1	Michael K. Friedland (SBN 157,217) michael.friedland@knobbe.com				
2	Susan M. Natland (SBN 198,100) susan.natland@knobbe.com Lauren Keller Katzenellenbogen (SBN 223,370) lauren.katzenellenbogen@knobbe.com KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP 2040 Main Street, Fourteenth Floor Irvine, CA 92614				
3					
4					
5					
6	Telephone: (949) 760-0404 Facsimile: (949) 760-9502				
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff FORTINET, INC.				
8	TORTINEI, INC.				
9					
10	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
11	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
12					
13	FORTINET, INC., a Delaware	) Civil Action No. 3:20-cv-6900			
14	corporation,	)			
15	Plaintiff,	) ) COMPLAINT FOR			
16	V.	) TRADEMARK			
17	FORTANIX, INC, a Delaware	<ul><li>) INFRINGEMENT, FALSE</li><li>) DESIGNATION OF ORIGIN,</li></ul>			
18	corporation,	) AND UNFAIR COMPETITION,			
19	Defendant.	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL			
20		) )			
21		)			
22		´)			
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

Plaintiff Fortinet, Inc. ("Fortinet") hereby complains of Defendant Fortanix, Inc. ("Fortanix") and alleges as follows:

3

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

4 5

This is an action for: (a) trademark infringement arising under 15 U.S.C. § 1114, (b) false designation of origin arising under 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a), (c) unfair competition arising under California Business & Professions Code § 17200 et seq., (d) unfair competition arising

6

under the common law of the State of California, and (e) cancellation of U.S. Trademark

8

Registration No. 5,289,135.

1.

9

2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1121 and 28

10

U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a) and (b), and 1367(a).

11

3. Venue is proper in this Judicial District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c).

12

#### THE PARTIES

13

4. Plaintiff Fortinet is a Delaware corporation having a principal place of business at 899 Kifer Rd, Sunnyvale, California 94086.

14 15

5. Upon information and belief, Defendant Fortanix is a Delaware corporation

16

6.

giving rise to Plaintiff's claims.

having a place of business at 444 Castro St., Ste. 305, Mountainview, California 94041.

17

of its continuous, systematic, and substantial presence within this Judicial District, and by

Defendant is subject to the general and specific jurisdiction of this Court by virtue

19

committing acts of trademark infringement, false designation of origin, unfair competition, and

20

dilution in this Judicial District, which acts form a substantial part of the events or omissions

21

22

ALLEGATIONS FOR ALL CLAIMS OF RELIEF

23 24

7. Fortinet is a global leader in the networking and security space and provides a wide range of software, hardware, and networking solutions and related services to consumers

25

worldwide. Founded in 2000, Fortinet has spent considerable time, effort and money developing

26

its reputation as a leader in the networking and security industries. Fortinet has continuously

27

used its well-known trade name and house mark FORTINET since its inception.

28 ///

- 8. As a result of its substantial investment, Fortinet now has annual revenues of over a billion dollars, and the FORTINET mark has acquired great value as a specific identifier of Plaintiff's products and services. The FORTINET mark serves to distinguish Plaintiff's products and services from that of others.
- 9. Fortinet also brands its goods and services under an extensive list of various other "FORTI-" inclusive marks, such as, FORTIGUARD, FORTIGATE, FORTIMANAGER, FORTIMAIL, FORTIANALYZER, FORTICARE, FORTICLIENT, FORTICLOUD, FORTISANDBOX, FORTIOS, FORTIWIFI, FORTIAP, FORTIDDOS, among many others (hereinafter referred to as the "FORTINET Family of Marks").
- 10. Fortinet owns numerous domain names to promote its goods and services, including the domain names <www.fortinet.com> <www.fortiguard.com> <www.fortiguard.com> and <www.forticloud.com>, among many others.
- 11. As a result of Fortinet's investment in the FORTINET Family of Marks, and the widespread commercial success of its products and services, Fortinet has developed a tremendous amount of goodwill in its FORTINET Family of Marks and owns over 100 trademark registrations worldwide for its FORTINET Family of Marks.
- 12. On February 5, 2013, the United States Patent and Trademark Office issued to Plaintiff, U.S. Trademark Registration No. 4,285,497 for the mark FORTINET for "[m]onitoring of computer systems for security purposes; consulting services in the field of maintaining the security and integrity of databases." A true and correct copy of this registration is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit 1. This registration is owned by Plaintiff.
- 13. Plaintiff's U.S. Trademark Registration No. 4,285,497 for the mark FORTINET is now incontestable under the provisions of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1065. The declaration of incontestability was filed on April 18, 2018.
- 14. On December 10, 2002 the United States Patent and Trademark Office issued U.S. Trademark Registration No. 2,659,631 for the mark FORTINET for "computer network operating system featuring network security, network management, processing of network traffic, provision of network security based applications and application enhancement." A true

11 12

10

14 15

13

20 21

2.2.

23 24

25

26 27

and correct copy of this registration is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit 2. Plaintiff is the owner by assignment of this registration.

- 15. Plaintiff's U.S. Trademark Registration No. 2,659,631 for the mark FORTINET is now incontestable under the provisions of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1065. The declaration of incontestability was filed on December 9, 2008.
- 16. On January 25, 2011 the United States Patent and Trademark Office issued to Plaintiff, U.S. Trademark Registration No. 3,909,699 for the mark FORTINET for "[c]omputer network operating system featuring network security, network management, processing of network traffic, provision of network security based applications and application enhancement; computer hardware; computer software and firmware for protecting the integrity of computer hardware, software, networks and electronic data; computer software and firmware for analyzing and filtering of network traffic and for the detection, filtering, and/or removal of computer intrusions, viruses, spam, or other malicious applications or threats, and for providing virtual private networking and security functions; computer software and firmware for monitoring, analyzing or reporting of network information, data and traffic; electronic software updates, 16 namely, downloadable computer software and associated data files for updating computer software in the fields of computer intrusions, viruses, spam, or other malicious applications or threats and security functions protecting the integrity of computer hardware, software, networks and electronic data, provided via computer and communication networks" and for "[c]omputer consulting services; computer software and network security research and development services; computer software and network security management and analysis; technical support services related to the provision of antivirus, anti-spam, anti-spyware, anti-malware, web-content filtering and/or intrusion detection and prevention for network and computer security; troubleshooting of computer software and hardware problems and monitoring of network systems; maintenance, upgrading, and updating of computer software; computer services, namely, providing a webbased system comprised of the temporary use of non-downloadable software to be used by others in the monitoring of computer systems for security purposes." A true and correct copy of this registration is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit 3. Plaintiff is the owner of this registration.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

17. Plaintiff's U.S. Trademark Registration No. 3,909,699 for the mark FORTINET is now incontestable under the provisions of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1065. The declaration of incontestability was filed on January 20, 2017.

18. On August 30, 2011, the United States Patent and Trademark Office issued to Plaintiff, U.S. Trademark Registration No. 4,017,505 for the mark

FIRTINET (the "Fortinet Logo mark")

for "[c]omputer network operating system featuring network security, network management, processing of network traffic, provision of network security based applications and application enhancement; computer hardware; computer software and firmware for protecting the integrity of computer hardware, software, networks and electronic data; computer software and firmware for analyzing and filtering of network traffic and for the detection, filtering, and/or removal of computer intrusions, viruses, spam, or other malicious applications or threats, and for providing virtual private networking and security functions; computer software and firmware for monitoring, analyzing or reporting of network information, data and traffic; electronic software updates, namely, downloadable computer software and associated data files for updating computer software in the fields of computer intrusions, viruses, spam, or other malicious applications or threats and security functions protecting the integrity of computer hardware, software, networks and electronic data, provided via computer and communication networks" and for "Computer consulting services; computer software and network security research and development services; computer software and network security management and analysis, namely, remote and on-line system management and analysis of the information technology (IT) systems and networks of others; computer software and network security management and analysis services that allows users to view risk posture and network performance, to track network activity and to access reports regarding the same; computer network security management and analysis, namely, scanning and penetration testing of computers and networks to assess information security vulnerability; computer network security management and analysis

3

13

10

14 15

16

17 18

20 21

2.2.

23

24 25

27 28

26

in the field of updating of computer software relating to computer security and prevention of computer risks; technical support services related to the provision of antivirus, anti-spam, antispyware, anti-malware, web-content filtering and/or intrusion detection and prevention for network and computer security; troubleshooting of computer software and hardware problems and monitoring of network systems; maintenance, upgrading, and updating of computer software; computer services, namely, providing a web-based system comprised of the temporary use of non-downloadable software to be used by others in the monitoring of computer systems for security purposes." A true and correct copy of this registration is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit 4. This registration is owned by Plaintiff.

- 19. Plaintiff's U.S. Trademark Registration No. 4,017,505 for the Fortinet Logo mark is now incontestable under the provisions of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1065. The declaration of incontestability was filed on January 31, 2017.
- 20. Plaintiff's incontestable trademark registrations are conclusive evidence of the validity of the registered mark and of the registration of the mark, of Plaintiff's ownership of the mark, and of Plaintiff's exclusive right to use the registered mark in commerce.
- 21. Customers in this Judicial District and elsewhere readily recognize Plaintiff's FORTINET mark as a distinctive designation of origin of Plaintiff's products and services.
- 22. Long after Plaintiff began using the FORTINET mark, Defendant began using the confusingly similar mark FORTANIX for cybersecurity, encryption, and network security goods and services, including computer software platforms for ensuring secure execution of applications for providing security solutions across mobile, cloud, and enterprise platforms. Defendant's FORTANIX mark is highly similar in appearance and in sound to Plaintiff's FORTINET mark and Plaintiff's FORTINET Family of Marks. Defendant's use of the mark FORTANIX causes a likelihood of consumer confusion when used with Defendant's goods and services.
- 23. Also, long after Plaintiff began using the FORTINET mark, Defendant began promoting its goods and services through the domain name <fortanix.com>. Defendant's use of the <fortanix.com> domain name causes a likelihood of consumer confusion when used to

11

16

15

17

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27 28 promote, market, and/or sell Defendant's goods and services.

- Without permission or authority from Plaintiff, Defendant has infringed Plaintiff's FORTINET mark in interstate commerce by making, using, promoting, advertising, selling, and/or offering to sell Defendant's products and services under the mark FORTANIX.
- 25. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant's unauthorized use of the mark FORTANIX is intended to trade upon the goodwill and substantial recognition associated with Plaintiff's FORTINET mark and FORTINET Family of Marks.
- 26. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant is using its FORTANIX mark in an attempt to associate its products with Plaintiff and Plaintiff's FORTINET mark, to cause mistake or deception as to the source of Defendant's products and/or to otherwise trade upon Plaintiff's valuable reputation and customer goodwill in its mark.
- 27. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant's use of the FORTANIX mark is designed to cause confusion, mistake, or deception.
- 28. By virtue of the acts complained of herein, Defendant has created a likelihood of injury to Plaintiff's business reputation, caused a strong likelihood of consumer confusion, mistake, and deception as to the source of or origin or relationship of Plaintiff's and Defendant's goods, has caused actual confusion, and has otherwise competed unfairly with Plaintiff by unlawfully trading on and using Plaintiff's FORTINET mark without Plaintiff's permission or consent.
- 29. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant's acts complained of herein are willful and deliberate.
- 30. Defendant's acts complained of herein have caused damage to Plaintiff in an amount to be determined at trial, and such damages will continue to increase unless Defendant is enjoined from its wrongful actions and infringements.
- 31. Defendant's acts complained of herein have caused Plaintiff to suffer irreparable injury to its business. Plaintiff will suffer substantial loss of goodwill and reputation unless and until Defendant is preliminarily and permanently enjoined from its wrongful actions complained of herein.

#### FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

2

(Trademark Infringement Under 15 U.S.C. § 1114)

3 4

32. Plaintiff realleges Paragraphs 1-31, as if fully set forth herein.

5

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

16 17

18

20

21 2.2.

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 33. This is a claim for trademark infringement arising under 15 U.S.C. § 1114.
- 34. Defendant has used in commerce, without permission of Plaintiff, a mark that is confusingly similar to Plaintiff's federally registered FORTINET mark. Defendant has infringed
- Plaintiff's FORTINET mark by using the confusingly similar mark FORTANIX with Defendant's cybersecurity, encryption, and network security goods and services, including
- computer software platforms for ensuring secure execution of applications for providing security
- solutions across mobile, cloud, and enterprise platforms, and related goods and services.
- 35. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant did so with the intent to cause confusion and mistake among customers and the public, and to deceive the public into believing that Defendant's products are associated with, sponsored by or approved by Plaintiff, when they are not.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant had 36. actual knowledge of Plaintiff's ownership and prior use of the FORTINET mark and without the consent of Plaintiff, has willfully violated 15 U.S.C. § 1114.
- 37. Defendant's aforementioned acts have injured Plaintiff and damaged Plaintiff in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 38. By its actions, Defendant is irreparably injuring Plaintiff. Such irreparable injury will continue unless Defendant is preliminarily and permanently enjoined by this Court from further violation of Plaintiff's rights, for which Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law.

## SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

## (False Designation of Origin Under 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a))

- 39. Plaintiff hereby realleges Paragraphs 1-38, as if fully set forth herein.
- 40. This is a claim for false designation of origin arising under 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a).
- 41. Defendant's use of the mark FORTANIX with Defendant's cybersecurity, encryption, network security, and computer software goods and services is confusingly similar to

1 | Plaintiff's FORTINET mark.

- 42. Defendant's use of the mark FORTANIX without Plaintiff's consent constitutes a false designation of origin, false or misleading description of fact, or false or misleading representation of fact, which is likely to cause confusion, or to cause mistake, or to deceive as to the affiliation, connection, or association of Defendant with Plaintiff, or as to the origin, sponsorship, or approval of Defendant's goods in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a).
- 43. Such conduct by Defendant is likely to confuse, mislead, and deceive Defendant's customers, purchasers, and members of the public as to the origin of Defendant's products and services or cause said persons to mistakenly believe that Defendant and/or its products and services have been sponsored, approved, authorized, or licensed by Plaintiff or are in some way affiliated or connected with Plaintiff, all in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a).
- 44. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant did so with the intent to unfairly compete against Plaintiff, to trade upon Plaintiff's reputation and goodwill by causing confusion and mistake among customers and the public, and to deceive the public into believing that Defendant's products are associated with, sponsored by or approved by Plaintiff, when they are not.
- 45. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant had knowledge of Plaintiff's ownership and prior use of the FORTINET mark, and without the consent of Plaintiff, has willfully violated 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a).
- 46. Defendant's aforementioned acts have injured Plaintiff and damaged Plaintiff in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 47. By its actions, Defendant is irreparably injuring Plaintiff. Such irreparable injury will continue unless Defendant is preliminarily and permanently enjoined by this Court from further violation of Plaintiff's rights, for which Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law.

///

1		THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF	
2		(California Statutory Unfair Competition	
3	Under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200)		
4	48.	Plaintiff hereby realleges Paragraphs 1-47, as if fully set forth herein.	
5	49.	This is a claim for unfair competition arising under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §	
6	17200, et seq.		
7	50.	By virtue of the acts complained of herein, Defendant has intentionally caused a	
8	likelihood of confusion among the consumers and public and have unfairly competed in violation		
9	of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, et seq.		
10	51.	Defendant's acts complained of herein constitute unlawful, unfair, malicious or	
11	fraudulent business practices, which have injured and damaged Plaintiff.		
12	52.	As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's acts complained of herein,	
13	Plaintiff has and will continue to suffer great harm and damage. Plaintiff will continue to be		
14	irreparably damaged unless Defendant is enjoined from further committing unfair and unlawful		
15	business practices against Plaintiff.		
16		FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF	
17		(California Common Law Unfair Competition)	
18	53.	Plaintiff hereby realleges Paragraphs 1-52, as if fully set forth herein.	
19	54.	This is a claim for common law unfair competition arising under the common law	
20	of the State of California.		
21	55.	By virtue of the acts complained of herein, Defendant has intentionally caused a	
22	likelihood of	confusion among the purchasing public in this Judicial District and elsewhere,	
23	thereby unfairly competing with Plaintiff in violation of the common law of the State of		
24	California.		
25	56.	By its actions, Defendant has injured and violated the rights of Plaintiff in an	
26	amount to be determined at trial.		
27	57.	By its actions, Defendant is irreparably injuring Plaintiff. Such irreparable injury	

28 will continue unless Defendant is preliminarily and permanently enjoined by this Court from

Complaint
Case No. \*

That the Court render a final judgment declaring that Defendant has violated and

27

28

on all claims for relief alleged herein;

B.

willfully violated the provisions of 15 U.S.C. § 1114 by infringing Plaintiff's trademark rights in its federally registered FORTINET mark;

- C. That the Court render a final judgment declaring that Defendant has violated and willfully violated the provisions of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a) by falsely designating the origin of Defendant's products and unfairly competing with Plaintiff through the marketing, sale and promotion of Defendant's products and services using the mark "FORTANIX";
- D. That Defendant be adjudged to have unfairly competed with Plaintiff under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, et seq.;
- E. That Defendant be adjudged to have unfairly competed with Plaintiff under the common law of the State of California;
- F. That Defendant, its officers, principals, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, successors, and assigns, and all other persons in active concert or participation with any of them who receive actual notice of the injunction by personal service or otherwise, be forthwith preliminarily and permanently enjoined from:
  - using the mark FORTANIX in connection with advertising, marketing, promoting, selling, or offering to sell Defendant's cybersecurity, encryption, network security, and/or computer software goods or services and/or any related goods or services;
  - 2. manufacturing, distributing, shipping, importing, selling, and/or offering to sell any products bearing the mark FORTANIX;
  - 3. registering or applying to register in the United States the mark FORTANIX for use with cybersecurity, encryption, network security, and/or computer software goods or services, and/or any related goods or services;
  - 4. using any trademark confusingly similar to Plaintiff's FORTINET mark in any manner that is likely to create the impression that Defendant's goods originate from Plaintiff, are endorsed by Plaintiff, or are connected in any way with Plaintiff;

1	trademarks pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1118;	
2	P. That the United States Patent and Trademark Office is directed to cancel	
3	Defendant's U.S. Trademark Registration No. 5,289,135; and	
4	Q. That Plaintiff be awarded such other and further relief as this Court may deem	
5	just.	
6		
7	Respectfully submitted,	
8	KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP	
9		
10	Dated: April 5, 2018 By:/s/ Lauren Keller Katzenellenbogen Michael K. Friedland	
11	Susan M. Natland Lauren Keller Katzenellenbogen	
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
13	FORTINET, INC.	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>		
26   27		
28		
۷٥		

1	1 DEMANI	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL		
2	Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff demands a trial			
3	3 by jury of all issues raised by the pleadings	by jury of all issues raised by the pleadings which are triable by jury.		
4	4 Resp	ectfully submitted,		
5	5 KNO	BBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP		
6	6			
7		/ Lauren Keller Katzenellenbogen		
8	8    S	Iichael K. Friedland usan M. Natland auren Keller Katzenellenbogen		
9	9	neys for Plaintiff		
10	10 FOR	ΓΙΝΕΤ, INC.		
11	11 33633233			
12	12			
13	13			
14	14			
15	15			
16	16			
17	17			
18	18			
19	19			
20	20			
21	21			
22	22			
23	23			
24	24			
25	25			
26	26			
27	27			
28	28			