

Douglas E. Lumish (CA 183863)
doug.lumish@lw.com
Matthew Rawlinson (CA 231890)
matt.rawlinson@lw.com
Arman Zahoory (CA 306421)
arman.zahoory@lw.com
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
140 Scott Drive
Menlo Park, California 94025
Telephone: 650-328-4600

Jennifer Barry (CA 228066)
jennifer.barry@lw.com
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
12670 High Bluff Drive
San Diego, California 92130
Telephone: 858-523-5400

*Attorneys for
Zoom Video Communications, Inc.*

BRAD S. KARP (*pro hac vice*)
bkarp@paulweiss.com
ANIKA RAPPLEYE (*pro hac vice*)
arappleye@paulweiss.com
**PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON &
GARRISON LLP**
1285 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10019-6064
Telephone: +1 212 373 3000

MELINDA HAAG (SBN 132612)
mhaag@paulweiss.com
WALTER F. BROWN (SBN 130248)
wbrown@paulweiss.com
R. ROSIE VAIL (SBN 317977)
rvail@paulweiss.com
**PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON &
GARRISON LLP**
535 Mission Street, 24th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105
Telephone: +1 202 223 7300

CLEMENT SETH ROBERTS (SBN 209203)
croberts@orrick.com
ROBERT L. URIARTE (SBN 258274)
ruriarte@orrick.com
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
The Orrick Building
405 Howard Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-2669
Telephone: +1 415 773 5700

Attorneys for RingCentral, Inc.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION**

ZOOM VIDEO COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

RINGCENTRAL, INC.,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 5:21-cv-1727-EJD

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
FOR DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE**

RINGCENTRAL, INC.,
Counterclaimant,
vs.
ZOOM VIDEO COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,
Counterdefendant.

Whereas Plaintiff/Counterdefendant Zoom Video Communications, Inc. (“Zoom”) and Defendant/Counterclaimant RingCentral, Inc. (“RingCentral”) have entered into a Settlement Agreement in which they agree to dismiss the above-captioned matters, and all claims and counterclaims alleged therein, in their entirety, with prejudice;

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Zoom and RingCentral, through their undersigned counsel of record, that the above-captioned matter shall be voluntarily dismissed, in its entirety, with prejudice, pursuant to Rule 41(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, with each party to bear its own attorneys’ fees and costs.

Dated: February 20, 2022

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

By /s/ Douglas E. Lumish
Douglas E. Lumish
Matthew Rawlinson
Jennifer Barry
Arman Zahoory

*Attorneys for Plaintiff & Counterdefendant
Zoom Video Communications, Inc.*

Dated: February 20, 2022

PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON &
GARRISON LLP

By /s/ Walter F. Brown
Brad Karp
Melinda Haag
Walter F. Brown
R. Rosie Vail

*Attorneys for Defendant & Counterclaimant
RingCentral, Inc.*

[PROPOSED] ORDER

The Court has received and reviewed the Parties' Stipulation for Dismissal with Prejudice. Based upon that Stipulation, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the above-captioned Action and Plaintiff/Counterdefendant Zoom Video Communications, Inc.'s claims and Defendant/Counterclaimant RingCentral, Inc.'s counterclaims are hereby DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE, with each Party to bear their own costs and attorneys' fees.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date _____

The Honorable Edward J. Davila
United States District Judge

ATTESTATION OF CONCURRENCE

I, Douglas E. Lumish, am the ECF user whose user ID and password authorized the filing of this document. I hereby attest, pursuant to N.D. Cal. Local Rule 5-1(h)(3), that each of the signatories identified above has concurred in the filing of this document.

Dated: February 20, 2022

/s/ Douglas E. Lumish
Douglas E. Lumish