UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

Form 7. Mediation Questionnaire

Instructions for this form: http://www.ca9.uscourts.gov/forms/form07instructions.pdf

9th Cir. Case Number(s) 21-16642	
Case Name Jones D	ay v. Orrick Herrintgon & Sutcliffe LLP, et al.
Counsel submitting this form	Craig E. Stewart, Jones Day, 555 California St., 26th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94104, cestewart@jonesday.com
Represented party/ parties	Appellant Jones Day
Briefly describe the d	ispute that gave rise to this lawsuit.
	etion below to enforce arbitration summonses issued to ees refused to comply. Appellees are not parties to the

Briefly describe the result below and the main issues on appeal.

The district court denied enforcement of the summonses on the ground that it
lacked authority to enforce under 9 U.S.C. section 7, which the court interpreted
as requiring that the enforcement action be filed in the district designated as the
place of the arbitation rather than the district in which the witnesses were
summoned to appear. The main issue on appeal is whether the court correctly
interpreted 9 U.S.C. section 7. Appellees have also indicated that they intend to
challenge the existence of subject-matter jurisdiction and perhaps raise other
arguments against enforcement.

Describe any proceedings remaining below or any related proceedings in other tribunals.

The district court's order finally resolved the summons enforcement action below and no further proceedings remain in the court below. The underlying arbitration from which the summonses were issued is ongoing. Jones Day has filed a motion to expedite the briefing and hearing of the appeal.

Signature s/ Craig E. Stewart Date October 16, 2021

(use "s/[typed name]" to sign electronically-filed documents)

Feedback or questions about this form? Email us at forms@ca9.uscourts.gov