

George Wentz, Jr. (*Pro hac vice* application forthcoming)

The Davillier Law Group, LLC

414 Church St., Suite 308

Sandpoint, ID 83864

208-920-6140

Email:

gwentz@davillierlawgroup.com

Alexander Kolodin (030826)

Arno Naeckel (026158)

Davillier Law Group, LLC

3443 N. Central Ave., Suite 1009

Phoenix, AZ 85012-2222

602-730-2985

Email:

akolodin@davillierlawgroup.com

anaeckel@davillierlawgroup.com

phxadmin@davillierlawgroup.com (file copies)

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Ryan Cox, individually and on behalf of all
others similarly situated;

Plaintiffs;

v.

CoinMarketCap OpCo, LLC, Binance
Capital Management Co., Ltd. d/b/a
Binance and Binance.com, BAM Trading
Services Inc. d/b/a Binance.US, Changpeng
Zhao, Catherine Coley, Yi He, Ted Lin, and
Does I-X;

Defendants;

Case No.: 3:21-cv-08197-SMB

**PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
ALTERNATIVE SERVICE
[Defendants Changpeng Zhao, Yi He,
and Ted Lin]**

Plaintiff, Ryan Cox *et al.*, by and through his attorneys, Arno Naeckel and The Davillier Law Group, LLC, moves this Honorable Court to allow service of process upon Defendants Changpeng Zhao, Yi He, and Ted Lin (the "Individual Defendants"), by alternative method and states:

INTRODUCTION

Binance has been described as the “archetype” of the lightly regulated cryptocurrency “casino” that “hop[s] around from jurisdiction to jurisdiction to avoid the watchful eyes of regulators” and claiming that it has “a devil-may-care attitude toward compliance, KYC/AML, wash trading, and reporting¹. Although Plaintiff has been successful in personally serving the three corporate defendants (CoinMarketCap OpCo, LLC, Binance Capital Management, and BAM Trading Services Inc.), the Individual Defendants have gone to ground and are international “ghosts,” which allow them to avoid regulators and dodge litigation. Defendants Changpeng Zhao, Yi He and Ted Lin are Chinese nationals whose country of domicile cannot be definitively ascertained. Ms. Coley was once domiciled in the United States but has vanished.^{2,3}

FACTS

Binance’s CEO, defendant Changpeng Zhao, founded Binance in China but shortly thereafter moved Binance’s headquarters to Japan, in advance of the Chinese government’s ban on cryptocurrency trading.⁴

In March 2018, because of increasing regulatory scrutiny in Japan, Binance moved its nominal headquarters to Malta⁵. However, Zhao has stated that: “Binance.com has always operated in a decentralized manner as we reach out to our users across more than 180 nations worldwide. As well as pushing the envelope in experimenting on how to become a true DAO (decentralized autonomous organization).”⁶

¹ Nic Carter, *The Dark Underbelly of Cryptocurrency Markets*, NIC CARTER (Sept. 3, 2018) <https://medium.com/s/the-crypto-collection/a-glimpse-into-the-dark-underbelly-of-cryptocurrency-markets-d1690b761eaf> (last visited September 13, 2021).

² Plaintiff has recently begun the process of serving her by publication pursuant FRCP 4(e) (service may be made by any of the means allowed in state court) and ARCP 4.2(f) (allowing for defendants located in other states to be served by publication).

³ Henry Chia, *The Police Say Former Binance.US CEO Catherine Coley ‘not reported missing’*, AsiaMarkets.com (October 13, 2021), <https://www.asiamarkets.com/the-curious-case-of-catherine-coley/> (last visited November 11, 2021).

⁴ Matthew Ds Saro, *Japan’s FSA warns Binance Again for Operating Without a License*, Yahoo.com (June 25, 2021), <https://Yahoo.finance.com/news/japan-fsa-warns-binance-again-194000041.html> (last visited November 11, 2021).

⁵ Paul Andrew, *Binance Moving to Malta*, Coin Central.com (23 March 2021), <https://www.coincentral.com/binance-moving-to-malta/> (last visited November 11, 2021).

⁶ Binance CEO Dismisses Malta Controversy: “This is Old News”, SUPERCRYPTONEWS

1 Malta for its part has announced that Binance is not under its jurisdiction. “Since
2 then Binance has not said just where, exactly, it is now headquartered.”⁷

3 Coindesk has reported on Zhao’s 2020 response to one questioner who asked
4 where Binance was headquartered:

5 *Then it hit. Shin asked the one question Zhao really didn’t want to have to*
6 *answer, but many want to know: Where is Binance’s headquarters? . . .*

7 *Little wonder that when asked Zhao reddened; he stammered. He looked off*
8 *camera, possibly to an aide. ‘Well, I think what this is is the beauty of the*
9 *blockchain, right, so you don’t have to ... like where’s the Bitcoin office,*
10 *because Bitcoin doesn’t have an office,’ he said.*

11 *The line trailed off, then inspiration hit. ‘What kind of horse is a car?’ Zhao*
12 *asked. Binance has loads of offices, he continued, with staff in 50 countries.*
13 *It was a new type of organization that doesn’t need registered bank accounts*
14 *and postal addresses.*⁸

15 Nic Carter, a partner at venture capital firm Castle Island Ventures, and cofounder
16 of CoinMetrics.io has called Binance the “archetype” of the lightly regulated
17 cryptocurrency “casino” that “hop[s] around from jurisdiction to jurisdiction to avoid the
18 watchful eyes of regulators” and claiming that it has “a devil-may-care attitude toward
19 compliance, KYC/AML, wash trading, and reporting.”⁹

20 Binance’s corporate structure is not transparent. Its holding company is registered in
21 the Cayman Islands, according to British court documents and the Malaysian Securities
22

23 _____
24 (Feb. 24, 2020), <https://www.supercryptonews.com/binance-malta-controversy/> (last
visited Aug. 31, 2021).

25 ⁷ Paddy Baker, *Binance Doesn’t Have a Headquarters Because Bitcoin Doesn’t, Says CEO, COINDESK* (May 8,
2020) (updated Aug. 24, 2021), [https://www.coindesk.com/binance-doesnt-have-a-headquarters-because-bitcoin-](https://www.coindesk.com/binance-doesnt-have-a-headquarters-because-bitcoin-doesntsays-ceo)
26 [doesntsays-ceo](https://www.coindesk.com/binance-doesnt-have-a-headquarters-because-bitcoin-doesntsays-ceo) (last visited Aug. 31, 2021).

27 ⁸ Paddy Baker, *Binance Doesn’t Have a Headquarters Because Bitcoin Doesn’t, Says CEO, COINDESK* (May 8,
2020) (updated Aug. 24, 2021), [https://www.coindesk.com/binance-doesnt-have-a-headquarters-because-bitcoin-](https://www.coindesk.com/binance-doesnt-have-a-headquarters-because-bitcoin-doesntsays-ceo)
28 [doesntsays-ceo](https://www.coindesk.com/binance-doesnt-have-a-headquarters-because-bitcoin-doesntsays-ceo) (last visited Aug. 31, 2021).

⁹ Nic Carter, *The Dark Underbelly of Cryptocurrency Markets*, NIC CARTER (Sept. 3, 2018)
[https://medium.com/s/the-crypto-collection/a-glimpse-into-the-dark-underbelly-of-cryptocurrency-](https://medium.com/s/the-crypto-collection/a-glimpse-into-the-dark-underbelly-of-cryptocurrency-markets-d1690b761eaf)
markets
d1690b761eaf (last visited September 13, 2021).

1 Regulatory Authority.¹⁰

2 On July 1, 2021, the Cayman Islands Monetary Authority issued a statement titled,
3 “Binance Not Authorized by CIMA to Operate Crypto-Currency Exchange.”¹¹ The
4 Authority asserted that, “Binance, the Binance Group and Binance Holdings Limited are
5 not registered, licensed, regulated or otherwise authorized by the Authority to operate a
6 crypto-currency exchange from or within the Cayman Islands.”¹² However, in its statement
7 the Authority hedges its position by stating, “[t]he Authority is currently investigating
8 whether Binance, the Binance Group, Binance Holdings Limited or any other company
9 affiliated with this group of companies has any activities operating in or from within the
10 Cayman Islands which may fall within the scope of the Authority’s regulatory oversight.”¹³

11 Dunn & Bradstreet, a global authority in providing the most comprehensive and
12 continuously updated service of business entities, world-wide, lists Binance’s location at a
13 Caribbean PO Box, specifically Suite 5-204, 23 Lime Tree Bay Avenue, P.O. GEORGE
14 TOWN, GRAND CAYMAN, KY11104.¹⁴

15 Further mudding the waters, are recent reports that Binance CEO, Changpeng Zhao
16 is organizing his company in Ireland.¹⁵ Needless to say, no one know exactly where Binance
17 is headquartered or where its officers may be found.

18 As discussed above, Changpeng Zhao is Binance’s Chief Executive Officer
19 (“CEO”). Defendant Changpeng Zhao, founded Binance in China but shortly thereafter
20 moved Binance’s headquarters to Japan, in advance of the Chinese government’s ban on
21

22 ¹⁰ Dun & Bradstreet, https://dnb.com/business-directory/company-profiles/limited_holdings.4c5e5e9fe1cb37cf1e43e9dc3be92377.html (last visited November 11, 2021).

23 ¹¹ Binance Not Authorised by CIMA to Operate Crypto-Currency Exchange (Jul. 1, 2021),
24 <https://www.cima.ky/binance-not-regulated-by-cima> (last visited Oct. 13, 2021).

¹² Binance Not Authorised by CIMA to Operate Crypto-Currency Exchange (Jul. 1, 2021),
25 <https://www.cima.ky/binance-not-regulated-by-cima> (last visited Oct. 13, 2021).

¹³ Binance Not Authorised by CIMA to Operate Crypto-Currency Exchange (Jul. 1, 2021),
26 <https://www.cima.ky/binance-not-regulated-by-cima> (last visited Oct. 13, 2021).

¹⁴ Dunn & Bradstreet, Binance Holdings Limited, https://www.dnb.com/business-directory/company-profiles/binance_holdings_limited.4c5e5e9fe1cb37cf1e43e9dc3be92377.html#related-companies (last viewed Oct. 17, 2021).

¹⁵ Sharla Hamblin, Binance May Open Headquarters In Ireland | Blockchain24, SHARLA HAMBLIN (Oct. 8, 2021),
28 <https://thegoaspotlight.com/2021/10/08/binance-may-open-headquarters-in-ireland-blockchain24/> Sharla Hamblin (last visited Oct. 17, 2021).

1 cryptocurrency trading.

2 Yi He is the Chief Marketing Officer (“CMO”) of Binance and cofounded Binance
3 along with Zhao and Wang. In her role as CMO, she oversees “all marketing efforts” and
4 has touted that she increased “Binance’s global influence to become a top cryptocurrency
5 exchange.”¹⁶

6 Ted Lin has been the Chief Growth Officer of Binance since September 2018.
7 According to his LinkedIn profile, his responsibilities include, among other things,
8 “aligning strategic partners worldwide.”¹⁷

9 Despite diligent efforts, Zhao’s, He’s, and Lin’s locations and their respective
10 residences remain unknown. Prior to filing this motion for alternative service, Plaintiffs
11 contracted with private Dave Zinn of Venator Services, Inc. to locate Zhao, He, and Lin to
12 effectuate service of process upon them.

13 Investigator Zinn has been a private investigator for over ten years. **Exhibit A ¶ 4.**
14 He holds an Arizona private investor’s license through the Arizona Department of Public
15 Safety. *Id.* ¶ 5. As a private investigator he has participated in multiple successful attempts
16 to track down, and conduct surveillance on, foreign individuals. *Id.* ¶ 6. Prior to that, he
17 worked as a bounty hunter for six years and served in the US Marine Corps for eight years.
18 *Id.* ¶ 7. As a marine, he served in Iraq where he led the provincial governor of Kut’s personal
19 security detail and provided security for, among other things, classified information,
20 diplomatic personnel, and property. *Id.* ¶ 8.

21 Investigator Zinn’s results and findings are detailed in his report (“Report”). **Exhibit**
22 **A ¶ 10.** Despite his exhaustive efforts, Investigator Zinn was unable to definitively ascertain
23 even in which country Changpeng Zhao, Yi He, and Ted Lin were located. *Id.* ¶ 11.

24 It is within Investigator Zinn’s knowledge and training that highly technologically
25 sophisticated individuals such as Changpeng Zhao, Yi He, and Ted Lin have the expertise
26 and knowledge to conceal or erase their digital footprints to live and remain virtually
27

28 ¹⁶ Binance, BLOCKDATA, <https://www.blockdata.tech/profiles/binance> (last visited Aug. 31, 2021).

¹⁷ Ted Lin, LINKEDIN, <https://www.linkedin.com/in/ted-lin-545a4491/> (last visited Aug. 31, 2021).

undetectable, especially to private parties without the resources of law enforcement.

Exhibit A ¶ 12.

Investigator Zinn was able to collate many relevant, current, and active social media accounts of Changpeng Zhao, Yi He, and Ted Lin. **Exhibit A ¶ 13.** Some of the social media accounts identified in the Report are constantly monitored and posted on by Changpeng Zhao, Yi He, and Ted Lin, and these are noted in the report. *Id.* After surveying the numerous social media profiles he located for these Defendants, Investigator Zinn has ascertained that service on the following accounts is most reasonably calculated to provide notice:

*, Chengpeng Zhao: https://twitter.com/cz_binance/

*, Yi He: <https://twitter.com/heyibinance>

*, Ted Lin: https://twitter.com/Teddy_Lin

Id. Notice may be delivered to these accounts by making a mention of them (AKA tweeting at them). A mention is a Tweet that contains another person's username anywhere in the body of the Tweet.¹⁸ Everyone mentioned in a Tweet "will see your tweet in their Notifications tab."¹⁹

On November 12, 2021, undersigned counsel's office tweeted a copy of the summons and a link to the Complaint at of these accounts, thereby causing Defendants Zhao, He, and Lin to receive notification of the same. **Exhibit B ¶¶ 4-6.**

By following the links above it can be observed that each of these profiles identifies the user as an officer of Binance. Defendant Zhao's profile identifies him as CEO of Binance, Defendant He's profile identifies her as Co-founder and CMO of Binance, and Defendant Lin's profile identifies him as Chief Growth Officer of Binance. **Exhibit B ¶ 7.** However, these profiles do not identify where the Individual Defendants are located. Rather, as of November 15, 2021, Defendant Zhao's profile did not list a location, Defendant He listed his location as "Decentralized" and Defendant Lin's profile listed her location as

¹⁸ *About replies and mentions*, TWITTER, <https://help.twitter.com/en/using-twitter/mentions-and-replies> (last visited November 9th, 2021).

¹⁹ *Id.*

1 “Mars”. *Id.* Further, these links demonstrate that both Defendant Zhao and Defendant He
 2 tweeted from these profiles as recently as November 15, 2021 and that Defendant Lin last
 3 utilized his profile no less recently than November 11, when he “liked” a post. *Id.*

4 **LEGAL STANDARD**

5 To serve process upon an individual in a foreign country, a federal plaintiff must
 6 comply with both constitutional due process notice requirements and Rule 4(f). Where
 7 service of process and constitutional due process notice requirements are concerned, the
 8 methods of service must provide “notice reasonably calculated, under all the circumstances,
 9 to apprise interested parties of the pendency of the action and afford them an opportunity to
 10 present their objections.” *Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Co.*, 339 U.S. 306, 314,
 11 70 S. Ct. 652, 94 L. Ed. 865 (1950). The determination of due process requires the balancing
 12 of “the vital interest of the State in bringing any issues as to its fiduciaries to a final
 13 settlement” against “the individual interest sought to be protected by the Fourteenth
 14 Amendment.” *Id.* at 313-314, 70 S. Ct. at 657, 94 L. Ed. at 873.

15 Rule 4(f) governs service of process on an individual in a foreign country and
 16 provides three mechanisms of service: (1) by any internationally agreed means of service
 17 that is reasonably calculated to give notice, such as those authorized by the Hague
 18 Convention on the Service Abroad of Judicial and Extrajudicial Documents; (2) if there is
 19 no internationally agreed means, or if an international agreement allows but does not specify
 20 other means, by [certain specified means outlined in the Rule] reasonably calculated to give
 21 notice . . .; or (3) by other means not prohibited by international agreement, “as the court
 22 orders.”.

23 In applying Rule 4(f)(3) federal district courts are growing ever more comfortable
 24 with ordering electronic service when necessary, so long as it provides a reasonable
 25 assurance that defendant will be notified of the lawsuit *and* is not prohibited by international
 26 agreement. *See Rio Props. v. Rio Int'l Interlink*, 284 F.3d 1007, 1016-17 (9th Cir.2002).²⁰

27
 28 ²⁰ See also *BP Prods. N. Am., Inc.*, 232 F.R.D. at 265 (E.D. Va. 2005); *Liberty Media Holdings, LLC v. Sheng Gan*,
 No. 11-CV-02754-MSK-KMT, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 5166, 2012 WL 122862 at * 2 (D. Colo. Jan. 17, 2012).

1 The decision whether to order alternative service of process under Rule 4(f)(3) rests
 2 solely within the sound discretion of the court. *Rio Props. v. Rio Int'l Interlink*, 284 F.3d
 3 1007, 1016 (9th Cir. 2002). Rule 4(f) does not establish a hierarchy of the three mechanisms
 4 of service of process. *Id.* at 1015. Under Rule 4(f) a plaintiff may request alternative service
 5 without requiring him to first attempt service pursuant to Rule 4(f)(1) or Rule 4(f)(2). *Id.*;
 6 *See also MorningStar v. Dejun*, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 17672, 2013 WL 502474, at *1
 7 (C.D. Cal. Feb. 8, 2013). Service pursuant to Rule 4(f)(3) is “neither a last resort nor
 8 extraordinary relief, it is merely one means among several which enables service of process
 9 on an international defendant.” *Rio Props. v. Rio Int'l Interlink* 284 F.3d at 1015. In applying
 10 Rule 4(f)(3), a district court may tailor the method of service to the circumstances so long
 11 as that method 1) is not prohibited by international agreement and 2) comports with
 12 constitutional notions of due process. *Id.* at 1015. Courts therefore have broad flexibility and
 13 latitude under Rule 4(f)(3) to authorize service by differing modes of electronic and online
 14 communications including email and social networking sites like LinkedIn or Twitter.²¹ As
 15 the 9th Circuit has said:

16 *Courts ... cannot be blind to changes and advances in technology. No longer*
 17 *do we live in a world where communications are conducted solely by mail*
 18 *carried by fast sailing clipper ... ships. Electronic communication via satellite*
 19 *can and does provide instantaneous transmission of notice and information.*
 20 *No longer must process be mailed to a defendant's door when he can receive*
 21 *complete notice at an electronic terminal inside his very office, even when the*
 22 *door is steel and bolted shut.*

23 *Rio Props. v. Rio Int'l Interlink*, 284 F.3d at 1017.

24
 25
 26 ²¹ *See, e.g., WhosHere, Inc. v. Orun*, Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-00526-AJT-TRJ, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 22084, at *9
 27 (E.D. Va. Feb. 20, 2014) (permitting service via two email accounts, Facebook and LinkedIn); *PCCare247 Inc.*, 2013
 28 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 31969, 2013 WL 841037 at *3-4 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 7, 2013) (permitting service by email and
 Facebook); *In re Int'l Telemedia Associates, Inc.*, 245 B.R. 713, 720 (Bankr. N.D. Ga. 2000) (applying Rule 4(f)(3),
 to authorize service on defendant by fax and email address); *Rio Properties, Inc.*, 284 F.3d at 1016 (permitting
 service by email); *Chanel, Inc. v. acheterchanel.com*, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 115518, 2012 WL 3544844, at *3 (S.D.
 Fla. Aug. 16, 2012) (authorizing service of process by email pursuant to Rule 4(f)(3)).

ANALYSIS

At present, this plaintiff is confronted with attempting to locate valid physical addresses for Changpeng Zhao, Yi He, and Ted Lin, - but no one knows even where Binance’s headquarters is located, let alone the Individual Defendants. Furthermore, Binance CEO, Changpeng Zhao has orchestrated a targeted media campaign to throw off would-be litigants and U.S. regulatory agencies.²²

Arguably, when the Hague Service Convention applies, a court may choose to play it safe, requiring that a plaintiff must first comply with Rule 4(f)(1) before seeking alternative service under Rule 4(f)(3)—to ensure that the Hague Service Convention is not violated.²³ However, this is not the case here. Two incontrovertible facts exist here that permit this Court to order electronic service on the Individual Defendants via their social media accounts.

First, since Binance’s headquarters are purposefully concealed and the Individual Defendants’ have gone to ground, these locations are unknown. As such, there is no way of knowing if the Hague Service Convention applies or not to any Individual Defendant. For example, some reports place Zhao’s residence in either Taiwan or Singapore.²⁴ Singapore is a signatory of the Hague Service Convention;²⁵ Taiwan is not a signatory of the Hague Service Convention.²⁶

²² Tom Shoenberg, *Binance Faces Probe by U.S. Money-Laundering and Tax Sleuths* (May 13, 2021), <https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2021-05-13/binance-probed-by-u-s-as-money-laundering-tax-sleuths-bore-in> (last viewed Oct. 17, 2021).

²³ *Facebook, Inc. v. 9 Xiu Network Shenzhen Tech. Co.*, 480 F. Supp. 3d 977, 986 (N.D. Cal. 2020) (“Critically, in discussing 4(f)(1), the Advisory Committee’s notes confirm that ‘[u]se of the Convention procedures, when available, is mandatory if documents must be transmitted abroad to effect service.’ Rule 4 Notes, subdivision (f)(1). This directive is consistent with the Convention, and it means that when the Convention applies—which it didn’t in *Rio*—service under 4(f)(1) is indeed preferred over service under 4(f)(2) and 4(f)(3). Rule 4(f) may be silent on this preference, but the Convention and the Advisory Committee’s notes are not.”).

²⁴ Coinmarketcap.com, Changpeng Zhao (CZ), <https://coinmarketcap.com/alexandria/glossary/changpeng-zhao-cz> (last visited Oct. 17, 2021); Forbes, #22 Changpeng Zhao (Aug. 10, 2021), <https://www.forbes.com/profile/changpeng-zhao/?sh=ec229136277b> (last visited Oct. 17, 2021).

²⁵ *Singapore Judicial Assistance Information*, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF STATE, <https://travel.state.gov/content/travel/en/legal/Judicial-Assistance-Country-Information/Singapore.html> (last visited Nov. 9, 2021).

²⁶ *Taiwan Judicial Assistance Information*, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF STATE, <https://travel.state.gov/content/travel/en/legal/Judicial-Assistance-Country-Information/Taiwan.html> (last visited Nov. 9, 2021).

Second, Binance CEO Changpeng Zhao and Binance's headquarters are inextricably intertwined. Zhao is quoted stating publicly, "[w]herever I sit, is going to be the Binance office."²⁷ Thus, wherever Binance's headquarters is located is where Zhao is - and Binance's headquarters is nowhere to be found.

Considering the present facts and circumstances facing the Plaintiff, service of process via certified mail or a process server has been, and is likely to continue to be, ineffective due to the Individual Defendants' efforts to evade litigants and U.S. regulators, alike.

Because of the active use and participation by the above-named defendant on their respective social media accounts, posting notice of service of process to their social media accounts is a "reasonably calculated method" of service that ensures the delivery of adequate notice. In addition, because Binance itself has already been served, and retained counsel, it is a virtual certainty that the Individual Defendants, who are Binance's officers, are already aware of this action.

CONCLUSION

Plaintiff respectfully requests this Court to permit 1) service by publication and 2) electronic service of process upon Changpeng Zhao, Yi He, and Ted Lin at the following accounts:

- *. Chengpeng Zhao: https://twitter.com/cz_binance/
- *. Yi He: <https://twitter.com/heyibinance>
- *. Ted Lin: https://twitter.com/Teddy_Lin

Further, because Defendants might be induced to delete their accounts or change their privacy settings on these accounts after this brief becomes public record, Plaintiffs have tweeted a copy of the summons, and a link to the complaint, at each of these profiles, prior to the filing of this motion. Therefore, Plaintiff requests that this Court Order Zhao, He, and

²⁷ Paddy Baker, Binance Doesn't Have a Headquarters Because Bitcoin Doesn't, Says CEO, COINDESK (May 8, 2020) (updated Aug. 24, 2021), <https://www.coindesk.com/binance-doesnt-have-a-headquarters-because-bitcoin-doesntsays-ceo> (last visited Aug. 31, 2021).

1 Lin to answer the Complaint within 21 days of its order approving alternative service. A
2 proposed form of order is attached hereto.

3
4
5 Respectfully submitted this 15th day of November, 2021

6
7 /s/Arno Naeckel

8 Arno Naeckel
9 DAVILLIER LAW GROUP, LLC
3443 N. Central Ave. Ste 1009
10 Phoenix, AZ 85012
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I hereby certify that this Motion, which is **11 pages**, does not exceed the page limit set forth by LRCiv 7.2(e)(1) of 17 pages.

Dated this 15th day of November, 2021

/s/Arno Naeckel

Arno Naeckel
DAVILLIER LAW GROUP, LLC
3443 N. Central Ave. Ste 1009
Phoenix, AZ 85012

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on November 15th, 2021, I electronically transmitted the foregoing document to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for filing and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to the CM/ECF registrants on record.

Dated this 15th day of November, 2021

/s/Arno Naeckel

Arno Naeckel
DAVILLIER LAW GROUP, LLC
3443 N. Central Ave. Ste 1009
Phoenix, AZ 85012