IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

COMPLETE GENOMICS, INC.,)
Plaintiff,)
v.)
ILLUMINA, INC.,) C.A. No. 19-970-MN
Defendant.)
ILLUMINA, INC. and ILLUMINA CAMBRIDGE LTD.,)
Counterclaim- Plaintiffs,)
v.)
COMPLETE GENOMICS, INC. BGI AMERICAS CORP., and MGI AMERICAS INC.,)))
Counterclaim-Defendants.	,

VERDICT FORM

Instructions: When answering the following questions and completing this Verdict Form, please follow the directions provided throughout the form. Your answer to each question must be unanimous. Please refer to the Jury Instructions for guidance on the law applicable to each question.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

I. INFRINGEMENT OF CGI'S U.S. PATENT NO. 9,222,132 (THE "'132 PATENT")

A. Direct Infringement

1. Has Plaintiff Complete Genomics, Inc. ("CGI") proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Illumina directly infringed any of the following claims of the '132 Patent?

For each asserted claim please check "Yes" or "No" below.

	Yes (CGI)	No (Illumina)
Claim 1		
Claim 2	<u> </u>	
Claim 3		
Claim 4		

B. Indirect Infringement - Induced Infringement

1. Has Plaintiff CGI proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Illumina induced infringement of any of the following claims of the '132 Patent?

	Yes (CGI)	No (Illumina)
Claim 1		
Claim 2	V	
Claim 3		
Claim 4		

C. Indirect Infringement - Contributory Infringement

1. Has Plaintiff CGI proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Illumina contributed to the infringement of any of the following claims of the '132 Patent?

For each asserted claim please check "Yes" or "No" below.

	Yes (CGI)	No (Illumina)
Claim 1		
Claim 2		
Claim 3		
Claim 4		

II. INFRINGEMENT OF CGI'S U.S. PATENT 10,662,473 (THE "'473 PATENT")

A. Direct Infringement

1. Has Plaintiff CGI proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Illumina directly infringed any of the following claims of the '473 Patent?

	Yes (CGI)	No (Illumina)
Claim 1		
Claim 2		
Claim 3		
Claim 5		

B. Indirect Infringement - Induced Infringement

1. Has Plaintiff CGI proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Illumina induced infringement of another of any of the following claims of the '473 Patent?

For each asserted claim please check "Yes" or "No" below.

	Yes (CGI)	No (Illumina)
Claim 1		
Claim 2		
Claim 3		
Claim 5		

C. Indirect Infringement - Contributory Infringement

1. Has Plaintiff CGI proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Illumina contributed to the infringement of another of any of the following claims of the '473 Patent?

	Yes (CGI)	No (Illumina)
Claim 1	✓	
Claim 2		
Claim 3		
Claim 5		~

III. VALIDITY OF CGI'S '132 PATENT

The questions regarding validity or invalidity should be answered regardless of your findings with respect to the infringement of the patent.

1. Has Illumina proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims of the '132 Patent is invalid because it is anticipated by prior invention?

For each asserted claim please check "Yes" or "No" below.

	Yes (Illumina)	No (CGI)
Claim 1		
Claim 2		
Claim 3		V
Claim 4		V

2. Has Illumina proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims of the '132 Patent is invalid because it is obvious?

	Yes (Illumina)	No (CGI)
Claim 1		✓
Claim 2		
Claim 3		V
Claim 4		

3.	Has Illumina proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the
	following claims of the '132 Patent is invalid because it lacks adequate
	written description?

For each asserted claim please check "Yes" or "No" below.

	Yes (Illumina)	No (CGI)
Claim 1		
Claim 2		/
Claim 3		V
Claim 4		/

4. Has Illumina proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims of the '132 Patent is invalid because it is not enabled?

	Yes (Illumina)	No (CGI)
Claim 1		/
Claim 2		
Claim 3		V
Claim 4		

IV. VALIDITY OF CGI'S '473 PATENT

The questions regarding validity or invalidity should be answered regardless of your findings with respect to the infringement of the patent.

1. Has Illumina proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims of the '473 Patent is invalid because it is anticipated by prior invention?

For each asserted claim please check "Yes" or "No" below.

	Yes (Illumina)	No (CGI)
Claim 1		
Claim 2		/
Claim 3		

2. Has Illumina proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims of the '473 Patent is invalid because it is obvious?

	Yes (Illumina)	No (CGI)
Claim 1		
Claim 2		
Claim 3		
Claim 5		

3. Has Illumina proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims of the '473 Patent is invalid because it lacks adequate written description?

For each asserted claim please check "Yes" or "No" below.

	Yes (Illumina)	No (CGI)
Claim 1		
Claim 2		
Claim 3		
Claim 5		\checkmark

4. Has Illumina proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims of the '473 Patent is invalid because it is not enabled?

	Yes (Illumina)	No (CGI)
Claim 1		
Claim 2		
Claim 3		
Claim 5		\checkmark

V. WILLFULNESS WITH RESPECT TO CGI PATENTS

If for any asserted claim(s) of the CGI Patents, you answered "Yes" as to any type of infringement and "No" as to invalidity for all questions that pertain to that particular asserted claim, please answer the question below and the question in Section VI. Otherwise, skip to Section VII.

1. Has CGI proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Illumina willfully infringed any valid asserted claim?



VI. <u>CGI PATENTS - DAMAGES</u>

1. What damages has CGI proven by a preponderance of the evidence that it is entitled to as a result of Illumina's infringement?

VII. INFRINGEMENT OF ILLUMINA'S U.S. PATENT NO. 9,217,178 (THE "'178 PATENT")

A. Direct Infringement

1. Has Illumina proven by a preponderance of the evidence that CGI directly infringed any of the following claims of the '178 Patent?

For each asserted claim please check "Yes" or "No" below.

	Yes (Illumina)	No (CGI)
Claim 1		
Claim 6		
Claim 14	✓	

B. Indirect Infringement - Induced Infringement

1. Has Illumina proven by a preponderance of the evidence that CGI induced infringement of another of any of the following claims of the '178 Patent?

	Yes (Illumina)	No (CGI)
Claim 1		✓
Claim 6		V
Claim 14		

C. Indirect Infringement - Contributory Infringement

1. Has Illumina proven by a preponderance of the evidence that CGI contributed to the infringement of another to any of the following claims of the '178 Patent?

For each asserted claim please check "Yes" or "No" below.

	Yes (Illumina)	No (CGI)
Claim 1		
Claim 6		
Claim 14		/

VIII. INFRINGEMENT OF ILLUMINA'S U.S. PATENT NO. 9,303,290 (THE "'290 PATENT")

A. Direct Infringement

1. Has Illumina proven by a preponderance of the evidence that CGI directly infringed any of the following claims of the '290 Patent?

	Yes (Illumina)	No (CGI)
Claim 1		
Claim 7	\checkmark	
Claim 15		

B. Indirect Infringement - Induced Infringement

1. Has Illumina proven by a preponderance of the evidence that CGI induced infringement of another of any of the following claims of the '290 Patent?

For each asserted claim please check "Yes" or "No" below.

	Yes (Illumina)	No (CGI)
Claim 1		
Claim 7		
Claim 15		

C. Indirect Infringement - Contributory Infringement

1. Has Illumina proven by a preponderance of the evidence that CGI contributed to the infringement of another of any of the following claims of the '290 Patent?

	Yes (Illumina)	No (CGI)
Claim 1		<u> </u>
Claim 7		/
Claim 15		

IX. INFRINGEMENT OF ILLUMINA'S U.S. PATENT NO. 9,970,055 (THE "'055 PATENT")

A. Direct Infringement

1. Has Illumina proven by a preponderance of the evidence that CGI directly infringed any of the following claims of the '055 Patent?

For each asserted claim please check "Yes" or "No" below.

	Yes (Illumina)	No (CGI)
Claim 1		
Claim 2		/
Claim 17		

B. Indirect Infringement - Induced Infringement

1. Has Illumina proven by a preponderance of the evidence that CGI induced infringement of another of any of the following claims of the '055 Patent?

	Yes (Illumina)	No (CGI)
Claim 1		
Claim 2		
Claim 17		

C. Indirect Infringement - Contributory Infringement

1. Has Illumina proven by a preponderance of the evidence that CGI contributed to the infringement of another of any of the following claims of the '055 Patent?

For each asserted claim please check "Yes" or "No" below.

	Yes (Illumina)	No (CGI)
Claim 1		
Claim 2		
Claim 17		

X. VALIDITY OF ILLUMINA'S '178 PATENT

The questions regarding validity or invalidity should be answered regardless of your findings with respect to the infringement of the patent.

1. Has CGI proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims of the '178 Patent is invalid because it is obvious?

	Yes (CGI)	No (Illumina)
Claim 1		
Claim 6		
Claim 14		

XI. <u>VALIDITY OF ILLUMINA'S '290 PATENT</u>

The questions regarding validity or invalidity should be answered regardless of your findings with respect to the infringement of the patent.

 Has CGI proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims of the '290 Patent is invalid because it is anticipated by written prior art?

For each asserted claim please check "Yes" or "No" below.

	Yes (CGI)	No (Illumina)	
Claim 1			

2. Has CGI proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims of the '290 Patent is invalid because it is obvious?

For each asserted claim please check "Yes" or "No" below.

	Yes (CGI)	No (Illumina)
Claim 1		
Claim 7		
Claim 15		

XII. VALIDITY OF ILLUMINA'S '055 PATENT

The questions regarding validity or invalidity should be answered regardless of your findings with respect to the infringement of the patent.

1. Has CGI proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims of the '055 Patent is invalid because it is anticipated by written prior art?

For each asserted claim please check "Yes" or "No" below.
Yes (CGI)
No (Illumina)
Claim 1
Claim 2

	2.	Has CGI proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims of the '055 Patent is invalid because it is obvious? For each asserted claim please check "Yes" or "No" below.		
			Yes (CGI)	No (Illumina)
		Claim 1		
		Claim 2		
		Claim 17		
XIII.	WILLFULN	ESS WITH RESPE	CT TO ILLUMINA P	<u>ATENTS</u>
	If for any asse	erted claim(s) of the 1	Ilumina Patents, you ar	nswered "Yes" as to any type of
infrin	gement and "N	o" as to invalidity fo	or all questions that per	rtain to that particular asserted
claim,	please answer	the question below a	nd the question in Sect	ion XIV. Otherwise, skip to the
end.				
	1.	Has Illumina proven by a preponderance of the evidence that CGI willfully infringed any valid asserted claim?		
			Yes (Illumina)	No (CGI)
XIV	ILLUMINA	PATENTS - DAMA	GES	
	1.	What damages has Illumina proven by a preponderance of the evidence that it is entitled to as a result of CGI's infringement?		
		Φ		

UNANIMOUS VERDICT

UPON REACHING A UNANIMOUS VERDICT ON EACH QUESTION ABOVE, EACH JUROR MUST SIGN BELOW.

We, the jury, unanimously agree to the answers to the above questions and return them under the instructions of this Court as our verdict in this case.

The 6th day of May