph 208 purporting to recount witnesses'

testimony, and therefore denies such allegations.

209. The NRA admits that in the wake of the Parkland tragedy, following advice from

Angus McQueen that a "safe house" be established, Mr. LaPierre and his wife reviewed several

properties selected by Ackerman McQueen's real estate broker and at the recommendation of

Ackerman McQueen. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to

the accurate market valuation the property, and denies the allegations in Paragraph 209 regarding

the same. The residences visited included a home in Westlake, Texas. The NRA lacks knowledge

or information sufficient to form a belief as to the accurate market valuation of the property, and

denies the allegations in Paragraph 209 regarding the same. The NRA denies the remaining

allegations in Paragraph 209.

210. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 210 purport to characterize documents

prepared by attorneys selected by Ackerman McQueen (Dorsey & Whitney LLP) which were

executed by Mr. Philips, the NRA states that such documents speak for themselves. The NRA

respectfully refers the Court to such documents for their complete, accurate contents; to the extent

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

that the allegations in Paragraph 210 are inconsistent with the referenced documents, the NRA denies them. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 210 purport to characterize the legal

effect of such documents, they constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required; to

the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

211. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 211 purport to characterize an email dated

May 21, 2018, the NRA states that such email speaks for itself. The NRA respectfully refers the

Court to such email for its complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in

Paragraph 211 are inconsistent with the referenced documents, the NRA denies them.

212. The NRA admits that an Ackerman McQueen executive sent an invoice from WBB

Investments LLC in the amount of \$70,000 bearing the referenced annotation. To the extent the

allegations in Paragraph 212 purport to characterize NRA policy, the NRA states that such policy

speaks for itself. The NRA respectfully refers the Court to such NRA policy for its complete,

accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 212 are inconsistent with the

referenced documents, the NRA denies them.

213. The NRA admits the allegations set forth in Paragraph 213 of the Complaint.

214. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 214 purport to characterize the May 25,

2018 email, the NRA states that such email speaks for itself. The NRA respectfully refers the

Court to such email for its complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in

Paragraph 214 are inconsistent with the referenced documents, the NRA denies them. The NRA

lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining

allegations set forth in Paragraph 214 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

215. The NRA admits that it issued a check to WBB Investments, LLC in the amount of

\$70,000; shortly thereafter, the NRA made clear it did not intend to proceed with any transaction

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

and the funds were returned. To the extent Paragraph 215 purports to characterize testimony

elicited from Mr. LaPierre by the NYAG during the course of its investigation, the NRA states

that such testimony was elicited subject to substantial COVID-19 precautions which could

reasonably be expected to impede accurate transcription, including masking and socially-distant

seating arrangements. The NRA further states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly

denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts. Accordingly, the

NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the accuracy of allegations

in Paragraph 215 purporting to characterize Mr. LaPierre's testimony, and on that basis denies

such allegations. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 215 of the

Complaint.

216. The NRA admits that Phillips served as Treasurer of the NRA from 1992 to 2018.

To the extent that Paragraph 216 purports to characterize the executive authority of the Treasurer

and/or the Executive Vice President pursuant to the NRA bylaws, the NRA states that its bylaws

speak for themselves and respectfully refers the Court to the bylaws for their complete and

accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 216 are inconsistent with the

referenced document, the NRA denies them. The NRA admits that during his tenure as Treasurer,

Phillips failed to require adherence to certain internal financial controls. The NRA denies the

remaining allegations in Paragraph 216.

217. The NRA admits that beginning in 2018, after Phillips' departure, Phillips'

successor revised certain financial processes, including processes relating to credit card

reimbursements and expense approvals. To the extent Paragraph 217 purports to characterize

testimony elicited by the NYAG during the course of its investigation, the NRA states that such

testimony was elicited subject to substantial COVID-19 precautions which could reasonably be

set forth in Paragraph 217 of the Complaint.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

expected to impede accurate transcription; for example, the testimony referenced here was conducted by videoconference, with the witness situated in a remote area and tasked with printing and organizing his own exhibits. The NRA further states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the accuracy of allegations in Paragraph 217 purporting to characterize the referenced testimony, and on that basis denies such allegations. The NRA denies the remaining allegations

- 218. The NRA admits that during 2018, at least one FSD staffer raised concerns about practices during Phillips' tenure relating to the review of expenses and processing of payments. With respect to allegations purporting to characterize testimony elicited by the NYAG in the course of its investigation, the NRA states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts, and further states that many witnesses testified subject to COVID-19 precautions such as masking, social distancing, and/or videoconference which would be reasonably expected to diminish the accuracy of transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of allegations purporting to recount witnesses' testimony, and therefore denies such allegations. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 218 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.
  - 219. The NRA refers to and reincorporates herein its response to Paragraph 218.
- 220. The NRA admits that several FSD staff raised issues with the Audit Committee pursuant to the NRA's whistleblower policy during the summer of 2018, including at a July 2018

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

Audit Committee meeting. The NRA further admits that certain such whistleblower concerns pertained to Mr. Phillips and Mr. Powell. The NRA denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph

220.

221. The NRA admits that it made payments to HomeTelos LP between 2014 and 2017,

and admits further that Mr. Phillips later disclosed a personal friendship with an executive of

HomeTelos or an affiliate. The NRA states that its transactions with HomeTelos were ratified by

the Audit Committee of the NRA Board following Mr. Phillips' disclosure. The NRA denies the

remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 221 of the Complaint.

222. The NRA admits that on or about September 2, 2014, Mr. Phillips executed a

contract with HomeTelos LP on behalf of the NRA. The NRA states that neither Mr. LaPierre

nor any other NRA officer signed the contract or participated in its negotiation. To the extent the

allegations in Paragraph 222 purport to characterize the Conflict of Interest and Related Party

Transaction Policy, including types of relationships constituting conflicts thereunder, the NRA

states that such policy speaks for itself. The NRA respectfully refers the Court to the Conflict of

Interest and Related Party Transaction Policy for its complete, accurate contents; to the extent

that the allegations in Paragraph 222 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA

denies them.

223. The NRA admits that upon information and belief, the Managing Director of

Information Services participated in the negotiation of the HomeTelos contract, and elected to

engage HomeTelos on the agreed terms, without being aware of or influenced by Mr. Phillips'

personal friendship. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to

the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 223 of the Complaint, and

therefore denies them.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

224. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 224 purport to characterize the contents

of Mr. Philips' conflict disclosure forms for the referenced years, the NRA states that such forms

speak for themselves. The NRA respectfully refers the Court to such documents for their

complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 224 are inconsistent

with the referenced documents, the NRA denies them.

225. The NRA admits that although the HomeTelos agreement had ended in 2017, it re-

examined the agreement in 2018, and the relationship was reviewed the same year by the Audit

Committee of the NRA Board. The NRA denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 225 of

the Complaint.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

226. The NRA admits that staff raised issues with the Audit Committee regarding the

HomeTelos contract in July 2018. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form

a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 226 of the

Complaint, and therefore denies them.

227. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth

or falsity of the first sentence of Paragraph 227 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them. The

NRA admits the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 227.

228. The NRA admits that Phillips disclosed a July 2018 trip aboard a yacht owned by

the shareholder of MMP. The NRA states that Phillips disclosed the trip prior to his departure,

and the trip was ratified by the Audit Committee. To the extent Paragraph 228 purports to

characterize testimony elicited from Mr. LaPierre by the NYAG during the course of its

investigation, the NRA states that such testimony was elicited subject to substantial COVID-19

precautions which could reasonably be expected to impede accurate transcription, including

masking and socially-distant seating arrangements. The NRA further states that it repeatedly

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's

transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as

to the accuracy of allegations in Paragraph 228 purporting to characterize Mr. LaPierre's

testimony, and on that basis denies such allegations. The NRA denies the remaining allegations

set forth in Paragraph 228 of the Complaint.

229. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 229 purport to characterize the contents

of Mr. Philips' Financial Disclosure Questionnaire, the NRA states that such document speaks

for itself. The NRA respectfully refers the Court to such document for its complete, accurate

contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 229 are inconsistent with the referenced

document, the NRA denies them. The NRA admits the remaining allegations set forth in

Paragraph 229 of the Complaint.

230. Upon information and belief, the NRA admits the allegations in the first sentence

of Paragraph 230. To the extent that Paragraph 230 purports to characterize the Report of the

Audit Committee of the NRA Board of Directors dated September 8-9, 2018, the NRA states that

such document speaks for itself. The NRA respectfully refers the Court to such document for its

complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 230 are inconsistent

with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.

231. The NRA admits the allegations set forth in the first sentence of Paragraph 231.

To the extent that Paragraph 231 purports to characterize provisions of the NRA bylaws governing

the compensation of the Treasurer, the NRA states that its bylaws speak for themselves and

respectfully refers the Court to the bylaws for their complete and accurate contents; to the extent

that the allegations in Paragraph 231 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

denies them. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 231 consist of legal conclusions to which

no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

232. To the extent that Paragraph 232 purports to characterize the content of a purported

post-employment consulting agreement propounded by Mr. Philips, the NRA states that such

agreement speaks for itself. The NRA respectfully refers the Court to such document for its

complete and accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 232 are inconsistent

with the referenced document, the NRA denies them. To the extent that Paragraph 232 purports

to characterize the legal effect of the foregoing document, its allegations consist of legal

conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies

such allegations.

233. With respect to allegations purporting to characterize testimony elicited by the

NYAG in the course of its investigation, the NRA states that it repeatedly requested, and was

repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts, and

further states that many witnesses testified subject to COVID-19 precautions such as masking,

social distancing, and/or videoconference which would be reasonably expected to diminish the

accuracy of transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form

a belief as to the truth or falsity of allegations in Paragraph 233 purporting to recount witnesses'

testimony, and therefore denies such allegations. The NRA admits that the Audit Committee did

not review or approve any purported consulting agreement for Phillips prior to Phillips'

retirement.

234. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 234 of the Complaint.

235. With respect to allegations purporting to characterize testimony elicited by the

NYAG in the course of its investigation, the NRA states that it repeatedly requested, and was

DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts, and further states that many witnesses testified subject to COVID-19 precautions such as masking, social distancing, and/or videoconference which would be reasonably expected to diminish the accuracy of transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 235 purporting to recount witnesses' testimony, and therefore denies such allegations.

- 236. With respect to allegations purporting to characterize testimony elicited by the NYAG in the course of its investigation, the NRA states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts, and further states that many witnesses testified subject to COVID-19 precautions such as masking, social distancing, and/or videoconference which would be reasonably expected to diminish the accuracy of transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 236 purporting to recount witnesses' testimony, and therefore denies such allegations.
- 237. The NRA admits that following his retirement, Mr. Phillips purported to submit invoices to the NRA in the referenced amounts, and that payments were remitted in response to some of these invoices before the NRA ceased paying Mr. Phillips during 2019. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 237 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.
- 238. The NRA admits that Mr. Powell was hired as Chief of Staff in 2016, and had previously served as an elected member of the NRA Board. To the extent Paragraph 238 purports to characterize testimony elicited from Mr. LaPierre by the NYAG during the course of its investigation, the NRA states that such testimony was elicited subject to substantial COVID-19

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

precautions which could reasonably be expected to impede accurate transcription, including masking and socially-distant seating arrangements. The NRA further states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the accuracy of allegations in Paragraph 238 purporting to characterize Mr. LaPierre's

to the accuracy of anegations in raragraph 256 purporting to characterize wit. Lariette s

testimony, and on that basis denies such allegations. The NRA denies the remaining allegations

set forth in Paragraph 238 of the Complaint.

239. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 239 of the Complaint.

240. The NRA admits that Mr. Powell served as Executive Director of General

Operations until December 2018 and thereafter served as Senior Strategist. The NRA denies the

remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 240 of the Complaint.

241. To the extent that Paragraph 241 purports to characterize a memorandum dated

December 3, 2018, entitled "STAFF ANNOUNCEMENT," the NRA states that such

memorandum speaks for itself. The NRA respectfully refers the Court to such document for its

complete and accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 241 are inconsistent

with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.

242. The NRA admits that it terminated Mr. Powell for cause in January 2020, and that

such cause included misappropriation of NRA funds. The NRA denies the remaining allegations

set forth in Paragraph 242 of the Complaint.

243. The NRA admits that both Mr. LaPierre and Mr. Phillips were involved in setting

Mr. Powell's compensation, and states that advice was also obtained from compensation

consultants. The NRA further admits that Mr. Powell received approximately the designated

salaries during the designated periods. To the extent that Paragraph 243 purports to represent the

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

contents of an employment agreement, the NRA states that the agreement speaks for itself. The

NRA respectfully refers the Court to such document for its complete and accurate contents; to the

extent that the allegations in Paragraph 243 are inconsistent with the referenced agreement, the

NRA denies them. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 243 of the

Complaint.

244. To the extent that Paragraph 244 purports to represent the contents of an

employment agreement, the NRA states that the agreement speaks for itself. The NRA

respectfully refers the Court to such document for its complete and accurate contents; to the extent

that the allegations in Paragraph 244 are inconsistent with the referenced agreement, the NRA

denies them. To the extent that Paragraph 244 purports to represent the contents of NRA policies

contained in the Employee Handbook or Policy Manual, the NRA states that such policies speak

for themselves. The NRA respectfully refers the Court to such documents for their complete and

accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 244 are inconsistent with the

referenced documents, the NRA denies them. The NRA admits that Mr. Powell submitted and

obtained reimbursements for cellular, utilities, parking, cable, and internet charges. The NRA

states that certain such charges supplied cause for Mr. Powell's termination, and states further

that it is currently engaged in efforts to recover such sums from Mr. Powell.

245. The NRA admits that Mr. Powell's salary was increased to \$650,000 in the third

quarter of 2017 and denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 245 of the Complaint.

246. The NRA admits that Mr. Powell's salary was increased to \$800,000 in January

2018 and that Mr. Phillips provided input concerning the salary increase. The NRA denies the

remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 246 of the Complaint.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

247. The NRA admits that Mr. Powell appears to have violated the NRA's expense

reimbursement requirements and policies. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in

Paragraph 247 of the Complaint.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

248. The NRA admits that Mr. Powell charged expenses to his NRA credit card. The

NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the

remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 248 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

249. To the extent that Paragraph 249 purports to represent the contents of the referenced

letter, the NRA states that the letter speaks for itself. The NRA respectfully refers the Court to

such letter for its complete and accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph

249 are inconsistent with the referenced letter, the NRA denies them. The NRA denies the

remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 249 of the Complaint.

250. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 250 purport to require the NRA to

admit or deny the activities of outside counsel or advice sought from outside counsel, the NRA

declines to respond by reason of attorney-client privilege and the work product doctrine, and

therefore denies such allegations. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in

Paragraph 250 of the Complaint.

251. The NRA admits the allegations set forth in Paragraph 251 of the Complaint.

252. The NRA admits that Mr. Powell purported to pay a sum of \$40,760.20 in full

accord and satisfaction of outstanding amounts sought by the NRA. The NRA denies the

remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 252.

253. The NRA admits that discussions began during or about mid-2017 regarding what

later became known as "Project Ben-Hur," and that such discussions ultimately involved

DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

McKenna and touched upon banking and insurance relationships. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 253 of the Complaint.

254. The NRA admits that McKenna performed services relating to Project Ben-Hur during 2017 and 2018 which were not encompassed by McKenna's then-current contract with the NRA. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 254 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

255. The NRA admits that McKenna performed consulting services for the NRA since at least 2012, and that such services included donor-cultivation work. The NRA admits that in July 2017, it entered into an amended contract with McKenna that provided for a \$20,000 monthly consulting fee. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 255 of the Complaint.

- The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 256 of the Complaint.
- 257. The NRA admits that it remitted payments to McKenna in 2018, and further admits that Mr. Powell and Mr. Phillips played a role in negotiating the terms of McKenna's engagement during early 2018. The NRA states that following the Audit Committee's review of the relationship in September 2018, Mr. Powell was "walled off" from contract negotiations with McKenna.
- 258. The NRA admits that a cybersecurity firm called LookingGlass performed services for the NRA during early 2018, and further admits that such services were discontinued by mid-2018. The NRA further admits that Mr. Powell played a role in LookingGlass's engagement. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 258 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

259. The NRA admits upon information and belief that Mr. Powell's wife became an independent contractor of McKenna during or about December 2017, and later became an

employee of McKenna.

260. The NRA admits upon information and belief that Mr. Powell's wife became an

independent contractor of McKenna during or about December 2017, and later became an

employee of McKenna. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as

to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 260, and therefore denies them.

261. To the extent that Paragraph 261 purports to represent the contents of an amended

contract signed by Mr. Philips and Mr. Powell in January 2018, the NRA states that the contract

speaks for itself. The NRA respectfully refers the Court to such contract for its complete and

accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 261 are inconsistent with the

referenced letter, the NRA denies them. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to

form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 261 of

the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

262. The NRA admits that Mr. Powell did not disclose his wife's relationship with

McKenna to the NRA Office of the General Counsel or the Audit Committee prior to January

2018. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or

falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 262 of the Complaint, and therefore

denies them.

263. The NRA admits that Mr. Powell disclosed his wife's relationship with McKenna

to the NRA Office of the General Counsel during mid-2018. The NRA lacks knowledge or

information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set

forth in Paragraph 263 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

NRA employees was conducted on July 26, 2018, and that Mr. Powell participated in the seminar. The NRA further admits that the seminar included training on conflicts of interest and related-

264. The NRA admits that a "refresher" seminar regarding compliance obligations of all

party transactions. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the

truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 264 of the Complaint, and

therefore denies them.

265. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 265 of the Complaint.

266. The NRA admits that it paid \$11,512.73 to Jim Powell Photography ("JPP") in

2018, prior to concerns being raised by staff. The NRA further admits that staff discussed such

concerns with the Audit Committee in July 2018 pursuant to the NRA whistleblower policy. The

NRA admits that the Audit Committee of the NRA Board subsequently ratified prior transactions

with JPP but denies that the Audit Committee's review was "summar[y]." The NRA lacks

knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining

allegations set forth in Paragraph 266 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

267. The NRA admits that it received a letter making assertions of sex discrimination

against Mr. Powell in June 2017. To the extent that Paragraph 267 purports to represent the letter

as a complaint, the NRA states that the letter speaks for itself. The NRA respectfully refers the

Court to such document for its complete and accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations

in Paragraph 267 are inconsistent with the referenced letter, the NRA denies them. The NRA

admits the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 267 of the Complaint.

268. To the extent that Paragraph 268 purports to represent a complaint, the NRA states

that the complaint speaks for itself. The NRA respectfully refers the Court to such document for

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

its complete and accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 268 are inconsistent with the referenced complaint, the NRA denies them.

269. The NRA admits that during or about June 2018, it made a payment to a former employee totaling \$89,000 and obtained a release of existing and potential claims. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 269 of the Complaint.

270. The NRA admits that an Ackerman executive made an accusation of sexual harassment against Mr. Powell in or about October 2018, and admits that Mr. Powell ceased to be an NRA "designee" pursuant to the Ackerman Services Agreement. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 270 of the Complaint.

- 271. The NRA admits that John Frazer was hired as General Counsel in January 2015, was elected to the position of Secretary by the Board in April 2015, and continues to serve in both capacities. To the extent that Paragraph 271 purports to describe and/or summarize the executive authority of the Executive Vice President pursuant to the NRA bylaws, the NRA states that its bylaws speak for themselves and respectfully refers the Court to the bylaws for their complete and accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 271 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.
  - 272. The NRA admits the allegations set forth in Paragraph 272 of the Complaint.
- 273. The NRA admits the allegations in the fourth sentence of Paragraph 273 of the Complaint. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 273 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.
- 274. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in the first and second sentences of Paragraph 274 of the

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

Complaint, and therefore denies them. The NRA admits that Mr. Frazer returned to the NRA in

January 2015 full-time as its General Counsel, and further states, on information and belief, that

the salary approved by the NRA Board was initially \$272,576 with additional compensation of

\$55,870 before the Board increased it to approximately \$305,900 with additional compensation

of \$54,100. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 274 of the

Complaint.

275. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth

or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 275 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

276. To the extent Paragraph 276 purports to characterize testimony elicited from Mr.

LaPierre by the NYAG during the course of its investigation, the NRA states that such testimony

was elicited subject to substantial COVID-19 precautions which could reasonably be expected to

impede accurate transcription, including masking and socially-distant seating arrangements. The

NRA further states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to

identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or

information sufficient to form a belief as to the accuracy of allegations in Paragraph 276

purporting to characterize Mr. LaPierre's testimony, and on that basis denies such allegations.

The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 276 of the Complaint.

277. To the extent Paragraph 277 purports to characterize testimony elicited from Mr.

LaPierre by the NYAG during the course of its investigation, the NRA states that such testimony

was elicited subject to substantial COVID-19 precautions which could reasonably be expected to

impede accurate transcription, including masking and socially-distant seating arrangements. The

NRA further states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to

identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or

DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

information sufficient to form a belief as to the accuracy of allegations in Paragraph 277

purporting to characterize Mr. LaPierre's testimony, and on that basis denies such allegations.

The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of

the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 277 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

278. The allegations in Paragraph 278 consist of legal conclusions to which no response

is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

279. With respect to allegations purporting to characterize testimony elicited by the

NYAG in the course of its investigation, the NRA states that it repeatedly requested, and was

repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts, and

further states that many witnesses testified subject to COVID-19 precautions such as masking,

social distancing, and/or videoconference which would be reasonably expected to diminish the

accuracy of transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form

a belief as to the truth or falsity of allegations in Paragraph 279 purporting to recount witnesses'

testimony, and therefore denies such allegations. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set

forth in Paragraph 279 of the Complaint.

280. The NRA admits that Frazer has executed and certified Forms CHAR 500 in his

capacity as Secretary. To the extent that Paragraph 280 purports to characterize Forms CHAR

500, the NRA states that the forms speak for themselves and respectfully refers the Court to such

forms for their complete and accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph

280 are inconsistent with the referenced documents, the NRA denies them.

281. To the extent that Paragraph 281 purports to characterize NRA annual filings and

certifications executed by Mr. Frazer, the NRA states that the documents speak for themselves

and respectfully refers the Court to such documents for their complete and accurate contents. To

DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 281 are inconsistent with the referenced documents, the NRA denies them. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 281 of the Complaint.

- 282. Upon information and belief, the NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 282 of the Complaint.
- 283. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 283 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.
- 284. The NRA admits that it employs multiple senior staff members who liaise with Mr. LaPierre, attend management meetings and Board meetings, and represent the NRA around the country. The NRA admits that one such staff member has a current salary of \$250,000. The NRA admits upon information and belief that the referenced Senior Staff Member has held various job titles, but denies that she has always and exclusively reported to Mr. LaPierre. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 284 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.
- 285. The NRA admits that it conducted a confidential internal investigation in or about 2003, and states that the independent professionals who conducted the investigation "cleared" the staff member of wrongdoing. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 285 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.
- 286. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 286 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.
- 287. Upon information and belief, the NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 287 of the Complaint.

DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

288. The NRA admits that in 2012, roughly \$18,000 in expenses were incurred at the direction of a senior staff member, and states that such expenses were subsequently reimbursed to the NRA with interest. With respect to allegations purporting to characterize testimony elicited by the NYAG in the course of its investigation, the NRA states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts, and further states that many witnesses testified subject to COVID-19 precautions such as masking, social distancing, and/or videoconference which would be reasonably expected to diminish the accuracy of transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of allegations purporting to recount witnesses' testimony, and therefore denies such allegations. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 288 of the Complaint.

289. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 289 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

290. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 290 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

- 291. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 291 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.
- 292. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 292 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.
- 293. To the extent that Paragraph 293 purports to characterize the Report of the Audit Committee dated January 5-6, 2019, the NRA states that the Report speaks for itself, and respectfully refers the Court to such document for its complete and accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 293 are inconsistent with the referenced documents, the

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

NRA denies them. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 293 of the

Complaint.

294. To the extent Paragraph 294 purports to characterize testimony elicited from Mr.

LaPierre by the NYAG during the course of its investigation, the NRA states that such testimony

was elicited subject to substantial COVID-19 precautions which could reasonably be expected to

impede accurate transcription, including masking and socially-distant seating arrangements. The

NRA further states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to

identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or

information sufficient to form a belief as to the accuracy of allegations in Paragraph 294

purporting to characterize Mr. LaPierre's testimony, and on that basis denies such allegations.

The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 294 of the Complaint.

295. The NRA admits that from time to time, the referenced staff member has reported

to Mr. LaPierre, but states that she has also reported to other executives. The allegations in the

third and fourth sentences of Paragraph 295 constitute legal conclusions to which no response is

required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations. The NRA denies

the remaining allegations in Paragraph 295 of the Complaint.

296. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 296 of the Complaint.

297. The NRA admits the allegations set forth in Paragraph 297 of the Complaint.

298. To the extent that Paragraph 298 purports to characterize NRA's Forms 990 filed

during the referenced period, the NRA states that the Forms speak for themselves and respectfully

refers the Court to such documents for their complete and accurate contents. To the extent that

the allegations in Paragraph 298 are inconsistent with the referenced documents, the NRA denies

them. The NRA denies that it has not publicly disclosed fees paid to Mercury in 2018.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

299. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth

or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 299 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

The NRA states that it has been engaged in litigation with Ackerman since 2019 in an effort to

ascertain information relating to these matters.

300. The NRA admits that for decades, its senior leadership including Mr. LaPierre

sought advice from Ackerman, especially its former CEO, Angus McQueen, on strategic

communication, crisis management, and other sensitive matters. The NRA lacks knowledge or

information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set

forth in Paragraph 300 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

301. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth

or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 301 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

302. The NRA admits the allegations set forth in Paragraph 302 of the Complaint.

The NRA admits that there was a Services Agreement between the NRA and 303.

Ackerman. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 303 of the

Complaint.

DOC. NO. 325

304. To the extent that Paragraph 304 purports to characterize the Services Agreement

referenced in Paragraph 304 of the Complaint, the NRA states that the Agreement speaks for

itself, and respectfully refers the Court to such document for its complete and accurate contents.

To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 304 are inconsistent with the referenced documents,

the NRA denies them.

305. The NRA admits that LaPierre met typically with Ackerman executives, including

Angus McQueen, to discuss Ackerman's budget, and that LaPierre was joined by Phillips such

meetings. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 305 of the Complaint.

DOC. NO. 325

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

306. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 306 of the Complaint.

The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 307 of the Complaint.

308. Paragraph 308 purports to characterize the NRA's operative pleading in the matter captioned National Rifle Association of America v Ackerman McQueen, Inc. et al., case No. 19cv-02074-G, Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division. The NRA states that the pleading speaks for itself, and respectfully refers the Court to such document for its complete and accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 308 are inconsistent with the referenced

document, the NRA denies them. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in

Paragraph 308 of the Complaint.

309. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 309 of the Complaint.

310. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 310 of the Complaint.

311. The NRA admits that Ackerman billed the NRA for purported out-of-pocket expenses, and further admits that the invoices for such expenses were generally transmitted to the NRA unaccompanied by explanatory details or supporting documents. knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 311 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

312. Paragraph 312 of the Complaint contains legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

313. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 313 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

314. Paragraph 314 of the Complaint contains legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations. The NRA admits upon information and belief that certain expenses were invoiced by Ackerman in a manner which

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

violated NRA policy. The NRA states that it has been engaged in litigation with Ackerman since

2019 to identify and recover such payments. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth

in Paragraph 314 of the Complaint.

DOC. NO. 325

The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 315 of the Complaint.

The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 316 of the Complaint.

317. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth

or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 317 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

318. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 318 of the Complaint.

319. Paragraph 319 purports to summarize or describe the contents of invoices submitted

by Ackerman to the NRA. The NRA states that the documents speak for themselves, and

respectfully refers the Court to such documents for their complete and accurate contents. To the

extent that the allegations in Paragraph 319 are inconsistent with the referenced documents, the

NRA denies them. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 319 of the

Complaint.

The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 320 of the Complaint.

The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 321 of the Complaint.

322. With respect to the allegations in Paragraph 322 of the Complaint regarding the

contents of, and circumstances surrounding charges incurred via Ackerman's corporate credit

cards, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity

of such allegations, and therefore denies them. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set

forth in Paragraph 322 of the Complaint.

323. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth

or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 323 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

324. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 324 of the Complaint.

325. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth

or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 325 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

326. The NRA admits that audits of Ackerman's documents were conducted in

Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 326 of the

Complaint.

327. The NRA admits the allegations set forth in Paragraph 327 of the Complaint.

328. The NRA admits the allegations set forth in Paragraph 328 of the Complaint.

329. To the extent Paragraph 329 of the Complaint purports to summarize or describe

the contents of contract(s) between the NRA and Under Wild Skies, Inc. ("UWS"), the NRA

states that the documents speak for themselves, and respectfully refers the Court to such

documents for their complete and accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in

Paragraph 329 are inconsistent with the referenced documents, the NRA denies them.

330. The NRA admits that Mr. LaPierre and his wife appeared in episodes of Under

Wild Skies. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth

or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 330 of the Complaint, and therefore

denies them.

331. The NRA denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth

of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 331 of the Complaint, except admits that various NRA

officers, directors, spouse(s), and the Executive Director of Advancement participated in hunts

that appeared on Under Wild Skies.

332. Paragraph 332 of the Complaint contains legal conclusions to which no response is

required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

333. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth

or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 333 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

334. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth

or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 334 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

335. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth

or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 335 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

336. The NRA admits that during 2019, it received from UWS, and remitted payment

for, several invoices in the amount of \$97,500 bearing the designation "supplemental invoice."

The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of

the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 336 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

337. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth

or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 337 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 338 of the Complaint.

339. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth

of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 339 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

340. To the extent Paragraph 340 of the Complaint purports to summarize or describe

the contents of the Agreement regarding the Termination of Employment, Release,

Confidentiality and Non-Disparagement signed by Kyle Weaver, Lisa Supernaugh, and Wilson

Philips on November 5, 2016, the NRA states that the Agreement speaks for itself, and

respectfully refers the Court to such document for its complete and accurate contents. To the

extent that the allegations in Paragraph 340 are inconsistent with the referenced documents, the

NRA denies them. The NRA denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 340 of the Complaint.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

341. To the extent Paragraph 341 of the Complaint purports to summarize or describe

the contents of the Agreement regarding the Termination of Employment, Release,

Confidentiality and Non-Disparagement signed by Kyle Weaver, Lisa Supernaugh, and Wilson

Philips on November 5, 2016, the NRA states that the agreement speaks for itself, and respectfully

refers the Court to such document for its complete and accurate contents. To the extent that the

allegations in Paragraph 341 are inconsistent with the referenced documents, the NRA denies

them.

DOC. NO. 325

342. The allegations in Paragraph 342 of the Complaint purport to describe and/or

summarize the contents of the NRA Purchasing Policy, which speaks for itself. The NRA

respectfully refers the Court to the Policy for its complete, accurate contents; to the extent that

the allegations in Paragraph 342 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies

them.

343. To the extent Paragraph 343 of the Complaint purports to summarize or describe

the contents of the Agreement regarding the Termination of Employment, Release,

Confidentiality and Non-Disparagement signed by Kyle Weaver, Lisa Supernaugh, and Wilson

Philips on November 5, 2016, the NRA states that the agreement speaks for itself, and respectfully

refers the Court to such document for its complete and accurate contents. To the extent that the

allegations in Paragraph 343 are inconsistent with the referenced documents, the NRA denies

them. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or

falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 343 of the Complaint, and therefore

denies them.

344. To the extent Paragraph 344 of the Complaint purports to summarize or describe

the contents of the Agreement regarding the Termination of Employment, Release,

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

Confidentiality and Non-Disparagement dated November 5, 2016, the NRA states that the agreement speaks for itself, and respectfully refers the Court to such document for its complete and accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 344 are inconsistent with the referenced documents, the NRA denies them. To the extent Paragraph 344 purports to characterize testimony elicited from Mr. LaPierre by the NYAG during the course of its investigation, the NRA states that such testimony was elicited subject to substantial COVID-19 precautions which could reasonably be expected to impede accurate transcription, including masking and socially-distant seating arrangements. The NRA further states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the accuracy of allegations in Paragraph 344 purporting to characterize Mr. LaPierre's testimony, and on that basis denies such allegations.

345. Paragraph 345 purports to characterize testimony elicited from Mr. LaPierre by the NYAG during the course of its investigation. The NRA states that such testimony was elicited subject to substantial COVID-19 precautions which could reasonably be expected to impede accurate transcription, including masking and socially-distant seating arrangements. The NRA further states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the accuracy of allegations in Paragraph 345 purporting to characterize Mr. LaPierre's testimony, and on that basis denies such allegations. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 345 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

346. The NRA admits upon information and belief that the principal of H.W.S.

Consulting is an individual who formerly served as Executive Director of the NRA Foundation

until his retirement in 2008. The NRA further admits that, until about December 2018, it was

party to a Consulting Agreement with H.W.S. Consulting Inc. (the "HWS Consulting

Agreement"). To the extent Paragraph 346 of the Complaint purports to summarize or describe

the contents of the HWS Consulting Agreement, the NRA states that the Agreement speaks for

itself, and respectfully refers the Court to such Agreement for its complete and accurate contents.

To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 346 are inconsistent with the referenced document,

the NRA denies them. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to

the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 346 of the Complaint, and

therefore denies them.

347. The NRA states that the HWS Consulting Agreement was entered into thirteen

years ago, and predated many relevant NRA policies. The NRA lacks knowledge or information

sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in

Paragraph 347 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

348. To the extent that Paragraph 348 purports to characterize NRA's Forms 990 filed

during the referenced period, the NRA states that the Forms speak for themselves and respectfully

refers the Court to such documents for their complete and accurate contents. To the extent that

the allegations in Paragraph 348 are inconsistent with the referenced documents, the NRA denies

them.

349. To the extent Paragraph 349 of the Complaint purports to summarize or describe

the contents of the HWS Consulting Agreement, the NRA states that the Agreement speaks for

itself, and respectfully refers the Court to such Agreement for its complete and accurate contents.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 349 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.

- 350. Paragraph 350 of the Complaint contains legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 350 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.
- 351. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 351 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.
- 352. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 352 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.
- 353. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 353 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.
- 354. To the extent Paragraph 354 of the Complaint purports to summarize or describe the contents of the HWS Consulting Agreement, the NRA states that the Agreement speaks for itself, and respectfully refers the Court to such Agreement for its complete and accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 354 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them. The NRA admits that it reimbursed H.W.S. Consulting for certain expenses during 2016. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 354 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.
- 355. The NRA admits that the HWS Consulting Agreement was terminated in 2018, and that the Foundation Executive's expenses were delayed pending documentation. The NRA lacks

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining

allegations set forth in Paragraph 355 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

356. The NRA admits that it entered into a Consulting Services Agreement in 2016 to

which Lockton Affinity and a former NRA employee were parties. The NRA further admits that

Lockton Affinity formerly served as a broker for many NRA-related affinity insurance programs,

including Carry Guard. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 356 of

the Complaint.

357. To the extent that Paragraph 357 purports to characterize NRA's 2016 Form 990,

the NRA states that the Form speaks for itself and respectfully refers the Court to such document

for its complete and accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 357 are

inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.

358. The NRA admits upon information and belief that the Managing Director received

consulting fees from Lockton Affinity after his departure from the NRA. The NRA lacks

knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining

allegations set forth in Paragraph 358 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

359. The NRA admits that Phillips executed a Bonus Structure, Employment Longevity

Incentive and Termination Agreement with a now-former NRA employee which bore the date

July 1, 2014 (the "Bonus Agreement"). To the extent that Paragraph 359 purports to characterize

the Bonus Agreement, the NRA states that the Agreement speaks for itself and respectfully refers

the Court to such document for its complete and accurate contents. To the extent that the

allegations in Paragraph 359 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies

them. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 359 of the Complaint, and therefore

denies them.

360. The NRA admits subsequent purported amendments to the Bonus Agreement were

executed, and respectfully refers the Court to such documents for their complete and accurate

contents. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 360 are inconsistent with the referenced

documents, the NRA denies them. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in

Paragraph 360 of the Complaint.

361. To the extent that Paragraph 361 purports to describe or summarize the Consulting

Services Agreement, the NRA states that the Agreement speaks for itself and respectfully refers

the Court to such document for its complete and accurate contents. To the extent that the

allegations in Paragraph 361 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies

them.

362. Paragraph 362 purports to characterize testimony elicited from Mr. LaPierre by the

NYAG during the course of its investigation. The NRA states that such testimony was elicited

subject to substantial COVID-19 precautions which could reasonably be expected to impede

accurate transcription, including masking and socially-distant seating arrangements. The NRA

further states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify

and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or

information sufficient to form a belief as to the accuracy of allegations in Paragraph 362

purporting to characterize Mr. LaPierre's testimony, and on that basis denies such allegations.

363. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth

or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 363 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

364. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth

or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 364 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

365. Paragraph 365 of the Complaint contains legal conclusions to which no response is

required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations. The NRA denies

the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 365 of the Complaint.

366. Upon information and belief, the NRA admits the allegations set forth in Paragraph

366 of the Complaint.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

367. The NRA admits that one of its board members, a former professional football

player, performed paid consulting work for the NRA from time to time during the referenced

period and received fees and expense reimbursements in connection with the same. The NRA

lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining

allegations set forth in Paragraph 367 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

368. To the extent that Paragraph 368 purports to characterize the Memorandum of

Understanding dated January 9, 2016, the NRA states that such document speaks for itself and

respectfully refers the Court to such document for its complete and accurate contents. To the

extent that the allegations in Paragraph 368 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the

NRA denies them.

369. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth

or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 369 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

370. To the extent that Paragraph 370 purports to characterize the referenced contract,

the NRA states that such document speaks for itself and respectfully refers the Court to such

document for its complete and accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

370 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them. The NRA denies the

remaining allegations in Paragraph 370 of the Complaint.

371. The NRA states that the referenced contract was executed in 2002 and predated the

existence of certain requirements referenced by the Complaint. The NRA lacks knowledge or

information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set

forth in Paragraph 371 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

372. Paragraph 372 purports to characterize the Report of the Audit Committee dated

September 2016, the NRA states that such document speaks for itself. The NRA respectfully

refers the Court to such document for its complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the

allegations in Paragraph 372 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies

them.

SCEF DOC. NO. 325

373. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 373 of the Complaint.

374. Paragraph 374 purports to characterize the Report of the Audit Committee dated

February 2019, the NRA states that such document speaks for itself. The NRA respectfully refers

the Court to such document for its complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations

in Paragraph 374 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them. The NRA

denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 374 of the Complaint.

375. The NRA admits, upon information and belief, that one of its former board

members was a retired police officer from Iowa, served on the referenced committees, and was

not re-nominated in 2020. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief

as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 375 of the Complaint,

and therefore denies them.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

376. To the extent that Paragraph 376 purports to characterize a contract the NRA states

on information and belief that such contract speaks for itself and respectfully refers the Court to

such document for its complete and accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in

Paragraph 376 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them. The NRA

lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining

allegations set forth in Paragraph 376 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

377. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth

or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 377 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

378. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth

or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 378 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

379. Paragraph 379 purports to characterize the notes or draft of minutes memorialized

in connection with a September 2016 Audit Committee meeting. The NRA states that such

documents speak for themselves. The NRA respectfully refers the Court to such documents for

their complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 379 are

inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them. The NRA denies the remaining

allegations set forth in Paragraph 379 of the Complaint.

380. Paragraph 380 purports to characterize the Report of the Audit Committee dated

January 2018, the NRA states that such document speaks for itself. The NRA respectfully refers

the Court to such document for its complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations

in Paragraph 380 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.

381. Upon information and belief, the NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph

381 of the Complaint.

inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.

DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

382. The NRA admits that, at certain relevant times, one of its board members received \$4,000 per month for public speaking and consulting services. To the extent Paragraph 382 of the Complaint purports to describe and/or summarize the contents of the NRA's 2018 Form 990, the NRA states that such form speaks for itself, and respectfully refers the Court to such document for its complete, accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 382 are

383. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 383 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

384. Paragraph 384 purports to characterize the Report of the Audit Committee dated January 11, 2018, the NRA states that such document speaks for itself. The NRA respectfully refers the Court to such document for its complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 384 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 384 purport to characterize New York law, they constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 384 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

385. To the extent Paragraph 385 purports to characterize an email chain dated May 15, 2019, the NRA states that such document speaks for itself. The NRA respectfully refers the Court to such document for its complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 385 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.

386. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 386 purport to classify any NRA board member as a "lobbyist" pursuant to any statutory or regulatory scheme defining the same,

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

they consist of legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a response is

required, the NRA denies such allegations. Upon information and belief, the NRA admits the

remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 386 of the Complaint.

387. The NRA admits upon information and belief that it compensated Board Member

No. 4 for public speaking services during the referenced period. The NRA lacks knowledge or

information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set

forth in Paragraph 387 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

388. To the extent Paragraph 388 purports to characterize an email chain dated May 10,

2016, the NRA states that such document speaks for itself. The NRA respectfully refers the Court

to such document for its complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in

Paragraph 388 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.

389. To the extent Paragraph 389 purports to characterize the Report of the Audit

Committee dated September 2016, the NRA states that such document speaks for itself. The

NRA respectfully refers the Court to such document for its complete, accurate contents; to the

extent that the allegations in Paragraph 389 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the

NRA denies them. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the

truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 389 of the Complaint, and

therefore denies them.

390. To the extent Paragraph 390 purports to characterize the Report of the Audit

Committee dated January 11, 2018, the NRA states that such document speaks for itself. The

NRA respectfully refers the Court to such document for its complete, accurate contents; to the

extent that the allegations in Paragraph 390 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

NRA denies them. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 390 of the

Complaint.

391. Upon information and belief, the NRA admits the allegations set forth in Paragraph

391 of the Complaint.

392. To the extent Paragraph 392 purports to characterize the Report of the Audit

Committee dated April 2019, the NRA states that such document speaks for itself. The NRA

respectfully refers the Court to such document for its complete, accurate contents; to the extent

that the allegations in Paragraph 392 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA

denies them.

393. The NRA denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth

of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 393 of the Complaint, except admits that Board Member

No. 5 is a past NRA President, has been paid at various times for consulting services, and executed

a 10-year contract for \$220,000 annually. To the extent Paragraph 393 purports to characterize

testimony elicited from Mr. LaPierre by the NYAG during the course of its investigation, the

NRA states that such testimony was elicited subject to substantial COVID-19 precautions which

could reasonably be expected to impede accurate transcription, including masking and socially-

distant seating arrangements. The NRA further states that it repeatedly requested, and was

repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts.

Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the

accuracy of allegations in Paragraph 393 purporting to characterize Mr. LaPierre's testimony, and

on that basis denies such allegations. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in

Paragraph 393 of the Complaint.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

394. The NRA admits that in 2018, the Audit Committee reviewed and ratified, following its execution, a contract with Board Member No. 5. The NRA further admits that LaPierre was a signatory to such contract, which was also acknowledged at the time of its execution by appropriate officers of the Board of Directors. The NRA further admits that it has made grants at relevant times to the United Sportsmen of Florida, but states that Board Member No. 5 did not participate in these grantmaking decisions. To the extent Paragraph 394 purports to characterize testimony elicited from Mr. LaPierre by the NYAG during the course of its investigation, the NRA states that such testimony was elicited subject to substantial COVID-19 precautions which could reasonably be expected to impede accurate transcription, including masking and socially-distant seating arrangements. The NRA further states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the accuracy of allegations in Paragraph 394 purporting to characterize Mr. LaPierre's testimony, and on that basis denies such allegations. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 394 of the Complaint.

- 395. Paragraph 395 consists of legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.
- 396. Paragraph 396 consists of legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.
- 397. Paragraph 397 consists of legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.
- 398. Paragraph 398 consists of legal conclusions to which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

399. Paragraph 399 of the Complaint purports to characterize the NRA's bylaws, which speak for themselves. The NRA respectfully refers the Court to its bylaws for their complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 399 are inconsistent with the

referenced document, the NRA denies them.

400. To the extent that Paragraph 400 purports to characterize NRA's Forms 990 filed

during the referenced period, the NRA states that the Forms speak for themselves and respectfully

refers the Court to such documents for their complete and accurate contents. To the extent that

the allegations in Paragraph 400 are inconsistent with the referenced documents, the NRA denies

them. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 400 of the Complaint.

401. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 401 consist of legal conclusions

regarding the "adequa[cy]" of compensation documentation pursuant to state or federal law, these

legal conclusions require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such

allegations. The NRA denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 401 of the Complaint.

402. The NRA admits that it has hired executive compensation consultants from time to

time, including in or about August 2017. The NRA further admits that such consultant delivered

a report in or about January 2018 which supported the compensation recommendations made by

the OCC and adopted by the Board of Directors that year. The NRA lacks knowledge or

information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set

forth in Paragraph 402 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

403. The NRA admits that the OCC considered, and made recommendations to the

Board regarding, Phillips' compensation in 2017. The NRA lacks knowledge or information

sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in

Paragraph 403 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

404. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth

or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 404 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

405. To the extent that Paragraph 405 purports to characterize the Report of Officers

Compensation Committee dated September 7, 2017, the NRA states that the Report speaks for

itself and respectfully refers the Court to such document for its complete and accurate contents.

To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 405 are inconsistent with the referenced documents,

the NRA denies them. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 405 of

the Complaint.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

406. The NRA admits the allegations set forth in the first sentence of Paragraph 406, and

admits that Board minutes reflect that the Board adopted the OCC's recommendations. The NRA

denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 406 of the Complaint.

The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 407 of the Complaint.

The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 408 of the Complaint. 408.

409. The allegations in Paragraph 409 consist of legal conclusions which require no

response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

410. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 410 purport to characterize the NRA

bylaws, the NRA states that its bylaws speak for themselves and respectfully refers the Court to

the bylaws for their complete and accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph

410 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them. The NRA denies the

remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 410 of the Complaint.

411. The allegations in Paragraph 411 consist of legal conclusions which require no

response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations. The NRA denies

the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 411 of the Complaint.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

412. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 412 of the Complaint.

413. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth

or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 413 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

414. The allegations in Paragraph 414 consist of legal conclusions which require no

response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

415. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 415 argue for particular tax treatment

of particular expenditures, they constitute legal conclusions which require no response; to the

extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations. The NRA lacks knowledge or

information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set

forth in Paragraph 415 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

416. The NRA admits that an employee formerly charged with processing certain

expense reimbursements for Mr. LaPierre incurred an unexpected medical absence in recent years

and that reimbursement of certain expenses was consequently delayed; the NRA states that the

financial burdens of such delay has been borne by Mr. LaPierre, who continued to incur business

expenses without expectation of timely reimbursement. The NRA denies the remaining

allegations set forth in Paragraph 416 of the Complaint.

417. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth

or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 417 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

418. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 418 of the Complaint.

419. The NRA states that it was formerly party to a post-employment contract with Mr.

LaPierre which was terminated by mutual consent, and denies that it is currently party to any

contract containing the terms described in Paragraph 419. To the extent Paragraph 419 purports

to characterize testimony elicited from Mr. LaPierre by the NYAG during the course of its

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

investigation, the NRA states that such testimony was elicited subject to substantial COVID-19

precautions which could reasonably be expected to impede accurate transcription, including

masking and socially-distant seating arrangements. The NRA further states that it repeatedly

requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's

transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as

to the accuracy of allegations in Paragraph 419 purporting to characterize Mr. LaPierre's

testimony, and on that basis denies such allegations. The NRA denies the remaining allegations

set forth in Paragraph 419 of the Complaint.

420. To the extent Paragraph 420 purports to characterize testimony elicited from Mr.

LaPierre by the NYAG during the course of its investigation, the NRA states that such testimony

was elicited subject to substantial COVID-19 precautions which could reasonably be expected to

impede accurate transcription, including masking and socially-distant seating arrangements. The

NRA further states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to

identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or

information sufficient to form a belief as to the accuracy of allegations in Paragraph 420

purporting to characterize Mr. LaPierre's testimony, and on that basis denies such allegations.

The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 420 of the Complaint.

421. To the extent that Paragraph 421 purports to characterize a letter agreement dated

March 16, 2015, the NRA states that the letter speaks for itself. The NRA respectfully refers the

Court to such letter for its complete and accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in

Paragraph 421 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them. The NRA

denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 421 of the Complaint.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

422. To the extent that Paragraph 422 purports to characterize a memorandum dated

April 30, 2018, the NRA states that the memorandum speaks for itself. The NRA respectfully

refers the Court to such memorandum for its complete and accurate contents; to the extent that

the allegations in Paragraph 422 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies

them.

423. To the extent that Paragraph 423 purports to characterize a memorandum dated

April 30, 2018, the NRA states that the memorandum speaks for itself. The NRA respectfully

refers the Court to such memorandum for its complete and accurate contents; to the extent that

the allegations in Paragraph 423 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies

them. The NRA states that it is not party to any contract containing the terms set forth in the

referenced memorandum. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 423

of the Complaint.

424. To the extent Paragraph 424 purports to characterize testimony elicited from Mr.

LaPierre by the NYAG during the course of its investigation, the NRA states that such testimony

was elicited subject to substantial COVID-19 precautions which could reasonably be expected to

impede accurate transcription, including masking and socially-distant seating arrangements. The

NRA further states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to

identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or

information sufficient to form a belief as to the accuracy of allegations in Paragraph 424

purporting to characterize Mr. LaPierre's testimony, and on that basis denies such allegations.

The remaining allegations in Paragraph 424 consist of legal conclusions which require no

response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

425. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 425 of the Complaint.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

426. The NRA admits that during his tenure as an NRA executive and salaried officer,

Phillips had an NRA-issued credit card. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 426

purport to argue for particular tax treatment of particular expenditures, they consist of legal

conclusions which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such

allegations. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth

or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 426 of the Complaint, and therefore

denies them.

427. The allegations in Paragraph 427 consist of legal conclusions which require no

response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

428. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 428 purport to characterize the NRA

bylaws, the NRA states that its bylaws speak for themselves and respectfully refers the Court to

the bylaws for their complete and accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph

428 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.

429. The NRA admits that Mr. Powell was paid the referenced salaries during or about

the referenced periods, and further admits upon information and belief that Mr. Powell received

additional undisclosed, unauthorized benefits. The NRA lacks knowledge or information

sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in

Paragraph 429 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

430. To the extent that Paragraph 430 purports to characterize NRA's Forms 990 filed

in 2017 and 2018, the NRA states that the Forms speak for themselves and respectfully refers the

Court to such documents for their complete and accurate contents. To the extent that the

allegations in Paragraph 430 are inconsistent with the referenced documents, the NRA denies

them. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 430 of the Complaint, and therefore

denies them.

431. The allegations in Paragraph 431 consist of legal conclusions which require no

response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

432. To the extent that Paragraph 432 purports to characterize NRA's Forms 990 and

CHAR500, the NRA states that the documents speak for themselves and respectfully refers the

Court to such documents for their complete and accurate contents. To the extent that the

allegations in Paragraph 432 are inconsistent with the referenced documents, the NRA denies

them.

433. To the extent that Paragraph 433 purports to characterize NRA's Forms 990 filed

during the referenced period, the NRA states that the Forms speak for themselves and respectfully

refers the Court to such documents for their complete and accurate contents. To the extent that

the allegations in Paragraph 433 are inconsistent with the referenced documents, the NRA denies

them.

434. The allegations in Paragraph 434 consist of legal conclusions which require no

response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

435. To the extent that Paragraph 435 purports to characterize NRA's Forms 990 filed

in 2017 and 2018, the NRA states that the Forms speak for themselves and respectfully refers the

Court to such documents for their complete and accurate contents. To the extent that the

allegations in Paragraph 435 are inconsistent with the referenced documents, the NRA denies

them.

436. The NRA admits that Mr. Powell failed to provide sufficient justification for certain

expenses, and states that the NRA terminated Mr. Powell based on this and other causes. The

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

remaining allegations in Paragraph 436 consist of legal conclusions which require no response;

to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

437. To the extent that Paragraph 437 purports to characterize NRA's Forms 990 filed

during the referenced period, the NRA states that the Forms speak for themselves and respectfully

refers the Court to such documents for their complete and accurate contents. To the extent that

the allegations in Paragraph 437 are inconsistent with the referenced documents, the NRA denies

them.

DOC. NO. 325

438. To the extent that Paragraph 438 purports to characterize NRA's Forms 990 filed

during the referenced period, the NRA states that the Forms speak for themselves and respectfully

refers the Court to such documents for their complete and accurate contents. To the extent that

the allegations in Paragraph 438 are inconsistent with the referenced documents, the NRA denies

them.

439. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 439 of the Complaint.

440. To the extent that Paragraph 440 purports to characterize NRA's Forms 990 filed

during the referenced period, the NRA states that the Forms speak for themselves and respectfully

refers the Court to such documents for their complete and accurate contents. To the extent that

the allegations in Paragraph 440 are inconsistent with the referenced documents, the NRA denies

them. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 440 purport to assess the legal sufficiency

or implications of the NRA's tax filings, such allegations constitute legal conclusions to which

no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations

441. To the extent that Paragraph 441 purports to characterize NRA's Forms 990 filed

during the referenced period, the NRA states that the Forms speak for themselves and respectfully

refers the Court to such documents for their complete and accurate contents. To the extent that

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

the allegations in Paragraph 441 are inconsistent with the referenced documents, the NRA denies them. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 441 purport to assess the legal sufficiency

or implications of the NRA's tax filings, such allegations constitute legal conclusions to which

no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

442. The allegations in Paragraph 442 consist of legal conclusions which require no

response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

443. To the extent that Paragraph 443 purports to characterize NRA's Forms 990 filed

during the referenced period, the NRA states that the Forms speak for themselves and respectfully

refers the Court to such documents for their complete and accurate contents. To the extent that

the allegations in Paragraph 443 are inconsistent with the referenced documents, the NRA denies

them.

444. The NRA admits on information and belief that Mr. LaPierre had discussions with

Lt. Col. Oliver North in spring 2018 concerning North's potential candidacy for NRA President.

The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of

the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 444 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

445. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 445 purport to characterize the NRA

bylaws, the NRA states that its bylaws speak for themselves and respectfully refers the Court to

the bylaws for their complete and accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph

445 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them. The NRA lacks

knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining

allegations set forth in Paragraph 445 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

446. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 446 purport to excerpt or characterize

deposition testimony, the NRA respectfully refers the Court to the transcript of such testimony

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

for its complete and accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 446 are

inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them. The NRA denies the remaining

allegations set forth in Paragraph 446 of the Complaint.

447. To the extent that Paragraph 447 purports to characterize a fax received on April

22, 2018, the NRA states that the fax speaks for itself and respectfully refers the Court to such

fax for its complete and accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 447

purport to excerpt or characterize deposition testimony, the NRA respectfully refers the Court to

the transcript of such testimony for its complete and accurate contents; to the extent that the

allegations in Paragraph 447 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies

them. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or

falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 447 of the Complaint, and therefore

denies them.

448. The NRA admits that on May 6, 2018, it executed an amended Services Agreement

with Ackerman and Mercury Group, Inc.; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 448

purport to describe or summarize the Services Agreement, the NRA states that the Agreement

speaks for itself and respectfully refers the Court to such Agreement for its complete and accurate

contents. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 448 are inconsistent with the referenced

document, the NRA denies them. The NRA denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 448

of the Complaint.

449. Upon information and belief, the NRA admits that North entered into an

Employment Agreement with Ackerman on or about May 15, 2018. To the extent that Paragraph

449 purports to characterize the Employment Agreement, the NRA states that the Agreement

speaks for itself and respectfully refers the Court to such Agreement for its complete and accurate

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

contents. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 449 are inconsistent with the referenced

document, the NRA denies them. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a

belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 449 of the

Complaint, and therefore denies them.

450. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 450 of the Complaint.

451. The NRA admits the allegations set forth in the first sentence of Paragraph 451 of

the Complaint. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the

truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in the second sentence of Paragraph 451, and therefore

denies them. The NRA denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 451 of the Complaint.

452. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth

or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 452 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

453. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth

or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 453 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

454. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 454 of the Complaint.

455. The NRA admits that the Brewer firm was initially retained in March 2018, and

that its work included issues involving NRA affinity partners. To the extent that the allegations

in Paragraph 455 purport to require the NRA to admit or deny the content of legal advice sought

by counsel, work performed by counsel, or other privileged matter, the NRA declines to respond

to such allegations on grounds of attorney-client privilege and the work product doctrine. The

NRA denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 455 of the Complaint.

456. The NRA denies that it failed to consider other firms as alternatives to the Brewer

firm in 2018, and states that it considered, and from time to time employed, several competitor

firms. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 456 purport to require the NRA to admit or

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

deny the content of legal advice sought by counsel, work performed by counsel, or other

privileged matter, the NRA declines to respond to such allegations on grounds of attorney-client

privilege and the work product doctrine. To the extent a response is required, the NRA denies

such allegations. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 456 of the

Complaint.

457. The NRA admits that Mr. Frazer negotiated an engagement letter with the Brewer

firm and prepared a business case analysis in connection with the same. To the extent that

Paragraph 457 purports to characterize the business case analysis, the NRA states that the

document speaks for itself and respectfully refers the Court to such documents for its complete

and accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 457 are inconsistent with

the referenced document, the NRA denies them. The NRA further admits that Mr. Frazer is one

of several individuals who has been responsible for reviewing and approving payment of the

Brewer firm's invoices at relevant times. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in

Paragraph 457 of the Complaint.

458. The NRA admits that the Audit Committee memorialized, during a meeting on

March 8, 2019, the matter referenced in Paragraph 458, but denies that any "Board resolution"

was adopted to such effect. The NRA further denies that any NRA policy "required written

approval from the President and a Vice President" for the retention of counsel in 2018. The NRA

states that the Audit Committee resolved on April 28, 2019, that the NRA's retention of the

Brewer firm "was duly authorized" and that a copy of the foregoing resolution was provided by

the NRA to the NYAG more than a year before the NYAG filed this lawsuit. The NRA lacks

knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining

allegations set forth in Paragraph 458 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

459. The NRA denies the allegations in Paragraph 459 of the Complaint.

460. The allegations in Paragraph 460 purport to describe and summarize letters sent by

North to named recipients in March 2019; the NRA respectfully refers the Court to such letters

for their complete and accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 460 are

inconsistent with the referenced documents, the NRA denies such allegations.

461. The allegations in Paragraph 461 purport to describe and summarize letters and

memoranda sent by North to named recipients in March 2019; the NRA respectfully refers the

Court to such letters for their complete and accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in

Paragraph 461 are inconsistent with the referenced documents, the NRA denies such allegations.

462. The NRA admits that an outside law firm was hired to review the Brewer firm's

engagement in March 2019 and that the firm determined the engagement had been duly

authorized. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 462 purport to require the NRA to

admit or deny the content of additional legal advice sought from or work performed by the above-

referenced law firm, the NRA declines to respond to such allegations on grounds of attorney-

client privilege and the work product doctrine. To the extent a response is required, the NRA

denies such allegations.

463. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 463 of the Complaint.

464. With respect to allegations purporting to characterize testimony elicited by the

NYAG in the course of its investigation, the NRA states that it repeatedly requested, and was

repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts, and

further states that many witnesses testified subject to COVID-19 precautions such as masking,

social distancing, and/or videoconference which would be reasonably expected to diminish the

accuracy of transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

a belief as to the truth or falsity of allegations in Paragraph 464 purporting to recount witnesses'

testimony, and therefore denies such allegations. The NRA lacks knowledge or information

sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in

Paragraph 464 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

465. The NRA admits that Mr. LaPierre raised concerns about North's relationship with

Ackerman in 2018. The NRA states that others, including the employee whistleblowers

referenced throughout the Complaint, raised similar concerns. The NRA denies the remaining

allegations set forth in Paragraph 465 of the Complaint.

466. The NRA admits that Mr. LaPierre instructed North to cease and desist from

attempts to interfere with certain work by the Brewer firm—including work related to North's

employer, Ackerman—that gave rise to potential conflicts of interest for North (such legal

matters, the "Conflict Matters"). The NRA denies that it prevented North from accessing Brewer

invoices unrelated to the Conflict Matters, and states that North received detailed briefings from

the Brewer firm on matters apart from the Conflict Matters. To the extent that the allegations in

Paragraph 461 purport to characterize letters sent by LaPierre to North in March or April 2019,

the NRA respectfully refers the Court to such letters for their complete and accurate contents. To

the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 466 are inconsistent with the referenced documents,

the NRA denies such allegations.

467. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 467 purport to characterize letters

sent by LaPierre to North in February 2019, the NRA respectfully refers the Court to such letters

for their complete and accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 467 are

inconsistent with the referenced documents, the NRA denies such allegations.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

468. The NRA admits the allegations in the first sentence of Paragraph 468 of the Complaint. With respect to allegations purporting to characterize testimony elicited by the NYAG in the course of its investigation, the NRA states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts, and further states that many witnesses testified subject to COVID-19 precautions such as masking, social distancing, and/or videoconference which would be reasonably expected to diminish the accuracy of transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of allegations in Paragraph 468 purporting to recount witnesses' testimony, and therefore denies such allegations. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 468 of the Complaint.

- 469. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 469 purport to summarize or describe a letter dated April 25, 2019, the NRA respectfully refers the Court to such letter for its complete and accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 469 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies such allegations.
- 470. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 470 purport to summarize or describe the referenced letter, the NRA respectfully refers the Court to such letter for its complete and accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 470 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies such allegations.
- 471. The NRA admits that as of the date of this Answer North did not resign as an NRA member or NRA director. The NRA further admits that its Bylaws contemplate a process for involuntary termination from membership for cause. The NRA further admits that, in response to a complaint by an NRA member in good standing seeking the expulsion of North from the NRA membership pursuant to Article III Section 11 of the NRA's Bylaws, the Ethics Committee

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

its whistleblower policy.

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

warrant North's expulsion if proved or uncontested. The NRA further admits that North through counsel was then advised that at the hearing, contemplated by its Bylaws, the complainant NRA member would be permitted to argue for North's expulsion from the NRA and North would be permitted to defend himself. The NRA further admits that North originally requested to have a hearing but later alleged that the hearing would violate New York law and that the NRA then sought, inter alia, a declaratory judgment otherwise, and that such litigation is ongoing but presently stayed. In that litigation, the NRA also seeks damages from North for violations of his fiduciary and statutory duties to the NRA and for his conspiracy with others to violate such duties. The NRA further admits that the NRA asserted these claims against North based on his obstructive behavior to protect a lucrative contract with Ackerman and impede the NRA's compliance efforts and by being paid millions of dollars for making a documentary series he and Ackerman simply failed to deliver. In the litigation, the NRA also seeks a declaratory judgment

of the NRA Board reviewed the complainant's allegations and determined that they would

472. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 472 purport to summarize or describe a letter dated July 22, 2019, the NRA respectfully refers the Court to such letter for its complete and accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 472 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies such allegations.

that because he failed to resign from Ackerman in or around June 2019, he forfeited his position

on the NRA Board. The NRA denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 471 of the

Complaint, including the allegation that the disciplinary proceeding was "undertaken in

retaliation for [North's alleged] exercise of fiduciary responsibilities" or that the NRA violated

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

473. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 473 purport to summarize or describe

a letter sent on August 1, 2019 by three former Board members to the NRA, the NRA respectfully

refers the Court to such letter for its complete and accurate contents. To the extent that the

allegations in Paragraph 473 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies such

allegations.

474. To the extent that Paragraph 474 purports to characterize the contents

"correspondence" or "social media posts" by outside directors, the NRA lacks knowledge or

information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of such allegations, and therefore

denies them. The NRA admits that during 2019, not every director received his or her desired

committee assignment(s). The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 474

of the Complaint.

475. The NRA admits the allegations set forth in Paragraph 475 of the Complaint.

The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 476 of the Complaint. 476.

477. The allegations in Paragraph 477 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions

which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

478. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 478 purport to summarize or describe

the Mission Statement of the NRA Audit Committee, the NRA respectfully refers the Court to

the Mission Statement for its complete and accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in

Paragraph 478 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies such allegations.

479. With respect to allegations in Paragraph 479 of the Complaint purporting to

characterize testimony elicited by the NYAG in the course of its investigation, the NRA states

that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify

errata in the NYAG's transcripts, and further states that many witnesses testified subject to

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

COVID-19 precautions such as masking, social distancing, and/or videoconference which would

be reasonably expected to diminish the accuracy of transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks

knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of allegations in

Paragraph 479 purporting to recount witnesses' testimony, and therefore denies such allegations.

480. With respect to allegations in Paragraph 480 purporting to characterize testimony

elicited by the NYAG in the course of its investigation, the NRA states that it repeatedly

requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's

transcripts, and further states that many witnesses testified subject to COVID-19 precautions such

as masking, social distancing, and/or videoconference which would be reasonably expected to

diminish the accuracy of transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information

sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of allegations purporting to recount witnesses'

testimony, and therefore denies such allegations in paragraph 480. The NRA denies the remaining

allegations set forth in Paragraph 480 of the Complaint.

481. With respect to allegations in Paragraph 481 of the Complaint purporting to

characterize testimony elicited by the NYAG in the course of its investigation, the NRA states

that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify

errata in the NYAG's transcripts, and further states that many witnesses testified subject to

COVID-19 precautions such as masking, social distancing, and/or videoconference which would

be reasonably expected to diminish the accuracy of transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks

knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of allegations in

Paragraph 481 purporting to recount witnesses' testimony, and therefore denies such allegations.

482. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 482 of the Complaint.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

483. With respect to allegations in Paragraph 483 of the Complaint purporting to characterize testimony elicited by the NYAG in the course of its investigation, the NRA states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts, and further states that many witnesses testified subject to COVID-19 precautions such as masking, social distancing, and/or videoconference which would be reasonably expected to diminish the accuracy of transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of allegations in Paragraph 483 purporting to recount witnesses' testimony, and therefore denies such allegations.

484. The allegations in Paragraph 484 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

485. The allegations in Paragraph 485 of the Complaint purport to describe and/or summarize the contents of the Statement of Corporate Ethics, which speaks for itself. The NRA respectfully refers the Court to the Statement for its complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 485 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them.

486. The allegations in Paragraph 486 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 486 of the Complaint.

487. With respect to allegations in paragraph 487 purporting to characterize testimony elicited by the NYAG in the course of its investigation, the NRA states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts, and further states that many witnesses testified subject to COVID-19 precautions such as masking, social distancing, and/or videoconference which would be reasonably expected to

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of allegations in Paragraph 487 purporting to

diminish the accuracy of transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information

recount witnesses' testimony, and therefore denies such allegations. The NRA denies the

remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 487 of the Complaint.

488. The NRA admits that a group of employee whistleblowers compiled a document

titled "List of Top Concerns for the Audit Committee" which they presented to the Audit

Committee in July 2018. To the extent that Paragraph 488 purports to characterize the referenced

memorandum, the NRA states that the memorandum speaks for itself. The NRA respectfully

refers the Court to such document for its complete and accurate contents; to the extent that the

allegations in Paragraph 488 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies

them. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 488 of the Complaint.

489. The NRA admits that it regards the referenced employees as whistleblowers who

raised their concerns with the Audit Committee pursuant to the NRA whistleblower policy. With

respect to allegations purporting to characterize testimony elicited by the NYAG in the course of

its investigation, the NRA states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the

opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts, and further states that many

witnesses testified subject to COVID-19 precautions such as masking, social distancing, and/or

videoconference which would be reasonably expected to diminish the accuracy of transcripts.

Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth

or falsity of allegations in Paragraph 489 purporting to recount witnesses' testimony, and

therefore denies such allegations. The NRA denies that the meeting of the Audit Committee of

the NRA Board of Directors which occurred on July 30, 2018, constituted or was denominated as

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

an "emergency" meeting. The NRA admits the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 489

of the Complaint.

490. With respect to allegations in Paragraph 490 of the Complaint purporting to

characterize testimony elicited by the NYAG in the course of its investigation, the NRA states

that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify

errata in the NYAG's transcripts, and further states that many witnesses testified subject to

COVID-19 precautions such as masking, social distancing, and/or videoconference which would

be reasonably expected to diminish the accuracy of transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks

knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of allegations in

paragraph 490 purporting to recount witnesses' testimony, and therefore denies such allegations.

The NRA states the Audit Committee's meeting ran several hours longer than expected. The

NRA states on information and belief that the Audit Committee chair was aware serious

whistleblower concerns would be, and were, raised at the meeting, and dedicated substantial time

and attention to investigating and addressing them.

491. To the extent that Paragraph 491 purports to characterize the content of a personal

statement, the NRA states that the statement speaks for itself. The NRA respectfully refers the

Court to such document for its complete and accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations

in Paragraph 491 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them. The NRA

values the whistleblower for her diligence and courage, and states that she subsequently received

a significant promotion.

492. To the extent that Paragraph 492 purports to characterize the Report of the Audit

Committee dated September 2018, the NRA states that such document speaks for itself. The

NRA respectfully refers the Court to such document for its complete, accurate contents; to the

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

extent that the allegations in Paragraph 492 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them. The NRA admits that certain previous Audit Committee reports contained the notation "there were no instances of whistleblowing reported," and that no such annotation appeared with respect to the July 30, 2018 meeting, wherein whistleblowing occurred. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 492 of the Complaint.

- 493. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 493 of the Complaint.
- 494. With respect to allegations purporting to characterize testimony elicited by the NYAG in the course of its investigation, the NRA states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts, and further states that many witnesses testified subject to COVID-19 precautions such as masking, social distancing, and/or videoconference which would be reasonably expected to diminish the accuracy of transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of allegations in Paragraph 494 purporting to recount witnesses' testimony, and therefore denies such allegations. To the extent that Paragraph 494 alleges any intentional or knowing inaccuracy in the Report of the Audit Committee documenting the Committee's July 30, 2018, meeting, the NRA denies such allegations. The NRA states that RSM met repeatedly with the Audit Committee during the third quarter of 2018 in advance of the filing of the NRA's 2017 Form 990, and states that attendee notes from the referenced meeting specifically note RSM's presence. The NRA states on information and belief that if RSM was not in attendance, any erroneous reference to RSM's attendance was unintentional. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 494 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

495. The NRA admits that, on information and belief, RSM corresponded with and

interviewed Audit Committee members and finance staff, including multiple NRA

Whistleblowers, during 2018. The NRA further admits that certain NRA staff were well aware of

the whistleblowers' concerns. With respect to allegations in Paragraph 495 concerning RSM's

work papers, the NRA lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of

such allegations, and therefore denies them. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth

in Paragraph 495 of the Complaint.

496. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 496 of the Complaint.

497. With respect to allegations in Paragraph 497 purporting to characterize testimony

elicited by the NYAG in the course of its investigation, the NRA states that it repeatedly

requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's

transcripts, and further states that many witnesses testified subject to COVID-19 precautions such

as masking, social distancing, and/or videoconference which would be reasonably expected to

diminish the accuracy of transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information

sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of allegations in Paragraph 497 purporting to

recount witnesses' testimony, and therefore denies such allegations. To the extent that the

allegations in Paragraph 497 purport to require the NRA to admit or deny the content of legal

advice sought by counsel, work performed by counsel, or other privileged matter, the NRA

declines to respond to such allegations on grounds of attorney-client privilege and the work

product doctrine. To the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations. The

NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 497 of the Complaint.

498. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 498 of the Complaint.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

499. To the extent Paragraph 499 purports to describe and/or summarize the contents of

the NRA Conflict of Interest and Related Party Transaction Policy, the NRA states that the Policy

speaks for itself, and respectfully refers the Court to such document for its complete, accurate

contents. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 499 are inconsistent with the referenced

document, the NRA denies them.

500. To the extent Paragraph 500 purports to describe and/or summarize the contents of

the NRA Conflict of Interest and Related Party Transaction Policy, the NRA states that the Policy

speaks for itself, and respectfully refers the Court to such document for its complete, accurate

contents. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 500 are inconsistent with the referenced

document, the NRA denies them.

501. To the extent Paragraph 501 purports to describe and/or summarize the contents of

the NRA Conflict of Interest and Related Party Transaction Policy, the NRA states that the Policy

speaks for itself, and respectfully refers the Court to such document for its complete, accurate

contents. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 501 are inconsistent with the referenced

document, the NRA denies them. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 501 purport to

characterize New York law, they constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required;

to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

502. To the extent Paragraph 502 purports to describe and/or summarize the contents of

the NRA Conflict of Interest and Related Party Transaction Policy, the NRA states that the Policy

speaks for itself, and respectfully refers the Court to such document for its complete, accurate

contents. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 502 are inconsistent with the referenced

document, the NRA denies them. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 502 purport to

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

characterize New York law, they constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required;

to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

503. The allegations in Paragraph 503 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions

which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

504. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 504 of the Complaint.

505. The NRA admits that in September 2016, the Audit Committee reviewed

transactions in approximately the designated amounts. The NRA lacks knowledge or information

sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in

Paragraph 505 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

506. To the extent that Paragraph 506 purports to characterize the Report of the Audit

Committee dated September 2016, the NRA states that such document speaks for itself. The

NRA respectfully refers the Court to such document for its complete, accurate contents; to the

extent that the allegations in Paragraph 506 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the

NRA denies them. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the

truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 506 of the Complaint, and

therefore denies them.

507. The NRA admits that during 2017, the Audit Committee reviewed and ratified

transactions in approximately the designated amounts. The NRA lacks knowledge or information

sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in

Paragraph 507 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

508. To the extent that Paragraph 508 purports to characterize the Report of the Audit

Committee dated September 2018, the NRA states that such document speaks for itself. The

NRA respectfully refers the Court to such document for its complete, accurate contents; to the

COUNTY CLERK 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

extent that the allegations in Paragraph 508 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the

NRA denies them.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

509. To the extent that Paragraph 509 purports to characterize the Report of the Audit

Committee dated September 2018, the NRA states that such document speaks for itself. The

NRA respectfully refers the Court to such document for its complete, accurate contents; to the

extent that the allegations in Paragraph 509 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the

NRA denies them. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 509 of the

Complaint.

510. To the extent that Paragraph 510 purports to characterize the Report of the Audit

Committee dated September 2018, the NRA states that such document speaks for itself. The

NRA respectfully refers the Court to such document for its complete, accurate contents; to the

extent that the allegations in Paragraph 510 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the

NRA denies them.

511. The NRA admits that the Audit Committee did not review a copy of the North-

Ackerman contract prior to its execution. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 511

purport to characterize the requirements of New York law, they constitute legal conclusions to

which no response is required; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such

allegations. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 511 of the

Complaint.

512. The NRA admits that the Audit Committee reviewed what it believed to be a

summary of the contract's material terms. With respect to allegations purporting to characterize

testimony elicited by the NYAG in the course of its investigation, the NRA states that it repeatedly

requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's

COUNTY CLERK 07/20/2021

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

as masking, social distancing, and/or videoconference which would be reasonably expected to

transcripts, and further states that many witnesses testified subject to COVID-19 precautions such

diminish the accuracy of transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information

sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of allegations in Paragraph 512 purporting to

recount witnesses' testimony, and therefore denies such allegations. The NRA denies the

remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 512 of the Complaint.

513. To the extent that Paragraph 513 purports to characterize the Report of the Audit

Committee dated September 2018, the NRA states that such document speaks for itself. The

NRA respectfully refers the Court to such document for its complete, accurate contents; to the

extent that the allegations in Paragraph 513 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the

NRA denies them. With respect to allegations purporting to characterize testimony elicited by

the NYAG in the course of its investigation, the NRA states that it repeatedly requested, and was

repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts, and

further states that many witnesses testified subject to COVID-19 precautions such as masking,

social distancing, and/or videoconference which would be reasonably expected to diminish the

accuracy of transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form

a belief as to the truth or falsity of allegations in Paragraph 513 purporting to recount witnesses'

testimony, and therefore denies such allegations.

514. The NRA admits upon information and belief that Ackerman ultimately invoiced

the NRA for the entire cost of North's employment contract, including salary, benefits, and

perquisites, irrespective of whether North actually filmed the video documentary series

contemplated by the purported summary of material terms which the Audit Committee reviewed.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

The NRA states that this is a subject of litigation between the NRA and Ackerman. The NRA

denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 514 of the Complaint.

515. To the extent that Paragraph 515 purports to characterize the Report of the Audit

Committee dated September 2018, the NRA states that such document speaks for itself. The

NRA respectfully refers the Court to such document for its complete, accurate contents; to the

extent that the allegations in Paragraph 515 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the

NRA denies them. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 515 of the

Complaint.

516. To the extent that Paragraph 516 purports to characterize the Reports of the Audit

Committee dated April 28, 2019 and May 30, 2019, the NRA states that the Reports speak for

themselves. The NRA respectfully refers the Court to such documents for their complete,

accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 516 are inconsistent with the

referenced document, the NRA denies them. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth

in Paragraph 516 of the Complaint.

517. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 517 of the Complaint.

518. The allegations in Paragraph 518 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions

which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

519. The allegations in Paragraph 519 of the Complaint purport to describe and/or

summarize the contents of Audit Committee Charter, which speaks for itself. The NRA

respectfully refers the Court to the Audit Committee Charter for its complete, accurate contents;

to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 519 are inconsistent with the referenced document,

the NRA denies them.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

520. The NRA admits that RSM was the NRA's external auditor between 2008 and

2019. The allegations in Paragraph 520 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions which

require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations. The

NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 520 of the Complaint.

521. The allegations in Paragraph 521 of the Complaint purport to describe and/or

summarize the contents of Audit Committee Charter, which speaks for itself. The NRA

respectfully refers the Court to the Audit Committee Charter for its complete, accurate contents;

to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 521 are inconsistent with the referenced document,

the NRA denies them. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to

the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 521 of the Complaint, and

therefore denies them.

522. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth

or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 522 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

523. The NRA denies the allegation that the Audit Committee never communicated

anything to RSM about passthrough expenses incurred through Ackerman. The NRA lacks

knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining

allegations set forth in Paragraph 523 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

524. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 524 purport to excerpt or characterize

deposition testimonies, the NRA respectfully refers the Court to the transcripts of such testimonies

for their complete and accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 524 are

inconsistent with the referenced documents, the NRA denies them.

525. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 525 purport to excerpt or characterize

deposition testimonies, the NRA respectfully refers the Court to the transcripts of such testimonies

COUNTY CLERK 07/20/2021

DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

for their complete and accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 525 are inconsistent with the referenced documents, the NRA denies them. With respect to allegations concerning RSM's work papers, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a

belief as to the truth or falsity of such allegations, and therefore denies them.

The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 526 of the Complaint.

527. With respect to allegations in Paragraph 527 of the Complaint purporting to

characterize testimony elicited by the NYAG in the course of its investigation, the NRA states

that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify

errata in the NYAG's transcripts, and further states that many witnesses testified subject to

COVID-19 precautions such as masking, social distancing, and/or videoconference which would

be reasonably expected to diminish the accuracy of transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks

knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of allegations in

Paragraph 527 purporting to recount witnesses' testimony, and therefore denies such allegations.

528. With respect to allegations in Paragraph 528 of the Complaint purporting to

characterize testimony elicited by the NYAG in the course of its investigation, the NRA states

that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify

errata in the NYAG's transcripts, and further states that many witnesses testified subject to

COVID-19 precautions such as masking, social distancing, and/or videoconference which would

be reasonably expected to diminish the accuracy of transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks

knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of allegations in

Paragraph 528 purporting to recount witnesses' testimony, and therefore denies such allegations.

529. The allegations in Paragraph 529 of the Complaint purport to characterize audit-

planning presentations delivered by RSM, which speak for themselves. The NRA respectfully

COUNTY CLERK 07/20/2021

DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

refers the Court to the presentations for their complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 529 are inconsistent with the referenced documents, the NRA denies them.

- 530. The NRA admits that the Audit Committee relied on RSM to perform applicable tests as part of its annual audit. With respect to allegations purporting to characterize testimony elicited by the NYAG in the course of its investigation, the NRA states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts, and further states that many witnesses testified subject to COVID-19 precautions such as masking, social distancing, and/or videoconference which would be reasonably expected to diminish the accuracy of transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of allegations in Paragraph 530 purporting to recount witnesses' testimony, and therefore denies such allegations. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 530 of the Complaint.
  - 531. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 531 of the Complaint.
- 532. The allegations in Paragraph 532 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.
- 533. The allegations in Paragraph 533 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.
  - 534. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 534 of the Complaint.
- 535. Paragraph 535 purports to characterize testimony elicited by the NYAG during the course of its investigation. The NRA states that such testimony was elicited subject to substantial COVID-19 precautions which could reasonably be expected to impede accurate transcription; for example, the testimony referenced here was conducted by videoconference, with the witness

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

situated in a remote area and tasked with printing and organizing his own exhibits. The NRA

further states that it repeatedly requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify

and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or

information sufficient to form a belief as to the accuracy of allegations in Paragraph 535

purporting to characterize or excerpt the referenced testimony, and on that basis denies such

allegations. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 535 of the

Complaint.

536. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 536 purport to excerpt or characterize

deposition testimonies, the NRA respectfully refers the Court to the transcripts of such testimonies

for their complete and accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 536 are

inconsistent with the referenced documents, the NRA denies them.

537. The NRA admits that outside counsel participated in formulating, and Mr. Frazer

and Mr. Powell at times participated in delivering, compliance training presentations. The NRA

denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 537 of the Complaint.

538. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 538 of the Complaint.

539. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 539 of the Complaint.

540. With respect to allegations in Paragraph 540 purporting to characterize testimony

elicited by the NYAG in the course of its investigation, the NRA states that it repeatedly

requested, and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's

transcripts, and further states that many witnesses testified subject to COVID-19 precautions such

as masking, social distancing, and/or videoconference which would be reasonably expected to

diminish the accuracy of transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information

sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of allegations in Paragraph 540 purporting to

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

recount witnesses' testimony, and therefore denies such allegations. The NRA denies the

remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 540 of the Complaint.

541. To the extent Paragraph 541 purports to characterize the NRA Conflict of Interest

and Related Party Transaction Policy, the NRA states that the Policy speaks for itself, and

respectfully refers the Court to such document for its complete, accurate contents. To the extent

that the allegations in Paragraph 541 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA

denies them. The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth

or falsity of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 541 of the Complaint, and therefore

denies them.

542. With respect to allegations in Paragraph 542 purporting to characterize testimony

elicited by the NYAG in the course of its investigation, the NRA states that it repeatedly requested,

and was repeatedly denied, the opportunity to identify and rectify errata in the NYAG's transcripts,

and further states that many witnesses testified subject to COVID-19 precautions such as masking,

social distancing, and/or videoconference which would be reasonably expected to diminish the

accuracy of transcripts. Accordingly, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form

a belief as to the truth or falsity of allegations purporting to recount witnesses' testimony, and

therefore denies such allegations. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 542 purport to

characterize New York law, they constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required; to

the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations. The NRA denies the remaining

allegations set forth in Paragraph 542 of the Complaint.

543. The NRA denies the first sentence of Paragraph 543 of the Complaint. The NRA

lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the

allegations set forth in the second sentence of Paragraph 543 of the Complaint, and therefore

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

of the Complaint.

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

denies them. To the extent Paragraph 543 purports to characterize the Report of the Audit Committee covering the meeting on July 30, 2018, the NRA states that such document speaks for itself. The NRA respectfully refers the Court to such document for its complete, accurate contents; to the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 543 are inconsistent with the referenced document, the NRA denies them. The NRA states that the Chair of the Audit Committee was provided with a copy of the Top Concerns memorandum after the meeting; with respect to the allegation that he did not receive his copy from, specifically, the Vice Chair, the NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of such allegation, and therefore denies them. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 543

- 544. The allegations in Paragraph 544 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.
- 545. The allegations in Paragraph 545 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.
- 546. To the extent that Paragraph 546 purports to characterize NRA's CHAR500s for the referenced years, the NRA states that the documents speak for themselves and respectfully refers the Court to such documents for their complete and accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 546 are inconsistent with the referenced documents, the NRA denies them. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 546 of the Complaint.
  - 547. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 547 of the Complaint.
- 548. To the extent that Paragraph 548 purports to characterize NRA's CHAR500s for the referenced years, the NRA states that the documents speak for themselves and respectfully refers the Court to such documents for their complete and accurate contents. To the extent that

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

the allegations in Paragraph 548 are inconsistent with the referenced documents, the NRA denies them. The NRA denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 548 of the Complaint.

- 549. The allegations in Paragraph 549 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.
- 550. The allegations in Paragraph 550 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.
- 551. The allegations in Paragraph 551 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.
- 552. The allegations in Paragraph 552 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.
- 553. The allegations in Paragraph 553 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.
- 554. The allegations in Paragraph 554 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.
- 555. The allegations in Paragraph 555 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.
- 556. The allegations in Paragraph 556 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.
  - 557. The NRA admits the allegations set forth in Paragraph 557 of the Complaint.
  - 558. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 558 of the Complaint.
- 559. The allegations in Paragraph 559 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

560. No response is called for by Paragraph 560 of the Complaint, and the NRA reincorporates its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 559 as if fully set forth herein.

### CAUSES OF ACTION

# FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION Dissolution of the NRA - N-PCL §§ 112(a)(1), 112(a)(5), 1101(a)(2)

(Against Defendant NRA)

- 561. The allegations in Paragraph 561 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.
- 562. The allegations in Paragraph 562 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.
- 563. The allegations in Paragraph 563 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.
- 564. The allegations in Paragraph 564 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.
- 565. The allegations in Paragraph 565 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.
- 566. The allegations in Paragraph 566 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.
- 567. The allegations in Paragraph 567 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.
- 568. The allegations in Paragraph 568 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.
- 569. The allegations in Paragraph 569 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

570. The allegations in Paragraph 570 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

571. The allegations in Paragraph 571 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

572. The allegations in Paragraph 572 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

573. The allegations in Paragraph 573 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

574. The allegations in Paragraph 574 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

# SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION Dissolution of the NRA – N-PCL §§ 112(a)(7), 1102(a)(2)(D) (Against Defendant NRA)

575. No response is called for by Paragraph 575 of the Complaint, and the NRA reincorporates its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 574 as if fully set forth herein.

576. The allegations in the Second Cause of Action are not against the NRA and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, the NRA denies the allegations.

- 577. The allegations in Paragraph 577 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.
  - The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 578 of the Complaint.
- 579. The allegations in Paragraph 579 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

# THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION For Breach of Fiduciary Duty Under N-PCL §§ 717 and 720 and Removal Under N- PCL §§ 706(d) and 714(c)

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

(Against Defendant LaPierre)

580. No response is called for by Paragraph 580 of the Complaint, and the NRA

reincorporates its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 579 as if fully set forth herein.

581. The allegations in the Third Cause of Action are not against the NRA and therefore

no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, the NRA denies the

allegations.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

The allegations in the Third Cause of Action are not against the NRA and therefore

no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, the NRA denies the

allegations.

583. The allegations in the Third Cause of Action are not against the NRA and therefore

no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, the NRA denies the

allegations.

584. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 584 of the Complaint.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

For Breach of Fiduciary Duty to the NRA Under N-PCL §§ 717 and 720 and

Removal Under N-PCL §§ 706(d) and 714(c)

(Against Defendant Frazer)

585. No response is called for by Paragraph 585 of the Complaint, and the NRA

reincorporates its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 584 as if fully set forth herein.

586. The allegations in the Fourth Cause of Action are not against the NRA and therefore

no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, the NRA denies the

allegations.

587. The allegations in the Fourth Cause of Action are not against the NRA and therefore

no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, the NRA denies the

allegations.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

588. The allegations in the Fourth Cause of Action are not against the NRA and therefore

no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, the NRA denies the

allegations.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

589. The allegations in the Fourth Cause of Action are not against the NRA and therefore

no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, the NRA denies the

allegations.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

For Breach of Fiduciary Duty to the NRA Under N-PCL §§ 717 and 720 (Against Defendant Phillips)

590. No response is called for by Paragraph 590 of the Complaint, and the NRA

reincorporates its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 589 as if fully set forth herein.

591. The allegations in the Fifth Cause of Action are not against the NRA and therefore

no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, the NRA denies the

allegations.

592. The allegations in the Fifth Cause of Action are not against the NRA and therefore

no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, the NRA denies the

allegations.

593. The allegations in the Fifth Cause of Action are not against the NRA and therefore

no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, the NRA denies the

allegations.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

For Breach of Fiduciary Duty to the NRA Under N-PCL §§ 717 and 720

(Against Defendant Powell)

594. No response is called for by Paragraph 594 of the Complaint, and the NRA

reincorporates its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 593 as if fully set forth herein.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

595. The allegations in the Sixth Cause of Action are not against the NRA and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, the NRA denies the

allegations.

596. The allegations in the Sixth Cause of Action are not against the NRA and therefore

no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, the NRA denies the

allegations.

597. The allegations in the Sixth Cause of Action are not against the NRA and therefore

no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, the NRA denies the

allegations.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION For Breach of EPTL § 8-1.4

(Against Defendant LaPierre)

598. No response is called for by Paragraph 598 of the Complaint, and the NRA

reincorporates its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 597 as if fully set forth herein.

599. The allegations in the Seventh Cause of Action are not against the NRA and

therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, the NRA denies

the allegations.

600. The allegations in the Seventh Cause of Action are not against the NRA and

therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, the NRA denies

the allegations.

601. The allegations in the Seventh Cause of Action are not against the NRA and

therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, the NRA denies

the allegations.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

For Breach of EPTL § 8-1.4

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

(Against Defendant Frazer)

602. No response is called for by Paragraph 602 of the Complaint, and the NRA

reincorporates its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 601 as if fully set forth herein.

603. The allegations in the Eighth Cause of Action are not against the NRA and therefore

no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, the NRA denies the

allegations.

The allegations in the Eighth Cause of Action are not against the NRA and therefore

no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, the NRA denies the

allegations.

605. The allegations in the Eighth Cause of Action are not against the NRA and therefore

no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, the NRA denies the

allegations.

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION For Breach of EPTL § 8-1.4

(Against Defendant Phillips)

606. No response is called for by Paragraph 606 of the Complaint, and the NRA

reincorporates its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 605 as if fully set forth herein.

607. The allegations in the Ninth Cause of Action are not against the NRA and therefore

no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, the NRA denies the

allegations.

608. The allegations in the Ninth Cause of Action are not against the NRA and therefore

no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, the NRA denies the

allegations.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

allegations.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

609. The allegations in the Ninth Cause of Action are not against the NRA and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, the NRA denies the

### TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION For Breach of EPTL § 8-1.4 (Against Defendant Powell)

- 610. No response is called for by Paragraph 610 of the Complaint, and the NRA reincorporates its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 609 as if fully set forth herein.
- 611. The allegations in the Tenth Cause of Action are not against the NRA and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, the NRA denies the allegations.
- 612. The allegations in the Tenth Cause of Action are not against the NRA and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, the NRA denies the allegations.
- 613. The allegations in the Tenth Cause of Action are not against the NRA and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, the NRA denies the allegations.

## **ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION** Wrongful Related-Party Transactions – N-PCL §§ 112(a)(10), 715(f) and EPTL § 8-1.9(c)(4) (Against Defendant LaPierre)

- 614. No response is called for by Paragraph 614 of the Complaint, and the NRA reincorporates its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 613 as if fully set forth herein.
- 615. The allegations in the Eleventh Cause of Action are not against the NRA and therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, the NRA denies the allegations.

'ILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 07/20/2021 10:17 PM INDEX NO. 451625/2020

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

616. The allegations in the Eleventh Cause of Action are not against the NRA and

therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, the NRA denies

the allegations.

617. The allegations in the Eleventh Cause of Action are not against the NRA and

therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, the NRA denies

the allegations.

TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Wrongful Related-Party Transactions – N-PCL §§ 112(a)(10), 715(f)

and EPTL § 8-1.9(c)(4)

(Against Defendant Powell)

618. No response is called for by Paragraph 618 of the Complaint, and the NRA

reincorporates its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 617 as if fully set forth herein.

619. The allegations in the Twelfth Cause of Action are not against the NRA and

therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, the NRA denies

the allegations.

620. The allegations in the Twelfth Cause of Action are not against the NRA and

therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, the NRA denies

the allegations.

621. The allegations in the Twelfth Cause of Action are not against the NRA and

therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, the NRA denies

the allegations.

THIRTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Wrongful Related-Party Transactions – N-PCL §§ 112(a)(10), 715(f)

and EPTL § 8-1.9(c)(4)

(Against Defendant Phillips)

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

622. No response is called for by Paragraph 622 of the Complaint, and the NRA

reincorporates its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 623 as if fully set forth herein.

623. The allegations in the Thirteenth Cause of Action are not against the NRA and

therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, the NRA denies

the allegations.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

624. The allegations in the Thirteenth Cause of Action are not against the NRA and

therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, the NRA denies

the allegations.

625. The allegations in the Thirteenth Cause of Action are not against the NRA and

therefore no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, the NRA denies

the allegations.

FOURTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION Wrongful Related-Party Transactions – N-PCL §§ 112(a)(10), 715(f) and EPTL § 8-1.9(c)(4)

(Against Defendant NRA)

626. No response is called for by Paragraph 626 of the Complaint, and the NRA

reincorporates its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 625 as if fully set forth herein.

627. The allegations in Paragraph 627 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions

which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

628. The allegations in Paragraph 628 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions

which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

629. The allegations in Paragraph 629 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions

which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

630. The allegations in Paragraph 630 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions

which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

631. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 631 of the Complaint.

632. The allegations in Paragraph 632 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions

which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

FIFTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION Violation of the Whistleblower Protections of N-PCL § 715-b and EPTL § 8-1.9 (Against Defendant NRA)

633. No response is called for by Paragraph 633 of the Complaint, and the NRA

reincorporates its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 632 as if fully set forth herein.

634. The allegations in Paragraph 634 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions

which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

635. The allegations in Paragraph 635 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions

which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

636. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 636 of the Complaint.

637. The allegations in Paragraph 637 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions

which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

SIXTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION For Breach of NYPMIFA, Article 5-A of the N-PCL (Against Defendant NRA)

638. No response is called for by Paragraph 638 of the Amended Complaint, and the

NRA reincorporates its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 637 as if fully set forth herein.

639. The allegations in Paragraph 639 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions

which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

640. The allegations in Paragraph 640 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions

which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

641. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 641 of the Complaint.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

642. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 642 of the Complaint.

## SEVENTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION For False Filings Under Executive Law §§ 172-d(1) and 175(2)(d) (Against Defendant NRA and Frazer)

- 643. No response is called for by Paragraph 643 of the Amended Complaint, and the NRA reincorporates its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 642 as if fully set forth herein.
  - 644. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 644 of the Complaint.
  - 645. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 645 of the Complaint.

## EIGHTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION For Unjust Enrichment Derivatively in Favor of the NRA Under N-PCL § 623 and common law (Against LaPierre, Phillips, Frazer and Powell)

- 646. No response is called for by Paragraph 646 of the Amended Complaint, and the NRA reincorporates its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 645 as if fully set forth herein.
- 647. The allegations in Paragraph 647 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.
- 648. The allegations in Paragraph 648 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.
- 649. The allegations in Paragraph 649 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.
- 650. The allegations in Paragraph 650 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.
- 651. The allegations in Paragraph 651 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.
- 652. The allegations in Paragraph 652 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

COUNTY CLERK 07/20/2021

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

653. The allegations in Paragraph 653 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions

which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

654. The first sentence in Paragraph 654 of the Complaint consists of legal conclusions

which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

The NRA lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of

the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 654 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

655. The allegations in Paragraph 655 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions

which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

656. The allegations in Paragraph 656 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions

which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

657. The allegations in Paragraph 657 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions

which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

658. To the extent that Paragraph 658 purports to characterize NRA's 2015-2018 Forms

990, the NRA states that the documents speak for themselves and respectfully refers the Court to

such documents for their complete and accurate contents. To the extent that the allegations in

Paragraph 658 are inconsistent with the referenced documents, the NRA denies them. The NRA

lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining

allegations set forth in Paragraph 658 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.

659. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 659 of the Complaint.

660. The allegations in Paragraph 660 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions

which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

661. The allegations in Paragraph 661 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions

which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

662. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 662 of the Complaint.

663. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 663 of the Complaint.

664. The NRA denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 664 of the Complaint.

665. The allegations in Paragraph 665 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions

which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

666. The allegations in Paragraph 666 of the Complaint consist of legal conclusions

which require no response; to the extent a response is required, the NRA denies such allegations.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Without admission that it carries the burden of proof as to any of the following, the NRA asserts

the following affirmative defenses without waiver of other applicable affirmative defenses not

included here which the NRA reserves the right to assert as they become known.

**First Affirmative Defense** 

1. The Complaint fails to state a cause of action or claim upon which relief can be

granted.

**Second Affirmative Defense** 

2. Plaintiff's claims and related requests for remedial action and other relief against

the NRA are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrines of collateral estoppel, regulatory estoppel,

waiver, and/or laches.

**Third Affirmative Defense** 

3. Plaintiff's claims and related requests for remedial action and other relief against

the NRA are barred, in whole or in part, by Plaintiff's unclean hands.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

4.

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

**Fourth Affirmative Defense** 

Plaintiff's attempt to bring a derivative action in behalf of the NRA cannot be

sustained due to its failure adequately to allege the futility of making a demand upon the NRA

Board of Directors. Further, the Attorney General has not only failed to allege with particularity,

but cannot allege, that a majority of the Board is conflicted with self-interest, or is controlled by

self-interested persons, concerning transactions at issue. Director nominations occur through a

nominating committee - which gets candidates from innumerable sources including

advertisements in NRA Magazine and in response to requests made to Members for suggested

Directors – or by petition, or both, after which approximately one-half of the NRA's five million

members are eligible to vote for Directors of their preference. The particular circumstances

presented by these nomination and election protocols hopelessly defeat the Attorney General's

necessary threshold allegations to establish demand futility.

**Fifth Affirmative Defense** 

5. Plaintiff's attempt to bring a derivative action in behalf of NRA members pursuant

to N-PCL § 1102(a)(2) additionally fails because Plaintiff fails to allege support from ten percent

of the total number of NRA members. Although derivative standing pursuant to N-PCL § 623

would be insufficient in a dissolution action, Plaintiff likewise fails to allege support from five

percent of any class of NRA members as the foregoing section would require. Indeed, Plaintiff

alleges no support from any NRA member.

Sixth Affirmative Defense

6. Plaintiff's attempt to enjoin, void or rescind alleged related-party transactions

pursuant to N-PCL §§ 112(a)(10), 715(f), and EPTL § 8-1.9(c)(4) fails because, to the extent such

DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

transactions were not approved in accordance with N-PCL § 715(a)-(b), they were duly ratified in accordance with N-PCL § 715(i).

#### **Seventh Affirmative Defense**

7. The damages suffered by Plaintiff or any third-parties were proximately caused by intervening and superseding actions and occurrences including, but not limited to, actions of persons, entities, and/or forces over which the NRA exerted no control and for which the NRA has no responsibility.

### **Eighth Affirmative Defense**

8. Plaintiff's claims and related requests for remedial action and other relief against the NRA are barred, in whole or in part, by the applicable statute of limitations and other statutory time limitations.

#### Ninth Affirmative Defense

9. Plaintiff's claims and related requests for remedial action and other relief against the NRA on its claims of falsity in public filings, and other allegations which sound in fraud, must fail because the allegations of the Complaint are not stated with sufficient particularity as required under the Civil Practice Law and Rules regardless of the remedial purposes of any statute on which Plaintiff is proceeding in this case.

#### **Tenth Affirmative Defense**

Plaintiff's claims and related requests for remedial action and other relief against 10. the NRA must fail, in whole or in part, because the NRA did not act with intent to deceive, manipulate, or defraud, nor did the NRA recklessly disregard any alleged misrepresentations, misstatements, or omissions of material fact.

### **Eleventh Affirmative Defense**

DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

11. Plaintiff's claims and related requests for dissolution of the NRA must be

discontinued pursuant to Section 1114 of New York Not-for-Profit Corporation Law.

#### **Twelfth Affirmative Defense**

12. One or more claims or allegations asserted, or remedies sought, in whole or in part, is barred by collateral estoppel and/or res judicata.

### **COUNTERCLAIMS**

Defendant, the NRA, by its attorneys, asserts the following Amended Counterclaims as against New YorkState Attorney General Letitia James ("James"), in her official capacity, and, pursuant to CPLR 3019(a), as against James in her individual capacity, upon personal knowledge of its own actions, and upon information and belief as to all other matters, as follows:

### PARTIES, JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. The NRA is a non-profit corporation organized under the laws of the State of New York with its principal place of business in Fairfax, Virginia. The NRA is America's leading provider of marksmanship and gun safety education for the military, law enforcement and civilians. It is also the foremost defender of the Second Amendment to the United States Constitution. The NRA has over five million members, and its programs reach millions more.
- 2. James is the Attorney General of the State of New York and, at certain times relevant to these Counterclaims, was acting individually—as she sought political office—and at other times under color of state law. Her principal place of business is The Capitol, Albany, New York, 12224. James is sued in her individual and official capacities.
- 3. By filing the Complaint, James has submitted to the jurisdiction and venue of this Court.

CLERK

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

### FACTUAL BACKGROUND

4. As a candidate for the New York State Office of the Attorney General, James promised that, if elected, she would "take down the NRA" by wielding the enforcement powers she hoped to possess if she were elected as NYAG. James repeatedly made clear during her campaign that she saw "no distinction" between the NRA's charitable existence and its ability to engage in pro-gun political speech, which she characterized as "poisonous" and "deadly propaganda." She maligned the NRA as a "terrorist organization" and a "criminal enterprise." And she was explicit about her plan: her "top issue" would be to leverage her "power as an attorney general to regulate charities" in order to instigate a fishing expedition into the NRA's "legitimacy... to see whether or not they have in fact complied with the not-for-profit law in the State of New York."8 In other words, James would use her office's dissolution power to silence the NRA's political advocacy. James further vowed that financial institutions and donors linked to the NRA would be pursued by law enforcement—akin to supporters of Al Qaeda or the mafia.<sup>9</sup>

5. James's promise to weaponize New York's law-enforcement apparatus against the NRA, its banks, and its financial supporters echoed prior threats and actions by her longtime supporter, Governor Andrew Cuomo. In a stunning course of misconduct that drew criticism from

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See Annual NRA Fundraiser Sparks Protests, LI HERALD (Oct. 25, 2018),http://liherald.com/stories/ nassau-protests-nra-fundraiser, 107617.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See Jon Campbell, NY AG Letitia James Called the NRA a 'Terrorist Organization.' WillIt Hurt Her Case? USA TODAY (Aug. 19, 2020), https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/politics/2020/08/19/nra-lawsuit-nyag-letitia-james-past-comments/5606437002/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See Jillian Jorgensen, Letitia James Says She'd Investigate NRA's Not-For-Profit StatusIf Elected Attorney General, N.Y. DAILY NEWS (July 12, 2018), https://www.nydailynews.com/news/politics/ny-pol-tishjames-nra-20180712-story html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See Attorney General Candidate, Public Advocate Letitia James, OUR TIME PRESS (Sept.6, 2018), http://www.ourtimepress.com/attorney-general-candidate-public-advocate-letitia-james/(emphasis added).

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

the ACLU<sup>10</sup> and instigated another pending First Amendment lawsuit that has withstood multiple motions to dismiss,<sup>11</sup> Cuomo conspired with willing leadership at the New York Department of Financial Services ("DFS") in a campaign to "#BankruptTheNRA"<sup>12</sup> by threatening its financial service providers with retaliatory, invasive investigations. Devised in 2017, this plan called for the NYAG to conduct a parallel "investigation" of the NRA to "find" reasons to commence legal actions against the NRA. The NRA became aware of this scheme when the then-New York Attorney General Eric Schneiderman became so troubled by it that he telephoned the NRA with an advance warning. Unfortunately, Schneiderman later resigned, and his successor James harbors no similar reservations about weaponizing the powers of her office over non-profits like the NRA.

6. Shortly after taking office, James commenced her long-promised investigation into the NRA's finances, personnel, operations, and political strategy, all with the purpose of damaging the NRA politically, diverting its corporate resources, and contriving a pretext to dissolve the NRA, without ever making a meaningful effort to engage NRA leadership, or giving the NRA a fair opportunity to take appropriate action to address compliance issues raised by the NYAG and to correct alleged deficiencies. James's unconstitutional, retaliatory investigation found no evidence to support her audacious claims, yet she predictably concluded it by commencing this action and

<sup>10</sup> See David Cole, New York State Can't Be Allowed to Stifle the NRA's Political Speech, Speak Freely (Aug. 24, 2018), https://www.aclu.org/blog/free-speech/new-york-state-cant-be- allowed-stifle-nras-political-speech; see also Cheryl Chumley, ACLU defends NRA - - Yes, You Read That Right, WASH.

TIMES (Aug. 27, 2018) https://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2018/aug/27/aclu-defends-nra-yes-you-read-right/; see also Declan McCullagh, ACLU Sticks Up for the NRA?!, REASON (Aug. 24, 2018), https://reason.com/2018/08/24/aclu-teams-up-with-nra/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Nat'l Rifle Ass'n of Am. v. Cuomo, Case No. 1:18-cv-00566-TJM-CFH (N.D.N.Y.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> See August 4, 2018 Facebook post by Andrew Cuomo, https://www.facebook.com/andrewcuomo/posts/new-york-is-forcing-the-nra-into-financial-crisis-its-time-to-put-the-gun-lobby-/10155989594858401/.

COUNTY CLERK 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

seeking a dissolution of the NRA.

DOC. NO. 325

7.

based solely on allegations of misconduct by four individual executives, two of whom no longer work at the NRA, and one of whom was fired by the NRA for many of the same issues alleged in

James thus seeks to shutter a five-million-member political advocacy organization

the Complaint. Given that it is obviously political, this action has shocked civil liberties

advocates and legal and public policy scholars across the political spectrum.

8. James's unjustified actions manifestly violate the freedoms guaranteed by the

United States Constitution and the Constitution of the State of New York.

A. The NRA: Support For Gun Safety And A Commitment To Core Political

Speech.

9. The NRA was created after the Civil War as a private association to promote

marksmanship among the citizenry of the United States. It obtained a charter from the State of

New York in November 1871, and thereafter began a proud legacy of marksmanship training and

Second Amendment and gun safety advocacy. From its inception, the NRA received praise from

the State of New York for its many public contributions and partnered with the State to advance

marksmanship, firearms safety, education, conservation, and other public policy goals.

10. First among the "Purposes and Objectives" contained in the NRA's bylaws is "[t]o

protect and defend the Constitution of the United States." Accordingly, political speech is a major

purpose of the NRA and the NRA engages in extensive political speech and legislative advocacy

to promote and vindicate the rights of its members and all Americans.

11. Today, the NRA spends tens of millions of dollars annually distributing pamphlets,

fact sheets, articles, electronic materials, and other literature to advocate in support of Second

Amendment freedoms and to assist NRA members who engage in national, state, and local firearm

dialogue and debate. The NRA's direct mail, television, radio, and digital communications seek to

COUNTY CLERK 07/20/2021

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

educate the public about issues bearing on the Second Amendment, defend the right of the people to keep and bear arms, and galvanize participation in the political process by NRA members and supporters, and others who care about the right to keep and bear arms, and want to keep it. In addition, the NRA's letter-writing campaigns, peaceable public gatherings, and other grassroots "lobbying" activities constitute precisely the type of political speech which rests "[a]t the core of the First Amendment."13

> B. Various Elected Officials in New York Target the NRA Based on the Viewpoints Expressed in Its Speech.

12. In recent years, the NRA's corporate domicile—New York—has witnessed the ascendancy of governmental officials determined to make the State a dangerous place for Second Amendment advocacy. Although the NRA welcomes fair, full-throated policy debate, it cannot abide the corrupt misuse of government power by certain New York officials attempting to squelch political opposition to benefit themselves and advance their own careers. Unfortunately, this is what has occurred, and is already the subject of another ongoing federal lawsuit.

13. New York Governor Andrew Cuomo has a longstanding political vendetta against the NRA, which he accuses of exerting a "stifl[ing] . . .stranglehold" over national gun policy. 14 For Cuomo, silencing the NRA is a career strategy. During 2018, Cuomo and several political allies, including the former Superintendent of DFS, orchestrated a campaign of selective enforcement, backroom exhortations, retaliation and public threats designed to coerce financial institutions operating in New York to blacklist pro-gun advocacy groups, especially the NRA. The NRA's First Amendment claims arising from this conduct have withstood motions to dismiss

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See, e.g., Brown v. Hartlage, 456 U.S. 45, 52 (1982).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> See Kenneth Lovett, Exclusive: Cuomo Fires Back at Jeb Bush for 'Stupid' and 'Insensitive' Gun Tweet. **DAILY NEWS** (Feb. 17, http://www.nydailynews.com/news/politics/cuomo-blasts-jeb-stupid-insensitive-gun-tweet- article-1.2534528.

York.15

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

and are currently pending in the United States District Court for the Northern District of New

14. James's predecessor in office, Attorney General Eric Schneiderman, defied his own party loyalties to warn the NRA that he was being urged to use his office in support of these politically motivated efforts. In a telephone call to Tom King, an NRA director, in mid-2017, Schneiderman emphasized that while he opposed the NRA's positions on the Second Amendment, he was troubled by recent, extraordinary pressures being placed on him by Cuomo and others to weaken the NRA as a political force in 2020. Schneiderman advised King to "get ready."

15. Although the NRA believed it was operating in compliance with New York State law, it also understood that a politically driven "compliance audit" was something for which it should carefully prepare. To fortify its defenses, the NRA undertook a top-to-bottom review of its operations and governance.<sup>16</sup> In the process, the NRA met with resistance from a handful of its executives and vendors who did not welcome the NRA Board's push for additional documentation and transparency. Over the ensuing year, the NRA became embroiled in litigation with those it determined had abused its trust. These fights were difficult, but the NRA was determined to protect its mission, message, members and donors and prepare itself to fend off a political attack from the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Nat'l Rifle Ass'n of Am. v. Cuomo, Case No. 1:18-cv-00566-TJM-CFH (N.D.N.Y.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Indeed, the Complaint extensively documents that the NRA voluntarily undertook efforts to improve its internal governance functions beginning in 2017, up to the present day. These efforts include replacing Defendant Wilson Phillips with a new treasurer that the complaint repeatedly lauds for engaging in remedial efforts such as a 50% reduction in travel expenses (¶ 156), "reengineering" the process for handling Defendant Wayne LaPierre's expense reimbursements to "make it . . . robust and appropriate" (¶ 197), investigating and terminating a complainedof vendor contract with HomeTelos in the spring of 2018 (¶ 225), examining Defendant Joshua Powell's improper expenses and engaging outside counsel to assist, and confronting Powellregarding improper conflicts of interest in mid-2018, resulting in Powell's removal and repayment of misappropriated monies to the NRA (¶ 249-50, 263), and investigating and examining the improper use of a corporate credit card by LaPierre's senior assistant (¶ 294). The NRAengaged outside counsel to do an extensive review of the NRA's relationship with its contractual partners and in service of that effort ultimately commenced litigation against Ackerman to obtaindocumentation that Ackerman has been withholding. (¶¶ 302, 455). The NRA has further been evaluating the establishment of an internal audit function (¶ 483) and adopted a revisedwhistleblower policy in January 2020. (¶ 115).

COUNTY CLERK

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

NYAG if one came.

16. Months after delivering his warning to Mr. King, Schneiderman resigned from office. As the NRA's First Amendment lawsuit against Governor Cuomo received increased coverage during the summer of 2018 (and garnered support from the American Civil Liberties Union), <sup>17</sup> James adopted Cuomo's plan and made the political prosecution of the NRA a central campaign theme. On September 6, 2018, James announced that, if elected, she would follow Cuomo's financial-blacklisting campaign by "put[ting] pressure upon the banks that finance the NRA" in order to choke off support for its Second Amendment speech, and reiterated her attacks on the NRA's legitimacy as a not-for-profit corporation. 18

# C. James Maliciously Defames the NRA to Create a Pretext for Law Enforcement Action.

17. During late summer and early fall 2018, James began to publicize false, defamatory assertions that the NRA engaged in criminal activity. On September 4, 2018, during a debate between Democratic candidates, James stated that, if elected, her "top issue" would be "going after the NRA because it is a criminal enterprise." Two days later, James elaborated: "We need to again take on the NRA, which holds itself out as a charitable organization. But in fact, they are not. They are nothing more than a criminal enterprise. We are waiting to take on

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> See David Cole, New York State Can't Be Allowed to Stifle the NRA's Political Speech, SPEAK FREELY (Aug. 24, 2018), https://www.aclu.org/blog/free-speech/new-york-state-cant-be- allowed-stifle-nras-political-speech; see also Cheryl Chumley, ACLU defends NRA - - Yes, You Read That Right, WASH. TIMES (Aug. 27, 2018) https://www.washingtontimes.com/ news/2018/aug/27/aclu-defends-nra-yes-you-read-right/; see also Declan McCullagh, ACLU Sticks Up for the NRA?!, REASON (Aug. 24, 2018), https://reason.com/2018/08/24/aclu-teamsup-with-nra/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> See Attorney General Candidate, Public Advocate Letitia James OUR TIME PRESS (Sept.6, 2018), https://www.ourtimepress.com/attorney-general-candidate-public-advocate-letitia-james/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> See New York City Bar Association, Forum for the Democratic Attorney General Primary Candidates, (Sept. 4, 2018), available at https://www.youtube.com/ watch?v=6n2 LHNEUW0 (statement at the 17:50 mark).

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

all of the banks that finance them, their investors."20 Similarly, on October 31, 2018, James stated that "the NRA holds [itself] out as a charitable organization, but in fact, [it] really [is] a terrorist organization."21

18. These statements were not mere heated political rhetoric. Rather, they were intended to reiterate and reinforce James's false, malicious assertions that the NRA had committed serious crimes, including crimes for which its financial backers might face repercussions.

## D. The NYAG's Pretextual "Investigation" Underscores James's Plan to Destroy the NRA

- 19. Even though James defamed and inveighed against the NRA, the NRA initially offered to cooperate with any good-faith inquiry into its finances.<sup>22</sup> Rather than accept the NRA's offer of cooperation in good faith, James's staff secretly subpoenaed the NRA's accounting firm, demanding sensitive records, including names of NRA members and donors—and tried to forbid the firm from alerting the NRA, despite its obligation under the Internal Revenue Code to do so. When the NRA requested that confidential documents produced to the NYAG Charities Bureau be maintained in confidence for purposes of James's purported charitable-compliance investigation—and not given to other NYAG staff who were adverse to the NRA on Second Amendment matters—the NYAG flatly refused.
  - 20. James has vowed that she would use the NYAG's enforcement powers for the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> See Attornev General Candidate, Public Advocate Letitia James, OUR TIME PRESS (Sept.6, 2018), https://www.ourtimepress.com/attorney-general-candidate-public-advocate-letitia-james/ (emphasis added).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> See Teddy Grant, Letitia 'Tish' James on Becoming New York's Next Attorney General, EBONY (Oct. 31, 2018), https://www.ebony.com/news/letitia-tish-james-on-becoming-new-yorks- next-attorney-general/ (emphasis added).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> See Gabriela Resto-Montero, New York's Attorney General Opens Investigation into the NRAas Its VOX. 2019). https://www.vox.com/policy-and-(Apr. politics/2019/4/27/18519685/nra-ceo-accuses-president-extortion-wayne-lapierre-oliver-north ("A lawyer for the NRA said the organization will 'fully cooperate' with the investigation, and added, 'The NRA is prepared for this, and has full confidence in its accounting practices and commitment to good governance."").

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

precise purpose of stanching political speech ("deadly propaganda") with which she and Governor Cuomo disagree. She has begun to deliver on her campaign promises to retaliate against the NRA for constitutionally protected speech on issues that James opposes. James's Charities Bureau investigation is nothing more than a pretext for her goal of depriving the NRA, its members, and its donors of their constitutional rights to freedom of speech and association under the First Amendment, and to the right to keep and bear arms under the Second Amendment.

# E. James Seeks the Extraordinary and Unprecedented Relief of Dissolution Based Solely on Alleged Executive Misconduct.

- 21. Prior to commencing this action, James made no attempt to settle her purported grievances against the NRA or monitor or reform the NRA to remedy alleged corruption. That is because improving the NRA's governance is not James's goal—destroying the NRA is.
- 22. The same day that the NYAG commenced this action, in an obviously coordinated effort, the Attorney General for the District of Columbia also filed suit against the NRA and the NRA's 501(c)(3) arm, the NRA Foundation, which is domiciled there.<sup>23</sup> Notably, the DCAG's complaint alleges similar misconduct but does not seek dissolution.
- 23. The Complaint here contains no allegations of fraud or intentional illegality by the employees of the NRA broadly, or by the NRA Board of Directors or any Committee of the Board. Instead, the Complaint at most accuses the NRA and its Board of failing to maintain fulsome records and of lax oversight. The NRA disputes even these allegations, but viewed in their worst possible light, they cannot justify James's decision to commence a dissolution action.

# F. NRA Seeks Protection Under U.S. Bankruptcy Code and Trial Reveals NRA's Years-Long Course Correction

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> District of Columbia v. NRA Foundation et al., Case No. 2020-CA-003454 (Sup. Ct. D.C.).

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

24. On January 15, 2021, the NRA and its affiliate, Sea Girt, LLC, filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas, styled as In re National Rifle Association of America and Sea Girt LLC, Case No. 21-30085 (Bankr. N.D. Tex.) (the "Bankruptcy Action"). The NYAG, among others, moved to dismiss the Chapter 11 case or, in the alternative, appoint a Chapter 11 trustee.

25. In support of its motion to appoint a Chapter 11 Trustee, the NYAG relied upon the "allegations of pervasive and persistent violations of New York laws governing charitable not-for-profit entities" James asserted in this Action.<sup>24</sup> The NYAG argued that the Complaint in this Action "is replete with examples of LaPierre's and his lieutenants' siphoning of tens of millions of dollars out of the NRA to use for their own purposes while failing to disclose such payments on regulatory filings and blatantly violating the NRA's reimbursement, procurement, and expense policies."25 The NYAG also pointed to its Complaint here, alleging false and misleading statements filed by the NRA in contravention of its obligations under New York Law during the period 2015-2018.<sup>26</sup> The NYAG further asserted, as it has in this Action, that "NRA personnel failed to take appropriate steps to protect the whistleblowers and took affirmative steps to conceal the nature and scope of the NRA whistleblower' concerns from its external auditors."<sup>27</sup>

26. Trial commenced in the Bankruptcy Action on April 5, 2021 and continued over twelve days with twenty-three witnesses testifying concerning the allegations asserted by the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> See The State of New York's Memorandum of Law and Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss, or, in the Alternative, Appoint Chapter 11 Trustee, dated February 12, 2021, filed in the Bankruptcy Action (Dkt. 156) ("NYAG Bankr. Motion").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> NYAG Bankr. Motion at ¶ 47 (citing to NYAG Complaint at pp. 39-76).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Id. at ¶ 69 (citing NYAG Complaint at pp. 136-140).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Id. at ¶ 85 (citing NYAG Complaint at pp. 121-124).

COUNTY CLERK

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

NYAG and other parties.<sup>28</sup> Although the court ultimately dismissed the bankruptcy petitions, it considered the motions to appoint a bankruptcy trustee. In that regard, the court made many significant findings.

27. The court found that the NRA had undertaken a "course correction" in the past few years, with more disclosure and self-reporting.<sup>29</sup> The court referred to the "Whistleblower Memo," known in the NYAG's Complaint as the "Top Concerns Memo," prepared in July 2018, which "enumerated the NRA Whistleblowers' concerns related to financial conflicts of interest, senior management override of internal controls, and vague and deceptive billing practices."<sup>31</sup> The court found that the NRA's current CFO, Sonya Rowling and Michael Erstling, the NRA's Director of Budget and Financial Analysis, testified that the concerns they expressed in the Top Concerns Memo<sup>32</sup> "are no longer concerns."<sup>33</sup>

28. The court declared that it is "an encouraging fact that Ms. Rowling has risen in the ranks of the NRA to become the acting chief financial officer, both because of her former status as a whistleblower and because of the Court's impression of her from her testimony as a champion of compliance."34 The court further credited testimony from Mr. Frazer regarding "the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> See Order Granting Motion to Dismiss, dated May 11, 2021 ("Bankr. Order"), at p. 11, issued in the Bankruptcy Action (Dkt. 740).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> *Id.* at p. 35.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> NYAG Complaint at ¶¶ 488, 494, 522, 543, 663.

 $<sup>^{31}</sup>$  *Id.* at ¶ 488.

<sup>32</sup> The Whistleblower Memo included "concerns related to (1) financial conflicts of interest of senior management and board members, (2) senior management override of internal controls relating to, among other things, accounts payable procedures, travel and expense reporting, and procurement/contracts policy, (3) management making decisions in the best interests of vendors instead of the NRA, (4) vague and deceptive billing practices of vendors, (5) improper reimbursement for apartments and living expenses of certain employees, and (6) lack of control over vehicle leases obtained by senior management." Bankr. Order at pp. 4-5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Bankr. Order at p. 35.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> *Id*.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

compliance training program that the NRA now has for employees."35

29. Critically, the court found that the Association's former CFO "testified credibly that the change that has occurred within the NRA over the past few years could not have occurred without the active support of Mr. LaPierre."<sup>36</sup>

30. Dispositive of the claim for dissolution brought by the NYAG in this Action, the court concluded that appointment of a trustee or an examiner would not be in the best interests of the bankruptcy estate:

In short, the testimony of Ms. Rowling and several others suggests that the NRA now understands the importance of compliance. Outside of bankruptcy, the NRA can pay its creditors, continue to fulfill its mission, continue to improve its governance and internal controls, contest dissolution in the NYAG Enforcement Action, and pursue the legal steps necessary to leave New York.<sup>37</sup>

31. The Texas federal court thus expressly concluded that the NRA is well-placed to continue improving governance and internal controls and to fulfill its mission, as it has since its whistleblowers came forward. These findings comprehensively undermine James's false narrative of an organization rife with corruption that it is unable to reform itself, and that must be dissolved. The bankruptcy court's factual findings in the bankruptcy proceeding, which included the same parties and considered the same facts and witnesses, are binding in this Action and preclude relitigation of those facts.<sup>38</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> *Id.* (emphasis added).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> See, e.g., Emmons v. Broome County, 180 A.D.3d 1213, 1216 (3d Dep't 2020) (Collateral estoppel applies when: (1) the issues in both proceedings are identical, (2) the issue in the prior proceeding was actually litigated and decided, (3) there was a full and fair opportunity to litigate in the prior proceeding, and (4) the issue previously litigated was necessary to support a valid and final judgment on the merits," and "precludes a party from relitigating in a subsequent action or proceeding an issue clearly raised in a prior action or proceeding and decided against that party or those in privity, whether or not the tribunals or causes of action are the same."). Collateral estoppel also applies "[w]here a federal court declines to exercise jurisdiction over a plaintiff's state law claims," to "bar those claims provided that the federal court decided issues identical to those raised by the plaintiff's state claims." Id.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

32. Of course, the foregoing facts should have been known to James during her "investigation" of the NRA prior to commencing this proceeding. Nevertheless, she continued with that pre-textual investigation through to commencing this Action because the issue was never about the NRA's internal controls and governance, but about a political effort to dissolve the Association driven by James's repeatedly expressed animus.

#### G. <u>Dissolution as a Remedy Has Never Been Appropriate in this Case</u>

- 33. The dissolution causes of action in the Complaint are brought under New York Not-for-Profit Corporation Law Sections 1101 and 1102. Section 1101 authorizes the NYAG to commence a dissolution action where a corporation "has exceeded the authority conferred upon it by law, or has violated any provision of law whereby it has forfeited its charter, or carried on, conducted or transacted its business in a persistently fraudulent or illegal manner, or by the abuse of its powers contrary to public policy of the State." Section 1102 gives the NYAG the right to commence the equivalent of a derivative action by a director, who may seek dissolution where "directors or members in control of the corporation have looted or wasted the corporate assets, have perpetuated the corporation solely for their personal benefit, or have otherwise acted in an illegal, oppressive or fraudulent manner."
- 34. The remedy of dissolution has been described as a judgment of "corporate death," which "represent[s] the extreme rigor of the law." "Its infliction must rest upon grave cause, and be warranted by material misconduct," and the State "must show on the part of the corporation accused some sin against the law of its being which has produced, or tends to produce, injury to the public. The transgression must not be merely formal or incidental, but material and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> People by Abrams v. Oliver Schools, Inc., 206 A.D.2d 143, 146 (4th Dep't 1994) (dissolution under N-PCL § 1101(a)(2) sister statute, Business Corporation Law § 1101(a)(2).

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

serious; and such as to harm or menace the public welfare."40

New York's highest court has ruled that dissolution is only available in cases of 35. "egregious" conduct, which "go far beyond charges of waste, misappropriation and illegal accumulations of surplus, which might be cured by a derivative action for injunctive relief and an accounting."41

- 36. As such, this extreme remedy is reserved for non-profit organizations that themselves were deemed to be a "sham," a "shell," or "phony." Examples of such cases include:
  - a "sham" cancer charity that stole more than \$75 million and performed no charitable work;<sup>42</sup>
  - a "shell charity" that used deceptive telemarketing solicitations falsely claiming that the charity was funding veterans' services;<sup>43</sup>
  - eleven entities used to implement a fraudulent fundraising scheme which solicited donations from thousands of donors for "phony" not-for-profit organizations including religious corporations that were "mere shells" that helped hide fraudulent activity;<sup>44</sup>

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Liebert v. Clapp, 13 N.Y.2d 313, 316 (1963).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> See Coalition Against Breast Cancer Dissolved By New York Attorney General's Office, CHARITY WATCH (May 7, 2013), https://www.charitywatch.org/charity-donating-articles/coalition-against-breastcancer-dissolved-by-new-york-attorney-general 39s-office; PressRelease, A.G. Schneiderman Announces Settlement with Two Sham Cancer Charities That Bilked More Than \$75 Million From Donors (Mar. 30, 2016), https://ag.ny.gov/press-release/2016/ag-schneiderman-announces-settlement-two-sham-cancer-charities-bilkedmore-75; Press Release, A.G. Schneiderman Announces \$350,000 Settlement with Sham Breast Cancer Charity (Jun. 2017), https://ag ny.gov/press-release/2017/ag-schneiderman-announces-350000-settlement-sham-breastcancer-charity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> See Press Release A.G. Schneiderman Announces Multistate Settlement Dissolving Deceptive Veterans Charity (Nov. 6, 2017), https://ag.ny.gov/press-release/2017/ag-schneiderman-announces-multistate-settlementdissolving-deceptive-veterans.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> See Press Release A.G. Schneiderman Announces Criminal Conviction And \$500k Civil Judgment Against NYC Fundraiser Who Solicited Donations For Fraudulent Charities In The Name Of Israeli Causes (July 23, 2014), https://ag.ny.gov/press-release/2014/ag-schneiderman-announces-criminal-conviction-and-500k-civil-judgmentagainst-nyc.

CLERK

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

a purported animal rescue organization that solicited funds from unsuspecting donors while actually helping few animals;<sup>45</sup>

- a puppy rescue organization that was really a puppy mill;<sup>46</sup>
- a supposed leukemia foundation that spent less than one percent of its revenue to help children suffering from cancer;<sup>47</sup>
- a tobacco industry-funded non-profit that spread disinformation about tobacco's health effects;<sup>48</sup>
- a non-profit that was a front for distribution of child pornography. 49
- 37. The Complaint does not allege that the NRA fails to conduct activities consistent with its stated corporate purposes, nor that it fails to honor requests by donors regarding the specific application of their gifts. The Complaint is also silent concerning the NRA's finances and whether any alleged looting or waste by the individual defendants rendered the NRA insolvent or incapable of continuing to carry out its stated purpose. To the contrary, the Texas bankruptcy court found that "the NRA is financially healthy." <sup>50</sup>
  - 38. To the NRA's knowledge, since at least 1999 and perhaps for its entire existence,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> See Press Release A.G. Schneiderman Shuts Down Unregistered Animal Shelter In Oneida County (Nov. 19, 2014), https://ag ny.gov/press-release/2014/ag-schneiderman-shuts-down-unregistered-animal-shelter-oneidacounty.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> See Press Release, A.G. Schneiderman Obtains Consent Order Shuttering Long Island Puppy Flipper (Mar. 16, 2015), https://ag ny.gov/press-release/2015/ag-schneiderman-obtains- consent-order-shuttering-long-islandpuppy-flipper.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> See New York Attorney General Schneiderman Announces \$1 Million Settlement withOfficials of So-Called Children's Leukemia Foundation and Their Auditor, National Associationof Charity Officials, NASCO (Dec. https://www.nasconet.org/2015/12/new-york-attorney-general-schneiderman-announces-1-millionsettlement-with-officials-of-so-called-childrens-leukemia-foundation-and-their-auditor/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> See Bill McAllister, N.Y. Judge Places Tobacco Institute Under Control of Receiver, WASH. POST (May 3, 1998), https://www.washingtonpost.com/archive/politics/1998/05/03/ny-judge-places-tobacco-instituteunder-control-of-receiver/fd082867-5a96-4f8b-9d7c- 202d4eb88701/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> See People v. Zymurgy, Inc., 233 A.D.2d 178 (1st Dep't 1996).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> Bankr. Order at p. 28.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

the NYAG has never sought dissolution of a non-profit corporation based solely on alleged selfdealing or related-party transactions engaged in by corporate executives, whether known or unknown to the corporation's board and regardless of whether those transactions were approved and regardless of how substantially those transactions diminished corporate assets.

- Not when NARAL Pro-Choice's president looted hundreds of thousands of dollars for personal expenses and intimidated others into staying quiet to perpetuate the fraud.<sup>51</sup>
- Not when the leader of the National Arts Club was found to have "systematically abused his authority" to steal millions for himself and his brother.<sup>52</sup>
- Not when the Thoroughbred Retirement Foundation was found to be so "dysfunctional" that it was driven into insolvency by the "reckless" actions of its board and caused the suffering and death of horses in its charge.<sup>53</sup>
- Not when the former president of the New York Legal Assistance Group was found to have diverted millions of dollars over 15 years to other entities he controlled, with board approval, and the organization was found to havefiled "materially misleading" financial statements.54
- Not when the NYAG found a "shocking" "breakdown in governance" at the Victor E.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> See Press Release, New York Attorney General Sues Former NARAL President for Siphoning Over \$250,000 from Charity for Personal Use (Jun 29, 2012), https://ag.ny.gov/press-release/2012/office-attorneygeneral-sues-former-naral-president-siphoning-over-250000- charity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> See Press Release, A.G. Schneiderman Obtains \$950k Settlement from Former National Arts Club Leaders for Years of Self-Dealing (Jul. 10, 2013), https://ag ny.gov/press- release/2013/ag-schneiderman-obtains-950k-settlement-former-national-arts-club-leaders-years.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> See Press Release, A.G. Schneiderman Sues to Remove Board of ThoroughbredRetirement Foundation That Put Horses in Danger and Finances in Ruin (May 3, 2012), https://ag.ny.gov/press-release/2012/agschneiderman-sues-remove-board-thoroughbred- retirement-foundation-put-horses.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> See Press Release, A.G. Schneiderman Announces Settlement of Lawsuit Against YisroelSchulman, Former Director of NYLAG, For Breaching His Fiduciary Duty to NYLAG and Other Charities (Nov. 29, 2017), https://ag.ny.gov/press-release/2017/ag-schneiderman-announces-settlement-lawsuit-against-yisroel-schulmanformer.

CLERK 07/20/2021

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

Perley Fund that allowed its leader to loot over a million dollars and waste the fund's entire investment portfolio intended for underprivileged children.<sup>55</sup>

- Not when former executives of the Metropolitan Council on Jewish Poverty were indicted for grand larceny, money laundering, tax fraud and criminal conspiracy for taking millions through an illegal insurance-inflation scheme stretching back 20 years.<sup>56</sup>
- Not when the former president of the National Arts Club was accused of years of selfdealing and mismanagement that resulted in more than \$1.75 million in lost income to the "historic" century-old institution, which agreed to institute governance reforms.<sup>57</sup>
- Nor in any of the more than two dozen other instances since 1999 when the NYAG alleged self-dealing by non-profit executives. In every single one of those cases, the NYAG considered the non-profit a victim and engaged in collaborative discussions with the organizations to implement measures designed to tighten internal controls.
- 39. A survey of non-profit enforcement by the National Association of State Charity Officials, a consortium of attorneys general similarly demonstrates that, for the past two years, almost none of the actions categorized as "Governance and Breach of Fiduciary Duty" sought dissolution.<sup>58</sup> Even where they did, the remedy was sought in the alternative only if other, less-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> See Press Release, A.G. Schneiderman Announces \$1.025 Million Settlement with Trustees of Nonprofit that Squandered Assets Intended for Underprivileged Children (Apr. 29, 2015), https://ag.ny.gov/pressrelease/2015/ag-schneiderman-announces-1025-million-settlement-trustees-nonprofit-squandered.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> See Press Release, A.G. Schneiderman & Comptroller DiNapoli Announce Agreement with Met Council to Restore Charity's Operations (Dec. 19, 2013), https://ag ny.gov/press- release/2013/ag-schneidermancomptroller-dinapoli-announce-agreement-met-council-restore.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> See Press Release, A.G. Schneiderman Sues Former Leader Of Historic National Arts Club For Years Of Self-Dealing (Sep. 21, 2014), https://ag.ny.gov/press-release/2012/ag-schneiderman-sues-former-leader-historicnational-arts-club-years-self-dealing.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> See NASCO's 2019 and 2020 annual reports, available at https://www.nasconet.org/annual-reports/, at 11-15 (2019, identifying the Trump Foundation action as the only one seeking dissolution); and 7-10 (identifying only the Trump Foundation and two sham charity actions where looting also occurred as dissolution actions).

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

severe injunctive relief was not obtained.<sup>59</sup> It is notable that the Attorney General of the District of Columbia, which commenced an action on the same day as James making similar allegations against the NRA and one of its D.C.-domiciled foundations, *did not seek dissolution* of the foundation. When asked during an August 6, 2020 press conference what precedent existed for this action, James offered only two examples, neither of which were sought on the basis of fraudulent expenditures by management.<sup>60</sup> The first, the Federation of Multicultural Programs, ran a series of homes and programs for the disabled, had accumulated 27 safety violations, was determined to be actively harming patients in its care because of a lack of funds, and had been warned repeatedly by the New York State Office for People With Disabilities before it was shut down.<sup>61</sup> The second purported example, the Trump Foundation, was an action in which the dissolution claim was premised not on waste or misspending, but because the Foundation, *unlike the NRA*, was prohibited from engaging in political activity but had been found to operate as little more than a "checkbook" that was "co-opted" by a presidential campaign.<sup>62</sup> It was notably also already in the process of winding down its affairs, and had consented to dissolution.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> *Id.* Michael West, the senior attorney at the New York Council of Nonprofits, called the dissolution action "unprecedented." *See* Alex Yablon, *Get Ready for a Feeding Frenzy Over the NRA's Corpse*, SLATE (Sept. 3, 2020). Anne Milgram, former Attorney General for the State of New Jersey similarly noted on the Café Insider podcast after learning of this lawsuit, "The thing I kept thinking about as somebody who's overseen charities, is that, as a rule, you, you know there were instances where we took the most aggressive actions were instances where charities have already been given an opportunity to reform, or they'd been identified as being problematic and flagged for and basically told 'You're going to lose your status unless you do this.'" CAFÉ INSIDERPODCAST, August 11, 2020 at 42:00, *available at* https://cafe.com/insider-podcast/cafe-insider-8-11-the-executives-privilege/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> See Transcript of James's press conference at 14:46, available at https://www.rev.com/blog/transcripts/ny-attorney-general-letitia-james-sues-nra-press-conference-august-6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> See Russ Buetner, An Operator of Group Homes Keeps State Aid Despite Faults, N.Y. TIMES (Dec. 27, 2011), https://www.nytimes.com/2011/12/28/nyregion/operator-of-ny-group-homes-thrived-despite-lapses-in-care html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup> People v. Donald J. Trump, et al., Index No. 451130/2018, Dkt. 1 ¶¶ 108, 116 ("The Foundation exceeded the authority conferred to it in its certificate of incorporation and acted in a persistently illegal manner by repeatedly intervening in Mr. Trump's campaign for president in 2016 . . ." and "[the Foundation] has conducted its business in a persistently illegal manner and abused its powers contrary to the public policy of the State of New York by operating without anyoversight or control by a board of directors.").

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

40. The difference here is James's well-documented animus against the NRA. James's radical departure from precedent to pursue dissolution cannot therefore be reasonably viewed as anything other than abuse of the non-profit laws to silence a political enemy.

- 41. The dissolution action has rightfully drawn widespread condemnation as a blatant abuse of power and a threat to democratic principles from both sides of the political divide, including the American Civil Liberties Union, the New Republic, and other voices not traditionally aligned with the NRA.<sup>63</sup>
- The NYAG Office's decision to seek this severe remedy—effectively seizure of 42. the NRA's remaining assets and annulment of its existence—constitutes impermissible selective enforcement of the New York Not-for-Profit Corporation Law by James. Both the U.S. and New York Constitutions prohibit the government from applying or enforcing a valid law "with an evil

<sup>63</sup> See, e.g., Editorial, The Right Penalty for the NRA?, WASH POST. (Editorial, Aug. 9, 2020) ("But dissolution? We have been vehement critics of the NRA . . . and we would not mourn its demise. But other nonprofits that have had corrupt leadership were given the chance to clean house and institute reforms. A 148-year-old organization with, it claims, 5 million members would seem to merit a similar second chance.); Henry Olsen, New York's Lawsuit toDissolve the NRA is Outrageous, WASH.POST. (Opinion, Aug. 7, 2020) ("James's allegations . . . would certainly be damning if true. . . . None of this, however, justifies destroying the organization itself. The NRA is still supported by millions ofpeople and has substantial assets. It is neither broke nor derelict."); Ruth Marcus, Don't Dissolve the NRA. Fix It, Philadelphia Inquirer (Opinion, Aug. 16, 2020) ("New York has shut down other charities - cancer scams and the like - but this would be a corporate death sentence of a different magnitude. . . And while other groups aren't likely to present such egregious fact patterns, consider the threat of a conservative attorney general going after a disfavored liberal group.") Noah Feldman, New York's Attorney General Shouldn't Dismantle the NRA, BLOOMBERG (Opinion, Aug. 6, 2020) ("But by seeking to dissolve the NRA, the New York attorney general is knowingly creating a narrative that is potentially costly to the rule of law, that may create terrible precedents for other states and that potentially implicates the First Amendment. This is a situation where the importance of the First Amendment has relevance for the Second Amendment. The NRA is wrong about what the Second Amendment means. But it should enjoy an unimpeded First Amendment right to argue for that incorrect and dangerous interpretation of the Second."); David Cole, The NRA Has aRight to Exist, WALL ST. J. (Opinion, Aug. 26, 2020), https://www.wsj.com/articles/the-nra-has-a-right-to-exist-11598457143?mod=opinion lead pos7 ("The American Civil Liberties Union rarely finds itself on the same side as the National Rifle Association in policy debates or political disputes. Still, we are disturbed by New York Attorney General Letitia James's recent effort to dissolve the NRA"); Jonathan Turley, The Tragic Irony of the New York State Lawsuit Against the NRA, THE HILL (Opinion, Aug. 8, 2020), https://thehill.com/opinion/judiciary/511155-the-tragic-irony-of-the-new-york-statelawsuit-against-the-national-rifle-association ("Trying to dissolve anorganization engaged in political speech should not occur absent overwhelming proof that it is acriminal enterprise, which is why this has never happened with a group like the NRA.").

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

eye and an unequal hand."64 Such behavior "taints the integrity of the legal process to the degree that no court should lend itself to adjudicate the merits of the enforcement action," "even though the party ... may well have been guilty of violating the law."65 Discrimination on the basis of political speech is such an impermissible standard.<sup>66</sup> If "conscious, intentional discrimination" exists, then "the defendant will be entitled to a dismissal of the prosecution as a matter of law."67

- 43. Here, both James's documented animus against the NRA and more than 20 years' worth of action by the NYAG against non-profits make clear that the dissolution causes of action are included for no reason other than to punish a political enemy and stifle its speech. The record on James's—as well as her boss, Governor Cuomo's—hatred of the NRA is extensive. While campaigning, James repeatedly called the NRA a "terrorist organization" and a "criminal enterprise" and called its constitutionally protected Second Amendment agenda "poisonous." She stated explicitly that, once elected, she would use the New York Not-for-Profit Corporation Law to "target" the NRA.
- 44. Despite James being aware that, as found by a Texas federal court, the NRA has, since 2018, fully committed to compliance with its obligations as a not-for-profit corporation, that it has protected and promoted its principal whistleblowers to high office, including those the federal court called "champions" of compliance, and that the Association understands the importance of compliance, James continued her pretextual investigation and commenced this

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> See Yick Wo v. Hopkins, 118 U.S. 356, 373-74 (1886); 303 West 42<sup>nd</sup> St. v. Klein, 46 N.Y.2d 686 (1979).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup> 303 West 42<sup>nd</sup> St. v. Klein, 46 N.Y.2d 686 (1979).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> See Id.; Reno v. American-Arab Anti-Discrimination Committee, 525 U.S. 471 (1999).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup> People v. Utica Daw's Drug Co., 16 A.D.2d 12, 19 (4th Dep't 1962).

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

proceeding in order to make good on her threats and fulfill her political promises.

45. As the New York Court of Appeals has acknowledged, while proof of intent in these matters is often hard for a defendant to come by, here it permeates the public record. Proof

of intent may also be found by a "showing of a grossly disproportionate incidence of

nonenforcement against others similarly situated in all relevant respects save for" the

impermissible motive.<sup>68</sup>

DOC. NO. 325

46. While the totality of the NYAG's enforcement history against non-profits is not a

matter of easily searchable public record, an exhaustive search of its press releases, consent

orders, publicly filed enforcement actions, and news articles stretching back more than 20 years

yields not a single example where the NYAG has sought outright dissolution of any non-profit

corporation (or a for-profit one, for that matter), based solely on allegations of executive

misconduct and lack of oversight. This was true regardless of whether the alleged looting was

board-sanctioned or not, regardless of whether the transgressor allegedly controlled the board,

regardless of whether the corporation had deficient policies and procedures, regardless of the

amount allegedly looted, regardless of whether the looting completely depleted the assets of the

corporation, regardless of whether it was tied to illegal activity such as kickback schemes and

money laundering, regardless of whether the individual defendants pled guilty to crimes,

regardless of whether it resulted in false filings made with State regulators, and regardless of

whether the corporation was no longer able to continue its purpose. James could not identify one

relevant example at her press conference. Likewise, the NRA has not identified a single such

action where dissolution was sought on the basis that governance reforms would allegedly be

futile.

<sup>68</sup> Klein, 46 N.Y.2d at 686.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

47. Fatally for the NYAG, the Complaint identifies no basis for its extreme departure from its decades-long enforcement practice. With the findings of the Texas federal court following a twelve-day trial with almost two dozen witnesses—that the NRA has been on a yearslong course correction to improve its internal governance and compliance, such departure is utterly indefensible.

## H. New York's Not-for-Profit Law's Dissolution Provisions are Unconstitutional As-Applied to Political Entities Like the NRA.

- 48. Although the NYAG has never before alleged, and no New York court has ever held, that executive misconduct alone constitutes the persistent corporate fraud necessary to sustain a dissolution action, James now seeks a dissolution order based on this theory.
- 49. Given the NRA's constitutionally protected activity, James must demonstrate that dissolution is "the least restrictive means of achieving a compelling state interest." 69
- 50. The Complaint identifies no compelling state interest; rather, it relies on the general parens patriae principles underlying non-profit laws that ensure charities perform in the public interest. Nor does the NYAG explain how dissolving rather than reforming the NRA will be in the interest of its millions of members, who will find themselves deprived of their political voice.
- 51. The NYAG's long enforcement history demonstrates that dissolution is not the least restrictive means to ensuring that non-profits serve the public interest. 70 In every prior

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> Thomas v. Review Bd. of Indiana Employment Sec., 450 U.S. 707, 719 (1981).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> This has also been the consensus of various legal commentators. As constitutional scholar Jonathan Turley noted, where other instances of self-dealing and even outright racketeering at identified by officials at politically engaged non-profits The United Way and the Teamsters Union, "[n]o prosecutor would have dreamed of dissolving [them]." And indeed, no dissolution was sought in either case. Jonathan Turley, The Tragic Irony of the New York State Lawsuit Against the NRA, THE HILL (Op-Ed). Alan Z. Rozenshtein of Lawfare noted, "a lawsuit threatening to destroy any major political group should be held to a high standard. In particular, the government should bend over backwards, even while it enforces the law, to preserve the institution if at all possible . . . [b]ut the priority should be

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

instance where non-profit executives were accused of looting corporate assets, the NYAG has always worked with the non-profit to implement reform measures that strengthen corporate governance in order to prevent looting from occurring in the future and to allow the non-profit to continue performing its charitable purpose.

52. The Complaint contains only one sentence concerning NRA reform, stating without any supporting facts that reform would be "futile." Such an allegation is contradicted by the remainder of the Complaint. Indeed, the setup of the NRA's governance structure is so extensive the Complaint requires over 70 paragraphs just to describe it. The organization encompasses 11 divisions, each overseen by the Executive Vice President. The NRA's bylaws establish a 76-member board of directors to have general oversight of the organization. The bylaws also establish a leadership structure of eight officers, six of whom are elected annually by the Board. Five of these officers are ex officio members of the Board but lack voting power. The Board is aided by "dozens of standing and Special Committees," including an officer compensation committee, a nominating committee, an executive committee, and an audit committee (with its own charter). The NRA has formalized policies maintained in an employee handbook and a Board policy manual, including policies and procedures on employee selection,

reform, not dissolution. To seek dissolution, especially out of the gate, is to ignore the millions of Americans for whom the NRA is a vital avenue for political participation. [S]eeking such a radical remedy every time that occurs would clearly go beyond what the legislature intended, and what good public policy countenances. The breadth of the law only makes sense if paired with discretion on the part of those who enforce it." Alan Z. Rozenshtein, The Attempt to Dissolve the NRA Threatens Democratic Norms, LAWFARE (Aug. 11, 2020). Noah Feldman, another constitutional law scholar noted that "If an organization has really fallen into a condition of fundamental corruption, a state attorney general can demand it get new leaders, or replace its board of directors and its management in their entirety. But asking the court not to order reform of the organization, but to dismantle and dissolve it altogether, creates the impression that the attorney general is trying to use the legal system to intervene in the very political dispute in which the NRA is such an important player: the fight over Second Amendment rights and gun control." Noah Feldman New York's Attorney General Shouldn't Dismantle the NRA, BLOOMBERG (Aug. 6, 2020),

https://www.bloomberg.com/opinion/articles/2020-08- 06/new-york-s-attorney-general-shouldn-t-dismantle-nra-in-

lawsuit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> Complaint at ¶ 663.

 $<sup>^{72}</sup>$  Complaint at ¶¶ 60-132.

DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

compensation, time off, work standards, insurance and pension benefits, a statement of corporate ethics, purchase policy, a contract review policy, travel and business expense reimbursement policy, an officer and board of directors policy on disclosure of conflicts of interest, a conflict of interest and related party transaction policy that requires financial and conflict of interest disclosures by directors, officers and employees, and a new whistleblower policy.

- 53. Despite framing the NRA as a fraudulent organization beyond repair, the Complaint in fact extensively documents and makes the case that the NRA has undertaken efforts to improve its internal governance functions up to the present day. The Complaint is replete with allegations concerning dissident board members who acted as whistleblowers and were focused on reform as well as the introduction of more robust policies inrecent years; and it avers the current treasurer has been investigating the alleged malfeasance by the former treasurer and has implemented stricter controls.<sup>73</sup>
- 54. Indeed, the Complaint's own acknowledgement of the NRA's efforts at reform was conclusively established by the findings and conclusions of Judge Hale in the NRA bankruptcy proceeding. There, the NYAG argued for the appointment of a bankruptcy trustee, arguing precisely the same facts as in this proceeding: "There is cause for appointment of a trustee under § 1104(a)(1) due to the ample evidence of fraud, dishonesty, incompetency and gross mismanagement of the NRA's affairs. Allegations of such misconduct are set out at length in the 163-page NYAG Enforcement Complaint."<sup>74</sup> As set forth above, the court concluded that a trustee was not appropriate, and laid out the various efforts at improving compliance within the Association. That included promoting and empowering the very whistleblowers who first brought

<sup>74</sup> NYAG Bankr. Motion at ¶ 45 (citing to NYAG Complaint at pp. 1-169).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup> Complaint at ¶ 197.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

attention to misconduct by former employees and vendors. One such whistleblowers is today the

NRA's CFO. James knew, or should have known, during her investigation and before

commencing this Action that the NRA had undergone this extensive course correction, yet she

nevertheless continued the investigation and commenced this Action to dissolve the Association.

Because compliance and good governance were never her priority; rather, political retaliation was

her goal.

55. James's threatened, and actual, regulatory and civil reprisals are a blatant and

malicious retaliation campaign against the NRA and its constituents based on her disagreement

with the content of their speech. Such conduct violates clearly established statutory or constitutional

rights of which a reasonable person would have known.

56. This wrongful conduct threatens to destroy the NRA, has chilled, and will continue

to chill, the speech of the NRA, its members, and other constituents, including like-minded

groups and their members.

57. As a result of James's threats and reprisals against the NRA, members and

prospective members of the NRA have expressed to the Association concerns of harassment and

retaliation, both in personal and business contexts. Such concerns include fear that they or their

family may be harassed, or that business partners may be pressured to abandon them, by those

who bear animosity toward the NRA and its political speech. This fear is especially acute in New

York, where, as a result of James's threats and extreme statements, government officials are

perceived as seeking to punish those who support the NRA.

58. Notwithstanding that the NRA had already undertaken an expensive internal

audit of its compliance with New York's non-profit law, and implemented reforms which proved

successful in substantially improving its internal governance and compliance controls, James commenced

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

her investigations and this action against the NRA with the sole purpose of seeking to dissolve a political enemy. The pretextual "investigation" and commencement of this Action not only caused the NRA to incur millions of dollars in unnecessary expenditures, James then turned around and used those expenditures as the basis to claim a violation of New York's Prudent Management of Institutional Funds Act in support of the NRA's dissolution. The NRA will now incur more needless debt litigating a dissolution action that, in essence, will require litigation regarding actions the NRA has already commenced against vendors and other wrongdoers.

#### AS AND FOR A FIRST COUNTERCLAIM

(Violation of the NRA's First and Fourteenth Amendment Rights Under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 by Retaliating Against the NRA Based on Its Speech Against James in her Official and **Individual Capacities**)

- 59. The NRA repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation in the preceding paragraphs 1-58 as though fully set forth herein.
- 60. 42 U.S.C. § 1983 provides, in pertinent part, that "[e]very person who, under color of any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom, or usage, of any State ..., subjects, or causes to be subjected, any citizen of the United States or other person within the jurisdiction thereof to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution and laws, shall be liable to the party injured in an action at law, suit in equity, or other proper proceeding for redress ...." 42 U.S.C. § 1983.
  - 61. James is a "person" subject to a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.
- 62. The Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution includes the "Equal Protection Clause," which prohibits New York State from "deny[ing] to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws."
- The NRA is a person within New York's jurisdiction pursuant to the Fourteenth 63. Amendment of the United States Constitution.

DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

64. The First Amendment, which applies to James by operation of the Fourteenth Amendment, secures the NRA's right to free speech, including its right to express political beliefs concerning the constitutionally protected right to keep and bear arms.

- 65. The NRA has a longstanding history of political advocacy advancing the Second Amendment rights of all Americans. Although James disagrees with and opposes the NRA's political views, the NRA's freedom to express its views is a fundamental right protected by the First Amendment.
- 66. James's unjustified actions as NYAG—including, but not limited to, the investigation into the NRA's tax-exempt status and commencement of this dissolution proceeding—were taken under color of state law and directly in response to, and substantially motivated by, the NRA's political speech regarding the right to keep and beararms as guaranteed by the Second Amendment. Such speech is a matter of public concern.
- 67. As both a candidate for office, and as Attorney General, before an investigation of the NRA was even commenced, James made extreme and threatening statements against the NRA, displaying her animus towards the NRA. James has acted with the intent to obstruct, chill, deter, and retaliate against the NRA's core political speech, which is protected by the First Amendment.
- 68. James maintains discretion in determining whether and how to carry out her actions, including the decision to initiate a wrongful investigation into the NRA's business practices and whether to seek dissolution. Due to her animus against the NRA, James chose to exercise her discretion to harm the NRA based on the content of the NRA's speech regarding the Second Amendment. James chose to undertake and continue and her pretextual "investigation," and commence this dissolution proceeding despite knowing the NRA had undertaken a course correction to improve its compliance controls and internal governance.

DOC. NO. 325

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

69. James's unlawful and intentional actions are not justified by a substantial or compelling government interest and are not narrowly tailored to serve any such interest. The remedy of dissolution is not the least restrictive means of achieving any such interest.

- 70. James's intentional actions have resulted in significant damage to the NRA, including but not limited to, reputational harm, as well as injury to the NRA's trade, business, or profession. The NRA has also incurred, and continues to incur, significant unnecessary expenditures to defend the investigation initiated by James and her commencement of this proceeding. James's intentional actions seek to deprive the NRA of its constitutional rights and its property through dissolution and confiscation of its assets.
- 71. The NRA is entitled to a declaratory judgment that James's actions are unconstitutional in violation of the Association's First Amendment rights, and to a permanent injunction enjoining and prohibiting such irreparable constitutional injury.
- 72. Absent an injunction against James's violation of the NRA's rights to free speech, the NRA will suffer irrecoverable loss and irreparableharm. First, "[w]hen an alleged deprivation of a constitutional right is involved, most courts hold that no further showing of irreparable injury is necessary." Hartford Courant Company, LLC v. Carroll, 986 F.3d 211, 224 (2d Cir. 2021) (citation omitted); see also, e.g., Time Square Books, Inc. v. City of Rochester, 223 A.D.2d 270, 278 (4th Dep't 1996) ("Infringement of the constitutionally guaranteed right of free expression, 'for even minimal periods of time, unquestionably constitutes irreparable injury.") (quoting Elrod v. Burns, 427 U.S. 347, 373 (1976)). Because the NRA has established a probable violation of its First Amendment rights, it has established irreparable harm. Second, if an injunction does not issue, the NRA will suffer irreparable harm because it will be dissolved, losing significant amounts of revenue that will be difficult to quantify after the fact. E.g., Willis of NY., Inc. v.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

DeFelice, 299 A.D.2d 240, 242 (1st Dep't 2002) (irreparable damage shown where, "in the absence of a restraint[,] plaintiffs would likely sustain a loss of business impossible, or very difficult, to quantify"). Finally, the equities clearly weigh in favor of the NRA, because absent injunctive relief, its and its members' rights under the U.S. Constitution will continue to be violated, but James, to the contrary, will suffer no prejudice should her continued unconstitutional actions be enjoined.

- 73. The NRA is also entitled to an award of damages against James, in her individual capacity, in an amount to be determined by the trier of fact.
- 74. The NRA is also entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988 and New York Civil Practice Law and Rules § 8601.

AS AND FOR A SECOND COUNTERCLAIM

(Violation of the NRA's Rights Under Article I, Section 8 of the New York State Constitution by Retaliating Against the NRA Based on Its Speech Against James in her Official and Individual Capacities)

- 75. The NRA repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation in the preceding paragraphs 1-74 as though fully set forth herein.
- 76. Article I, Section 8 of the New York Constitution provides in relevant part: "[e]very citizen may freely speak, write and publish his or her sentiments on all subjects, being responsible for the abuse of that right; and no law shall be passed to restrain or abridge the liberty of speech or of the press."
- 77. Article I, Section 8 of the New York State Constitution secures the NRA's right to free speech, including its right to express political beliefs concerning the constitutionally protected right to keep and bear arms.
- 78. The NRA has a longstanding history of political advocacy advancing the Second Amendment rights of all Americans. Although James disagrees with and opposes the NRA's

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

political views, the NRA's freedom to express its views is a fundamental right protected by the First Amendment.

- 79. James's unjustified actions as NYAG—including, but not limited to, the investigation into the NRA's tax-exempt status and commencement of this dissolution proceeding—were taken under color of state law and directly in response to, and substantially motivated by, the NRA's political speech regarding the right to keep and beararms as guaranteed by the Second Amendment. Such speech is a matter of public concern.
- 80. As both a candidate for office, and as Attorney General, before an investigation of the NRA was even commenced, James has made extreme and threatening statements against the NRA, displaying her animus towards the NRA. James has acted with the intent to obstruct, chill, deter, and retaliate against the NRA's core political speech, which is protected by the First Amendment.
- 81. James maintains discretion in determining whether and how to carry out her actions, including the decision to initiate a wrongful investigation into the NRA's business practices and whether to seek dissolution. Due to her animus against the NRA, James chose to exercise her discretion to harm the NRA based on the content of the NRA's speech regarding the Second Amendment. James chose to undertake and continue and her pretextual "investigation," and commence this dissolution proceeding despite knowing the NRA had undertaken a course correction to improve its compliance controls and internal governance.
- 82. James's unlawful and intentional actions are not justified by a substantial or compelling government interest and are not narrowly tailored to serve any such interest. The remedy of dissolution is not the least restrictive means of achieving any such interest.
- 83. James's intentional actions have resulted in significant damage to the NRA, including but not limited to, reputational harm, as well as injury to the NRA's trade, business, or

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

profession. The NRA has also incurred, and continues to incur, significant unnecessary expenditures to defend the investigation initiated by James and her commencement of this

proceeding. James's intentional actions seek to deprive the NRA of its constitutional rights and

its property through dissolution and confiscation of its assets.

84. The NRA is entitled to a declaratory judgment that James's actions are

unconstitutional in violation of the Association's rights under Article I, Section 8 of the New York

Constitution, and to a permanent injunction enjoining and prohibiting such irreparable

constitutional injury.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

85. Absent an injunction against James's violation of the NRA's rights to free speech,

the NRA will suffer irrecoverable loss and irreparableharm. The NRA has established a probable

violation of its rights under the New York Constitution, and has therefore established irreparable

harm. Moreover, if an injunction does not issue, the NRA will suffer irreparable harm because it

will be dissolved, losing significant amounts of revenue that will be difficult to quantify after the

fact. Finally, the equities clearly weigh in favor of the NRA, because absent injunctive relief, its

and its members' rights under the New York Constitution will continue to be violated, but James,

to the contrary, will suffer no prejudice should her continued unconstitutional actions be enjoined.

86. The NRA is also entitled to an award of damages against James, in her individual

capacity, in an amount to be determined by the trier of fact.

87. The NRA is also entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to

New York Civil Practice Law and Rules § 8601.

AS AND FOR A THIRD COUNTERCLAIM

(Violation of the NRA's First and Fourteenth Amendment Rights Under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 by Retaliating Against the NRA Based on Its Members' Exercise of Association Rights

Against James in her Official and Individual Capacities)

88. The NRA repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation in the preceding

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

paragraphs 1-87 as though fully set forth herein.

DOC. NO. 325

89. The First Amendment, which applies to James by operation of the Fourteenth

Amendment recognizes and protects the right to freedom of association.

90. James is a "person" subject to a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

91. The NRA is a person within New York's jurisdiction pursuant to the Fourteenth

Amendment of the United States Constitution.

92. The NRA's approximately five million dues paying members are an association

that desires to engage in advocacy, expression and protection of Second Amendment rights.

93. The NRA's members have a substantial relationship with the Association, which

represents its members and advocates for their rights. To the extent the NRA's members lack

standing to participate in this Action as parties, the Association is entitled to represent its members

to avoid a dilution of its members' constitutional rights.

94. James's intentional actions are designed to punish the NRA and its dues paying

members for associating to engage in Second Amendment advocacy and to chill NRA members'

exercise of such freedom of association. Such actions have resulted in and will continue to result

in significant damage to the NRA, including, but not limited to, damage due to reputational harm,

as well as injury to the NRA's trade, business, or profession. The NRA has also incurred, and

continues to incur, significant unnecessary expenditures to defend the investigation initiated by

James and her commencement of this proceeding. James's intentional actions seek to deprive the

NRA of its constitutional rights and its property through dissolution and confiscation of its assets.

95. The NRA is entitled to a declaratory judgment that James's actions are

unconstitutional in violation of the Association and its members' First and Fourteenth Amendment

rights, and to a permanent injunction enjoining and prohibiting such irreparable constitutional

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

injury.

96. Absent an injunction against James's violation of the NRA's rights to free

association, the NRA will suffer irrecoverable loss and irreparable harm. The NRA has established

a probable violation of its rights under the First Amendment, and has therefore established

irreparable harm. Moreover, if an injunction does not issue, the NRA will suffer irreparable harm

because it will be dissolved, losing significant amounts of revenue that will be difficult to quantify

after the fact. Finally, the equities clearly weigh in favor of the NRA, because absent injunctive

relief, its and its members' rights under the U.S. Constitution will continue to be violated, but

James, to the contrary, will suffer no prejudice should her continued unconstitutional actions be

enjoined.

97. The NRA is also entitled to an award of damages against James, in her individual

capacity, in an amount to be determined by the trier of fact.

98. The NRA is also entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to

42 U.S.C. § 1988 and New York Civil Practice Law and Rules § 8601.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH COUNTERCLAIM

(Violation of the NRA's Rights Under Article I, Section 9 of the New York State Constitution by Retaliating Against the NRA Based on Its Members' Exercise of Association Rights Against James in her Official and Individual Capacities)

99. The NRA repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation in the preceding

paragraphs 1-98 as though fully set forth herein.

100. Article I, Section 9 of the New York State Constitution recognizes and protects the

right to freedom of association, providing in relevant part: "No law shall be passed abridging the

rights of the people peaceably to assemble and to petition the government ...."

101. The NRA's approximately five million dues paying members are an association

that desires to engage in advocacy, expression and protection of Second Amendment rights,

DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

including but not limited to, petitioning the government.

102. The NRA's members have a substantial relationship with the Association, which

represents its members, advocates for their rights and petitions the government on their behalf. To

the extent the NRA's members lack standing to participate in this Action as parties, the Association

is entitled to represent its members to avoid a dilution of the its members' constitutional rights.

James's intentional actions are designed to punish the NRA and its dues paying 103.

members for associating to engage in Second Amendment advocacy and to chill NRA members'

exercise of such freedom of association. Such actions have resulted in and will continue to result

in significant damage to the NRA, including, but not limited to, damage due to reputational harm,

as well as injury to the NRA's trade, business, or profession. The NRA has also incurred, and

continues to incur, significant unnecessary expenditures to defend the investigation initiated by

James and her commencement of this proceeding. James's intentional actions seek to deprive the

NRA of its constitutional rights and its property through dissolution and confiscation of its assets.

104. The NRA is entitled to a declaratory judgment that James's actions are

unconstitutional in violation of the Association and its members' rights under Article I, Section 9

of the New York State Constitution, and to a permanent injunction enjoining and prohibiting such

irreparable constitutional injury.

105. Absent an injunction against James's violation of the NRA's rights to free

association, the NRA will suffer irrecoverable loss and irreparable harm. The NRA has established

a probable violation of its rights under the New York Constitution, and has therefore established

irreparable harm. Moreover, if an injunction does not issue, the NRA will suffer irreparable harm

because it will be dissolved, losing significant amounts of revenue that will be difficult to quantify

after the fact. Finally, the equities clearly weigh in favor of the NRA, because absent injunctive

SCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

relief, its and its members' rights under the New York Constitution will continue to be violated, but James, to the contrary, will suffer no prejudice should her continued unconstitutional actions be enjoined.

- 106. The NRA is also entitled to an award of damages against James, in her individual capacity, in an amount to be determined by the trier of fact.
- 107. The NRA is also entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to New York Civil Practice Law and Rules § 8601.

AS AND FOR A FIFTH COUNTERCLAIM

(Selective Enforcement of N.Y. Not-for-Profit Corporation Law Against the NRA in Violation of the Fourteenth Amendment Against James in her Official and Individual Capacities)

- 108. The NRA repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation in the preceding paragraphs 1-107 as though fully set forth herein.
- 109. The NRA is a person within New York's jurisdiction pursuant to the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution.
- 110. The Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment prohibits State actors from uneven application of the law based on an impermissible standard. Discrimination based on political speech is such an impermissible standard.
- 111. James's decision to seek dissolution of the NRA, for the very first time on the sole basis of executive misconduct, despite more than two decades of non-enforcement against similarly situated non-profit corporations, demonstrates selective enforcement of the not-forprofit law. James's repeated hostile statements regarding the NRA demonstrate that this selective enforcement is based upon, and is motivated by, the impermissible basis of the NRA's disfavored political speech.
  - 112. The NYAG routinely announces investigations it is conducting, and since James

DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

took office, it has announced no investigations into other New York-based non-profits for similar alleged misconduct.

113. James maintains discretion in determining whether and how to carry out her actions,

including the decision to initiate a wrongful investigation into the NRA's business practices and

whether to seek dissolution. Due to her animus against the NRA, James chose to exercise her

discretion to harm the NRA based on the content of the NRA's speech regarding the Second

Amendment. James chose to undertake and continue and her pretextual "investigation," and

commence this dissolution proceeding despite knowing the NRA had undertaken a course

correction to improve its compliance controls and internal governance. Unlike in similar

situations, James undertook this course of action rather than permit the NRA to continue its internal

reforms and improvements, based upon her animus against the NRA as expressed through her

repeated threats and extreme statements.

114. The NRA is entitled to a declaratory judgment that James's actions are

unconstitutional in violation of the Association's Fourteenth Amendment rights, and to a

permanent injunction enjoining and prohibiting such irreparable constitutional injury.

115. The NRA is also entitled to dismissal of the Complaint.

116. Absent an injunction against James's violation of the NRA's equal protection

rights, the NRA will suffer irrecoverable loss and irreparable harm. The NRA has established a

probable violation of its rights under the Fourteenth Amendment, and has therefore established

irreparable harm. Moreover, if an injunction does not issue, the NRA will suffer irreparable harm

because it will be dissolved, losing significant amounts of revenue that will be difficult to quantify

after the fact. Finally, the equities clearly weigh in favor of the NRA, because absent injunctive

relief, its and its members' rights under the U.S. Constitution will continue to be violated, but

COUNTY CLERK 07/20/2021 10:17

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

James, to the contrary, will suffer no prejudice should her continued unconstitutional actions be enjoined.

- 117. The NRA is also entitled to an award of damages against James, in her individual capacity, in an amount to be determined by the trier of fact.
- 118. The NRA is also entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to New York Civil Practice Law and Rules § 8601.

AS AND FOR A SIXTH COUNTERCLAIM

(Selective Enforcement of N.Y. Not-for-Profit Corporation Law Against the NRA in Violation of Article I, Section 11 of the New York State Constitution Against James in her Official and Individual Capacities)

- 119. The NRA repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation in the preceding paragraphs 1-118 as though fully set forth herein.
- 120. Pursuant to the Equal Protection Clause set forth in Article I, Section 11 of the New York Constitution, "[n]o person shall be denied the equal protection of the laws of [New York] state or any subdivision thereof."
- The NRA is a "person" within the meaning of the Equal Protection Clause in the New York Constitution.
- 122. Article I, Section 11 of the New York State Constitution prohibits State actors from uneven application of the law based on an impermissible standard. Discrimination based on political speech is such an impermissible standard.
- 123. James's decision to seek dissolution of the NRA, for the very first time on the sole basis of executive misconduct, despite more than two decades of non-enforcement against similarly situated non-profits, demonstrates selective enforcement of the not-for-profit law. James's repeated hostile statements regarding the NRA demonstrate that this selective enforcement

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

has occurred on the impermissible basis of the NRA's disfavored political speech.

124. NYAG routinely announces investigations it is conducting, and since James took

office, it has announced no other investigations into other New York-based non-profits for similar

alleged misconduct.

DOC. NO. 325

125. James maintains discretion in determining whether and how to carry out her actions,

including the decision to initiate a wrongful investigation into the NRA's business practices and

whether to seek dissolution. Due to her animus against the NRA, James chose to exercise her

discretion to harm the NRA based on the content of the NRA's speech regarding the Second

Amendment. James chose to undertake and continue and her pretextual "investigation," and

commence this dissolution proceeding despite knowing the NRA had undertaken extensive efforts

to improve its compliance controls and internal governance. Unlike in similar situations, James

undertook this course of action rather than permit the NRA to continue its internal reforms and

improvements, based upon her animus against the NRA as expressed through her repeated threats

and extreme statements.

126. The NRA is entitled to a declaratory judgment that James's actions are

unconstitutional in violation of the Association's rights under Article I, Section 11 of the New

York Constitution, and to a permanent injunction enjoining and prohibiting such irreparable

constitutional injury.

127. The NRA is also entitled to dismissal of this Complaint.

128. Absent an injunction against James's violation of the NRA's equal protection

rights, the NRA will suffer irrecoverable loss and irreparable harm. The NRA has established a

probable violation of its rights under the New York Constitution, and has therefore established

irreparable harm. Moreover, if an injunction does not issue, the NRA will suffer irreparable harm

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

because it will be dissolved, losing significant amounts of revenue that will be difficult to quantify

after the fact. Finally, the equities clearly weigh in favor of the NRA, because absent injunctive

relief, its and its members' rights under the New York Constitution will continue to be violated,

but James, to the contrary, will suffer no prejudice should her continued unconstitutional actions

be enjoined.

129. The NRA is also entitled to an award of damages against James, in her individual

capacity, in an amount to be determined by the trier of fact.

30. The NRA is also entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to

New York Civil Practice Law and Rules § 8601.

**AS AND FOR A SEVENTH COUNTERCLAIM** 

(Declaratory Judgment Against James in her Official and Individual Capacities)

131. The NRA repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation in the preceding

paragraphs 1-130 as though fully set forth herein.

132. The First Amendment requires that state actions infringing on such a right be

warranted by a compelling state interest and accomplished by the least restrictive means.

133. Pursuant to CPLR 3001, in pertinent part, "the [New York State] supreme court

may render a declaratory judgment having the effect of a final judgment as to the rights and other

legal relations of the parties to a justiciable controversy whether or not further relief is or could be

claimed."

134. Dissolving an entity like the NRA that is engaged in constitutionally protected

activity is not warranted by a compelling state interest, is not the least narrow and restrictive means

of achieving any alleged compelling state interest and presents direct and immediate harm to the

Association.

135. James seeks, under color of state law, to impute the actions of four individuals to

DOC. NO. 325 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

over five million members and subject the NRA and its membership to statutory dissolution

liability on a theory of corporate fraud or alternately under laws allowing dissolution where

executives have looted a corporation, despite clear court precedent disallowing such a severe

remedy. Any such reading of New York's Not-for-Profit Law Sections 1101 or 1102 would be

unconstitutional when applied to organizations such as the NRA that are engaged in

constitutionally protected speech.

136. The NRA is entitled to a declaratory judgment that allegations of executive

misconduct do not constitute corporate fraud or criminality and that Sections 1101 and 1102 are

unconstitutional as-applied to the NRA absent such a showing.

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 

137. The NRA hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

**REQUEST FOR RELIEF** 

WHEREFORE the NRA respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment in the NRA's

favor and against James, as follows:

Dismissing this action in its entirety, and awarding Defendant its costs and a.

expenses of this action;

b. Declaring, pursuant to CPLR § 3001, that James has violated, and continues to

violate, the NRA's rights to free speech under both the United States and New York State

Constitutions;

Declaring, pursuant to CPLR § 3001, that James has violated, and continues to c.

violate, the NRA's equal protection rights under both the United States and New York State

Constitutions;

d. Declaring, pursuant to CPLR § 3001, that James has violated, and continues to

violate, the NRA members' rights to free association under both the United States and New York

INDEX NO. 451625/2020 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

State Constitutions;

DOC. NO. 325

Declaring that Sections 1101 and 1102 of New York's Not-for-Profit Law are e.

unconstitutional insofar as they may be used—as the NYAG attempts to do here—to dissolve

organizations engaged in constitutionally protected activities based solely on allegations of

executive looting;

f. Granting a preliminary and permanent injunction preventing the NYAG from

further pursuing its dissolution causes of action;

Granting a preliminary and permanent injunction, pursuant to CPLR 6301 and g.

6311, ordering James, the NYAG's Charities Bureau, its agents, representatives, employees and

servants and all persons and entities in concert or participation with it and James (in her official

capacity), to immediately cease and refrain from engaging in any further conduct or activity

which has the purpose or effect of interfering with the NRA's exercise of the rights afforded to

it under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution and Sections 8, 9 and 11 of the

New York State Constitution;

h. Granting such other injunctive or equitable relief to which the NRA is entitled;

i. Awarding the NRA actual damages, including compensatory and consequential

damages, in an amount to be determined at trial;

į. Awarding the NRA exemplary or punitive damages;

k. Awarding the NRA pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at the highest lawful

rates;

1. Awarding the NRA such costs and disbursements as are incurred in prosecuting

this action, including reasonable attorneys' and experts' fees; and

Granting the NRA such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper. m.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 325

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

Dated: July 20, 2021

By: /s/William A. Brewer III
William A. Brewer III
wab@brewerattorneys.com
Sarah B. Rogers
sbr@brewerattorneys.com

# **BREWER, ATTORNEYS & COUNSELORS**

750 Lexington Avenue, 14th Floor New York, New York 10022 Telephone: (212) 489-1400 Facsimile: (212) 751-2849

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT THE NATIONAL RIFLE ASSOCIATION

MVCCEE DOC NO 225

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 07/20/2021

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, BY LETITIA JAMES, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Plaintiff,

v.

THE NATIONAL RIFLE ASSOCIATION et al.,

Defendants.

INDEX NO. 451625/2020

Hon. Joel M. Cohen

## **VERIFICATION**

Willes Lee, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

He is the Second Vice President of the National Rifle Association of America, the defendant in the above-entitled action which is a corporation created under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York; that he has read the foregoing amended answer and counterclaims and knows the contents thereof; that the same is true to his knowledge, except as to the matters therein stated to be alleged upon information and belief, and that as to those matters he believes them to be true.

On the 20 day of July in the year 2021 before me, the undersigned, personally appeared wills Lee, personally known to me or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the individual whose name is subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his capacity, and that by his signature on the instrument, the individual executed the instrument.

Notary Public

EXPIRES JAN. 24, 2022

Willes Lee